

| Login | Create Account

### Search & Browse

Simple Search

**Advanced Search** 

Browse by Subject

Browse by Year

Browse by Conferences/Volumes

Latest Additions

### Information

Home

About the Archive

**Archive Policy** 

History

Help

FAQ

Journal Eprint Policies

Register

Contact Us

#### News

Guide to new PhilSci-Archive features.

# The Empirical Stance vs. The Critical Attitude

Rowbottom, Darrell Patrick (2005) The Empirical Stance vs. The Critical Attitude. UNSPECIFIED.



Download (242Kb) | Preview

### **Abstract**

Van Fraassen has recently argued that empiricism can be construed as a stance, involving commitments, attitudes, values, and goals, in addition to beliefs and opinions. But this characterisation emerges from his recognition that to be an empiricist can not be to believe, or decide to commit to belief in, a foundational proposition, without removing any basis for a non-dogmatic empiricist critique of other philosophical approaches, such as materialism. However, noticeable by its absence in Van Fraassen's discussions is any mention of Bartley's 'pancritical rationalism', for Bartley offers a cohesive argument that genuine dogmatism lies precisely in the act of commitment to an idea. The consequence of denying this, he thinks, is an opening of the floodgates to irrationalism: if to rely on reasoned argument in decision-making is fundamentally an act of faith, then there is a tu quoque — "I simply have a different faith" — that may be employed by those who wish to shield their views from criticism. This raises the following question: why should it be any less dogmatic to adopt particular commitments, attitudes, values, and goals, rather than a particular belief or opinion, come what may? And if Bartley is right that there is only one non-dogmatic attitude — the critical attitude — then why might this not be adopted by an empiricist, a materialist, a metaphysician, or anyone else?

 ${\tt Export/Citation:} \ \underline{{\tt EndNote}} \ | \ \underline{{\tt BibTeX}} \ | \ \underline{{\tt Dublin Core}} \ | \ \underline{{\tt ASCII}} \ (\underline{{\tt Chicago \ style}}) \ | \ \underline{{\tt HTML \ Citation}} \ | \ \underline{{\tt OpenURL}}$ 

Social Networking: Share |

I tem Type: Other

Additional This is a post-print. The article was published in South African Journal of Philosophy 24

Information: (3), 200-223.

Keywords: Van Fraassen, Popper, Bartley, Critical Rationalism, Metaphysics, Empiricism

General Issues > Science vs. Pseudoscience

Subjects: <u>General Issues > Theory Change</u> <u>General Issues > Philosophers of Science</u>

Depositing User: <u>Dr Darrell P. Rowbottom</u>

Date Deposited: 23 Sep 2005

Last Modified: 07 Oct 2010 11:13

Item ID: 2450 Public Domain: No

Commentary on: Van Fraassen, B.C. 2002. The Empirical Stance (New Haven: Yale University Press)

URI: <a href="http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/id/eprint/2450">http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/id/eprint/2450</a>

## Actions (login required)



### **Document Downloads**

ULS D-Scribe E-Prints Share Feeds



This site is hosted by the <u>University</u> <u>Library System</u> of the <u>University of</u> <u>Pittsburgh</u> as part of its <u>D-Scribe</u> <u>Digital Publishing Program</u>



Philsci Archive is powered by <u>EPrints</u> 3 which is developed by the <u>School</u> of <u>Electronics and Computer</u> <u>Science</u> at the University of Southampton. <u>More information</u> and software credits.



