The GIST of Concepts

Vigo , Dr. Ronaldo (2013) The GIST of Concepts. [Journal (Paginated)]

This is the latest version of this eprint.

Full text available as:



Abstract

A unified general theory of human concept learning based on the idea that humans detect invariance patterns in categorical stimuli as a necessary precursor to concept formation is proposed and tested. In GIST (generalized invariance structure theory) invariants are detected via a perturbation mechanism of dimension suppression referred to as dimensional binding. Structural information acquired by this process is stored as a compound memory trace termed an ideotype. Ideotypes inform the subsystems that are responsible for learnability judgments, rule formation, and other types of concept representations. We show that GIST is more general (e.g., it works on continuous, semi-continuous, and binary stimuli) and makes much more accurate predictions than the leading models of concept learning difficulty,such as those based on a complexity reduction principle (e.g., number of mental models,structural invariance, algebraic complexity, and minimal description length) and those based on selective attention and similarity (GCM, ALCOVE, and SUSTAIN). GIST unifies these two key aspects of concept learning and categorization. Empirical evidence from three experiments corroborates the predictions made by the theory and its core model which we propose as a candidate law of human conceptual behavior.

Journal (Paginated)
Categorization; Invariance; Complexity; Ideotype; Pattern detection; Concept learning
Psychology > Cognitive Psychology Computer Science > Artificial Intelligence Computer Science > Complexity Theory Psychology > Perceptual Cognitive Psychology
9102
Zeigler , Derek
18 Nov 2013 21:10
18 Nov 2013 21:10

Available Versions of this Item

- The GIST of Concepts. (deposited 17 Sep 2013 14:35)
 - The GIST of Concepts. (deposited 18 Nov 2013 21:10) [Currently Displayed]

References in Article

Select the SEEK icon to attempt to find the referenced article. If it does not appear to be in cogprints you will be forwarded to the paracite service. Poorly formated references will probably not work.

Allport, A. (1987). Selection for action: some behavioural and neurophysiological considerations of attention and action, In:Perspective on perception and action, H. Heuer and A. Sanders (Ed.), Erlbaum. Ashby, F. G., Alfonso-Reese, L. A., Turken, A. U., & Waldron, E. M. (1998). A neuropsychological theory of multiple systems in category learning. Psychological Review, 105, 442–481.

Bonatti, Luca. (Oct 1994). Propositional reasoning by model? Psychological Review, 101(4), 725–733. This site has been permanently archived. This is a static copy provided by the University of Southampton.

Bourne, L. E. (1966). Human conceptual behavior. Boston: Allyn and Bacon. Bush, R. R., Luce, R D., and Rose, R. M. Learning models for psychophysics.In R. C. Atkinson (Ed), Studies in mathematical psychology. Stanford:Stanford University Press, 1964. Pp. 201–217.

Daellenbach, H. G., & George, A. (1978). Introduction to operations research techniques. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Estes, W. K. (1994). Classification and cognition. Oxford psychology series(Vol. 22). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Feldman, J. (2000). Minimization of Boolean complexity in human concept learning. Nature, 407, 630-633.

Feldman, J. (2003). A catalog of Boolean concepts. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 47(1), 98–112.

Feldman, J. (2006). An algebra of human concept learning. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 50, 339–368.

Garner, W. R. (1963). Goodness of pattern and pattern uncertainty. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 2, 446–452.

Garner, W. R. (1974). The processing of information and structure. New York: Wiley.

Garner, W. R., & Felfoldy, G. L. (1970). Integrality of stimulus dimensions in

various types of information processing. Cognitive Psychology, 1, 225–241.

Gibson, J. J. (1966). The senses considered as perceptual systems. Boston:

Houghton Mifflin.

Goodwin, P. G., & Johnson-Laird, P. N. (2011). Mental models of Boolean concepts. Cognitive Psychology, 63, 34–59.

