

On Thought Experiments: Is There More to the Argument?

Norton, John D. (2002) On Thought Experiments: Is There More to the Argument?.

Full text available as:

[Microsoft Word](#) - Requires a viewer, such as [Microsoft Word Viewer](#)

[RTF](#) - Requires a viewer, such as [Microsoft Word Viewer](#)

Abstract

Thought experiments in science are merely picturesque argumentation. I support this view in various ways, including the claim that it follows from the fact that thought experiments can err but can still be used reliably. The view is defended against alternatives proposed by my co-symposiasts.

Keywords: thought experiment empiricism Platonism

Subjects: [General Issues: Confirmation/Induction](#)
[General Issues: Models and Idealization](#)

Conferences and Volumes: [\[2002\] Philosophy of Science Assoc. 18th Biennial Mtg - PSA 2002: Contributed Papers \(Milwaukee, WI; 2002\): PSA 2002 Symposia](#)

ID Code: 719

Deposited By: [Norton, John](#)

Deposited On: 09 August 2002