

AN ANALYSIS OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION PROBLEMS FOR CONTRACT TYPES

Bill Davison, Richard J Sebastian

Abstract

The primary purpose of this research was to examine the perceived consequences of ten types of contract administration

Reading Tools

AN ANALYSIS OF THE...


Davison, Sebastian

[Review policy](#)
[About the author](#)
[How to cite item](#)
[Indexing metadata](#)
[Print version](#)
[Look up terms](#)
[Notify colleague*](#)
[Email the author*](#)
[Finding References](#)

RELATED ITEMS

[Author's work](#)
[Book searches](#)
[Related studies](#)
[Relevant portals](#)
[Pay-per-view](#)
[e-Journals](#)
[Databases](#)
[Online forums](#)
[Government policy](#)
[Media reports](#)
[Web search](#)

SEARCH JOURNAL

All 

problems for each of seven contract types and to determine how likely each of these consequences were perceived to be. Building upon the earlier research of Davison and Sebastian (in press a, b), the research surveyed National Institute of Government Purchasing (NIGP) and Institute of Supply Management (ISM) members. For each of seven types of contract (e.g., supplies and small purchases) the respondents were asked to indicate the typical consequences they experienced for each of ten contract



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License](#).

[CLOSE](#)

* Requires [registration](#)