

Walter the Banker: The Conjunction Fallacy Reconsidered

Hartmann, Stephan and Meijs, Wouter (2009) Walter the Banker: The Conjunction Fallacy Reconsidered.

Full text available as: <u>PDF</u> - Requires a viewer, such as <u>Adobe Acrobat Reader</u> or other PDF viewer.

Abstract

In a famous experiment by Tversky and Kahneman (1983), featuring Linda the bank teller, the participants assign a higher probability to a conjunction of propositions than to one of the conjuncts, thereby seemingly committing a probabilistic fallacy. In this paper, we discuss a slightly different example featuring someone named Walter, who also happens to work at a bank, and argue that, in this example, it is rational to assign a higher probability to the conjunction of suitably chosen propositions than to one of the conjuncts. By pointing out the similarities between Tversky and Kahneman's experiment and our example, we argue that the participants in the experiment may assign probabilities to the propositions in question in such a way that it is also rational for them to give the conjunction a higher probability than one of the conjuncts.

Keywords:	Conjunction fallacy, Linda problem, psychology of reasoning, Bayesian epistemology
Subjects:	Specific Sciences: Probability/Statistics General Issues: Decision Theory General Issues: Confirmation/Induction Specific Sciences: Psychology/Psychiatry
ID Code:	4696
Deposited By:	Hartmann, Stephan
Deposited On:	09 June 2009
Additional Information:	This paper will appear in Synthese.

Send feedback to: philsci-archive@mail.pitt.edu