

The Methodological Value of Coincidences: Further Remarks on Dark Matter and the Astrophysical Warrant for General Relativity

Vanderburgh, William L. (2004) The Methodological Value of Coincidences: Further Remarks on Dark Matter and the Astrophysical Warrant for General Relativity. In [2004] Philosophy of Science Assoc. 19th Biennial Meeting - PSA2004: Contributed Papers (Austin, TX; 2004): PSA 2004 Contributed Papers, Austin, Texas.

Full text available as:

Microsoft Word - Requires a viewer, such as Microsoft Word Viewer

Abstract

This paper compares four techniques for measuring the masses of galaxies and larger astrophysical systems from their dynamics. The apparent agreement of these techniques is sometimes invoked as reason for hypothesizing the existence of huge quantities of "dark matter" as the best solution to "the dynamical discrepancy", the 100-fold disparity between the amount of mass visible in large scale astrophysical systems and the amount calculated from dynamics. This paper argues that the agreement, though suggestive, is not definitive. The coincident measurements remain the best reason for preferring dark matter over revisions to General Relativity for solving the dynamical discrepancy, but the resulting warrant for this preference is weak.

Keywords: Dark Matter, General Relativity, Evidence, Methodology, Theory Choice,

General Issues: Theory Change

Subjects: Specific Sciences: Physics: Cosmology

Specific Sciences: Physics: Relativity Theory

Specific Sciences: Physics

Conferences and

[2004] Philosophy of Science Assoc. 19th Biennial Meeting - PSA2004: Contributed

Volumes: Papers (Austin, TX; 2004): PSA 2004 Contributed Papers

ID Code: 1905

Deposited By: <u>Vanderburgh, William</u>

Deposited On: 22 August 2004

Send feedback to: philsci-archive@library.pitt.edu