

# Explanations are like salted peanuts. Why you can't cut the route toward further reduction.

Cohnitz, Daniel (2002) Explanations are like salted peanuts. Why you can't cut the route toward further reduction.

Full text available as:

[PDF](#) - Requires a viewer, such as [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#) or other PDF viewer.

## Abstract

This paper is a defense of an elaborated ideal explanatory text conception against criticism as put forward by Bob Batterman. It is argued that Batterman's critique of "philosophical" accounts of scientific explanation is inadequate.

**Commentary on:** [Batterman, Robert \(2004\) Response to Belot's "Whose Devil? Which Details?"](#).

**EPrint Type:** Other

**Keywords:** explanation, ideal text, Batterman, reduction

**Subjects:** [General Issues: Explanation](#)

**ID Code:** 1690

**Deposited By:** [Cohnitz, Daniel](#)

**Deposited On:** 30 March 2004

**Additional Information:** Although the argument is directed against Batterman's earlier papers, it applies to "The Devil in the Details" as well.

**Alternative Locations:** <http://www.gap-im-netz.de/gap4Konf/Proceedings4/pdf/6%20Wt03%20Cohnitz.pdf>

["page:commentary\_threads" not defined]

- Error: Unknown Citation Style "eprint\_thread\_commentary"
  - Error: Unknown Citation Style "eprint\_thread\_commentary"
    - Error: Unknown Citation Style "eprint\_thread\_commentary" **[Currently Displayed]**
    - Error: Unknown Citation Style "eprint\_thread\_commentary"