

Conferences News About Us Home Journals Books Jobs Home > Journal > Social Sciences & Humanities > CE Open Special Issues Indexing View Papers Aims & Scope Editorial Board Guideline Article Processing Charges Published Special Issues CE> Vol.2 No.1, March 2011 • Special Issues Guideline OPEN ACCESS **CE** Subscription Visual Thinking: Art Students Have an Advantage in Geometric Reasoning Most popular papers in CE PDF (Size: 90KB) PP. 22-26 DOI: 10.4236/ce.2011.21004 About CE News Author(s) Caren M. Walker, Ellen Winner, Lois Hetland, Seymour Simmons, Lynn Goldsmith Frequently Asked Questions **ABSTRACT** We investigated whether individuals with training in the visual arts show superior performance on Recommend to Peers geometric reasoning tasks, given that both art and geometry entail visualization and mental manipulation of images. Two groups of undergraduates, one majoring in studio art, the other majoring in psychology, were Recommend to Library given a set of geometric reasoning items designed to assess the ability to mentally manipulate geometric shapes in two- and three-dimensional space. Participants were also given a verbal intelligence test. Both Contact Us training in the arts and verbal intelligence were strong predictors of geometric reasoning, but training in the arts was a significant predictor even when the effects of verbal intelligence were removed. These correlational findings lend support to the hypothesis that training in the visual arts may improve geometric Downloads: 183,423 reasoning via the learned cognitive skill of visualization. Visits: 402,639 **KEYWORDS** Visualization, Geometry, Spatial Reasoning, Art Education, Mathematics Education Sponsors, Associates, and Cite this paper Links >> Walker, C., Winner, E., Hetland, L., Simmons, S. & Goldsmith, L. (2011). Visual Thinking: Art Students Have an Advantage in Geometric Reasoning. Creative Education, 2, 22-26. doi: 10.4236/ce.2011.21004. The Conference on Information References Technology in Education (CITE Battista, M. T., Clements, D. H., & Wheatley, G. H. (1991). Using spatial imagery in geometric [1] 2012) reasoning. Arithmetic Teacher, 39, 18-21. [2] Ben-Chaim, D., Lappan, G., & Houang, R. T. (1988). The effect of instruction on spatial visualization skills of middle school boys and girls. American Educational Research Journal, 25, 51-71. [3] Brieske, T. (1984). Visual thinking about rotations and reflections. The College Mathematics Journal, 15, 406-410. doi:10.2307/2686551 Callahan, P. (1999). Visualization workouts from "Geometry & visualization: A Course for high school [4] teachers" . unpublished notes. Casey, M., Winner, E., Brabeck, M., & Sullivan, K. (1990). Visual-spatial abilities in art, math, and [5] science majors: Effects of sex, handedness, and spatial experience. In K. Gilhooly, M. Keane, R. Logie, & G. Erdos. (Eds.), Lines of thinking: Reflections on the psychology of thought. New York: Clements, D. H., Battista, M. T., Sarama, J., & Swamina than, S. (1997). Development of students' [6] spatial thinking. The Elementary School Journal, 98, 171-186. doi:10.1086/461890

Cohen, D. J., & Bennett, S. (1997). Why can't most people draw what they see? Journal of

Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 23, 609-621. doi:10.1037/0096-

Cunningham, S. (2005). Visualization in science education. In Invention and impact: Building excellence in undergraduate science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education

[7]

[8]

1523.23.3.609

- (pp. 127-128). Washington, DC: AAAS Press.
- [9] Gardner, H. (2007). Five Minds for the Future. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- [10] Getzels, J. W., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1975). From problem-solving to problem finding, In I. A. Taylor and J. W. Getzels (Eds.), Perspectives in Creativity (pp. 90-116). Chicago: Aldine.
- [11] Goldbenberg, E. P. (1996). "Habits of mind" as an organizer for the curriculum. Journal of Education. 178, 13-34.
- [12] Hadamard, J. (1945). The psychology of invention in the mathematical field. NY: Dover. Hermelin, B.,
  & O'Connor, N. (1986). Spatial representations in mathematically and in artistically gifted children.
  British Journal of Educational Psychology, 56, 150-157.
- [13] Hetland, L., Winner, E., Veenema, S., & Sheridan, K. (2007). Studio thinking: The real benefits of visual arts education. New York: Teachers College. Hogan, J. (1993). The death of proof. Scientific American, 92-103.
- [14] Kozbelt, A. (1991). Artists as experts in visual cognition. Visual Cognition, 8, 705-723. Kozbelt, A., & Seeley, W. P. (2007). Integrating art historical, psychological, & neuroscientific explanations of artists' advantages in drawing. PACA, 1, 80-90.
- [15] Lappan, G. (1999). Geometry: The forgotten strand. NCTM News Bulletin, 36, 3.
- [16] Mitchell, P., Ropar, D., Ackroyd, K., & Rajendran, G. (2005). How perception impacts on drawings. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Per formance, 31, 996-1003. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.31.5.996
- [17] National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2000). Prin ciples and standards for school mathematics. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- [18] Perkins, D. (1983). The mind's best work. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- [19] Rosenblatt, E., & Winner, E. (1988). The art of children's drawings. Journal of Aesthetic Education, 22, 1, 3-15.
- [20] Salomon, G. & Perkins, D. N. (1989). Rocky roads to transfer: Rethinking mechanisms of a neglected phenomenon. Educational Psychologist, 24, 113-142. doi:10.1207/s15326985ep2402\_1
- [21] Seago, N., Driscoll, M., & Jacobs J. Transforming middle school geometry: designing professional development materials that support the teaching and learning of similarity. Middle Grades Research Journal, in press.
- [22] Solso, R. L. (2001). Brain activities in an expert versus a novice artist: An fMRI study. Leonardo, 34, 31-34. doi:10.1162/002409401300052479
- [23] Sutherland, R., & Mason, J., (1993). Exploiting mental imagery with computers in mathematics education. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- [24] Tufte, E. R. (2001). The visual display of quantitative in formation (2nd ed.) CT: Graphic Press.
- [25] Watson, J. (1968). The double helix. New York: New American Library.
- [26] Winner, E., & Casey, M. (1993). Cognitive profiles of artists. In G. Cupchik & J. Laszlo (Eds.), Emerging visions: Contemporary approaches to the aesthetic process.
- [27] Whiteley, W. (2004). Visualization in mathematics: Claims and questions towards a research program. Paper presented at the 10 International Congress on Mathematics Education, Copenhagen, Denmark, Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.