

A hole revolution, or are we back where we started?

Pooley, Oliver (2006) A hole revolution, or are we back where we started?.

Full text available as:

[PDF](#) - Requires a viewer, such as [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#) or other PDF viewer.

Abstract

Doubts are raised concerning Rickles' claim that "an exact analog of the hole argument can be constructed in the loop representation of quantum gravity" (Rickles, 'A new spin on the hole argument', *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 36 (2005) 415– 434).

Commentary on: [Rickles, Dean \(2004\) A New Spin on the Hole Argument.](#)

EPrint Type: Preprint

Keywords: Hole argument, Loop quantum gravity, Spin-networks, Substantivalism, Relationalism, General covariance

Subjects: [Specific Sciences: Physics: Symmetries/Invariances](#)
[Specific Sciences: Physics: Relativity Theory](#)
[Specific Sciences: Physics: Quantum Mechanics](#)

ID Code: 2938

Deposited By: [Pooley, Oliver](#)

Deposited On: 04 October 2006

Additional Information: This is a preprint of: 'A hole revolution, or are we back where we started?', *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 37 (2006) 372– 380.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsb.2005.11.003>
Please cite the published version.
There is a reply by Rickles in the same issue of the journal.

Commentary/Response Threads

- Error: Unknown Citation Style "eprint_thread_commentary"
 - Error: Unknown Citation Style "eprint_thread_commentary" **[Currently Displayed]**