



Home > Journal > Social Sciences & Humanities > AASoci

[Indexing](#) [View Papers](#) [Aims & Scope](#) [Editorial Board](#) [Guideline](#) [Article Processing Charges](#)

AASoci > Vol.2 No.4, December 2012

OPEN ACCESS

Perceived Difficulty of Friendship Maintenance Online: Geographic Factors

PDF (Size: 295KB) PP. 309-312 DOI: 10.4236/aasoci.2012.24040

Author(s)

Kristie Holmes

ABSTRACT

Geographic location has an effect on the perceived ease of friendship maintenance online and may reflect physical space. Participants from the Northeastern United States rated maintaining friendships online as more difficult than those from other regions. Those with the highest anxiety level ratings were from the largest and most densely populated areas (metropolitan) and those who were the least anxious about their image (both online and offline) were from rural areas with the least population density. Those residing in metropolitan areas were the most trusting of online information posted by others and the town/small city group were the least trusting of others' online posted information (similar to the urban group), making those from rural areas nearly as trusting of others' information as the metropolitan group, though probably the result of entirely different influences.

KEYWORDS

Social Networking; Friendship; Geography; Relationship; Perceptions; Online

Cite this paper

Holmes, K. (2012). Perceived Difficulty of Friendship Maintenance Online: Geographic Factors. *Advances in Applied Sociology*, 2, 309-312. doi: 10.4236/aasoci.2012.24040.

References

- [1] Backstorm, L., Sun, E., & Marlow, C. (2010). Find me if you can: Improving geographical prediction with social and spatial proximity. International World Wide Web Conference Committee 2010 (pp. 61-70). New York: ACM.
- [2] Butts, C. T. (2009). Revisiting the foundations of social network analysis. *Science*, 325, 414-416.
- [3] Festinger, L., Schachter, S., & Back, K. (1950) Social pressures in informal groups: A study of human factors in housing. Stanford, CA: Stanford University.
- [4] Freeman, L. (2004) The development of social network analysis: A study in the sociology of science. Vancouver: Empirical Press.
- [5] Gilbert, E., Karahalios, K., & Sandvig, C. (2010). The network in the garden: Designing social media for rural life. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 53, 1367-1388. doi:10.1177/0002764210361690
- [6] Gilbert, E., Karahalios, K., & Sandvig, C. (2008). The network in the garden. An empirical analysis of social media in rural life. *Speech Communication*, 53, 1603-1612.
- [7] Gilbert, E. (2012). Predicting tie strength in a new medium. Proceedings of the ACM 2012 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work (pp. 1047-1056). New York: ACM. doi:10.1145/2145204.2145360
- [8] Goldenberg, J., & Levy, M. (2009). Distance is not dead: Social interaction and geographical distance in the internet era. *Computers and Society*, 2, 1-22.
- [9] Graham, S. (1988). The end of geography or the explosion of place? Conceptualizing space, place and information technology. *Progress in Human Geography*, 22, 165-185.

[AASoci Subscription](#)

[Most popular papers in AASoci](#)

[About AASoci News](#)

[Frequently Asked Questions](#)

[Recommend to Peers](#)

[Recommend to Library](#)

[Contact Us](#)

Downloads:	15,271
Visits:	59,861

[Sponsors >>](#)

- [10] Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *The American Journal of Sociology*, 78, 1360-1380. doi:10.1086/225469
- [11] Keller, S. (1968). *The urban neighborhood*. New York: Random House.
- [12] Lambiotte, R., Blondel, V., Kerchove, C.D., et al. (2008). Geographical dispersal of mobile communication networks. *Physica A*, 387, 5317 -5325. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2008.05.014
- [13] Liben-Nowell, D., Novak, J., Kumar, R., Raghavan, P., & Tomkins, A. (2005). Geographic routing in social networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102, 11623-11628. doi:10.1073/pnas.0503018102
- [14] Mok, D., Wellman, B., & Carrasco, J. A. (2010). Does distance still matter in the age of the internet? *Urban Studies*, 47, 2747-2783.
- [15] Nan, L., & Guanling, C. (2009). Analysis of a location-based social network. *IEEE International conference on Computational Science and Engineering*, 4, 263-270.
- [16] Onnela, J., Arbesman, S., González, M., et al. (2011). Geographic constraints on social network groups. *PLoS ONE*, 6, 1-7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016939
- [17] Scellato, S., Mascolo, C., Musolsi, M., & Latora, V. (2010). Distance matters: Geo-social metrics for online social networks. *Proceedings of the 3rd Conference on Online Social Networks*. Berkeley, CA: USENIX Association
- [18] Shaw, S. (2010). Relevance of time geography to spatio-temporal constraints on social networks. *Specialist Meeting—Spatio Temporal Constraints on Social Networks*, 1-3.
- [19] Takhteyev, Y., Gruzd, A., & Wellman, B. (2012). Geography of twitter networks. *Social networks. Space and Networks*, 34, 73-81.
- [20] Wellman, B. (2008). The development of social network analysis: A study in the sociology of science. *Contemporary Sociology: A Journal of Reviews*, 37, 221-222.