

Was the Early Calculus an Inconsistent Theory?

Vickers, Peter (2007) Was the Early Calculus an Inconsistent Theory?.

Full text available as:

PDF - Requires a viewer, such as Adobe Acrobat Reader or other PDF viewer.

Abstract

The ubiquitous assertion that the early calculus of Newton and Leibniz was an inconsistent theory is examined. Two different objects of a possible inconsistency claim are distinguished: (i) the calculus as an algorithm; (ii) proposed explanations of the moves made within the algorithm. In the first case the calculus can be interpreted as a theory in something like the logician's sense, whereas in the second case it acts more like a scientific theory. I find no inconsistency in the first case, and an inconsistency in the second case which can only be imputed to a small minority of the relevant community.

Keywords:calculus, fluxions, infinitesimals, newton, leibniz, inconsistentSubjects:Specific Sciences: Mathematics
General Issues: History of Science Case StudiesID Code:3477Deposited By:Vickers, PeterDeposited On:22 August 2007

Send feedback to: philsci-archive@library.pitt.edu