Haygood, R. C., & Bourne, L. E. Jr., (1965). Attribute-and-rule learning aspects of conceptual behavior. Psychological Review, 72, 175–195.

Higonnet, R. A., & Grea, R. A. (xxxx). Logical design of electrical circuits. New

York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill.

Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1983). Mental Models: Towards a Cognitive Science of

Language, Inference, and Consciousness. Cambridge, MA: Harvard

University Press.

Kruschke, J. K. (1992). ALCOVE: An exemplar-based connectionist model

of category learning. Psychological Review, 99, 22–44.

Kruskal, Joseph. B., & Wish, Myron. (1978). Multidimensional Scaling.

Beverly Hills: Sage.

Lafond, D., Lacouture, Y., & Mineau, G. (2007). Complexity mnimization in

rule-based category learning: Revising the catalog of Boolean

concepts and evidence for non-minimal rules. Journal of

Mathematical Psychology, 51(2), 57–74.

Leyton, M. (1992). Symmetry, causality, mind. The MIT Press.

Love, B. C., & Medin, D. L. (1998). SUSTAIN: A model of human category

learning. Proceedings of the Fifteenth National Conference on Artificial

Intelligence (AAAI-98), USA, 15, 671-676.

Love, B. C., Medin, D. L., & Gureckis, T. M. (2004). SUSTAIN: A network model of category learning. Psychological Review, 111, 309–332.

Luce, D. (1995). Four Tensions Concerning Mathematical Modeling in Psychology. Annual Review of Psychology, 46, 1–27.

Neumann, O. (1987). Beyond capacity: A functional view of attention. In H. Heuer & A. F. Sanders (Eds.), Perspectives on perception and action. Hillsdale: Erlbaum.

Nosofsky, R. M. (1984). Choice, similarity, and the context theory of classification. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 10(1), 104–114.

Nosofsky, R. M. (1986). Attention, similarity, and the identification–categorization relationship. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 115(1), 39–57.

Nosofsky, R. M., Gluck, M. A., Palmeri, T. J., McKinley, S. C., & Glauthier, P. G. (1994a). Comparing models of rule-based classification learning: A replication and extension of Shepard, Hovland, and Jenkins (1961). Memory and Cognition, 22(3), 352–369.

Nosofsky, R. M., Palmeri, T. J., & McKinley, S. C. (1994b). Rule-plus-exception model of classification learning. Psychological Review, 101, 53–79.

O' Brien, D. P., Braine, M. D., & Yang, Y. (1994). Propositional reasoning by mental models? Simple to refute in principle and in practice.

Psychological Review, 101(4), 711-724.

Pothos, E. M., & Chater, N. (2002). A simplicity principle in unsupervised human categorization. Cognitive Science, 26, 303–343.

Prinz, W. (1983). Asymmetrical control areas in continuous visual search.

In R. Groner, C. Menz, D. F. Fisher, & R. A. Monty (Eds.), Eye movements and psychological functions: International views (pp. 85–100). Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.

Rehder, B., & Hoffman, A. B. (2005). Eyetracking and selective attention in category learning. Cognitive Psychology, 51, 1–41.

Schneider, W. X. (1993). Space-based visual attention models and object selection: Constraints, problems, and possible solutions. Psychological Research, 56, 35–43.

Shepard, R. N. (1984). Ecological constraints on internal representation:

Resonant kinematics of perceiving, imagining, thinking, and dreaming. Psychological Review, 91(4).

Shepard, R. N. (1987). Towards a universal law of generalization for psychological science. Science, 237, 1317–1323.

Shepard, R. N., Hovland, C. L., & Jenkins, H. M. (1961). Learning and memorization of classifications. Psychological Monographs: General and Applied, 75(13), 1–42.

Shepard, R. N., Romney, A. K., & Nerlove, S. B. (Eds.). (1972).

Multidimensional scaling: Theory and applications in the behavioral sciences. Vol. I: Theory. New York: Seminar Press.

Stevens, S. S. (1955). On the psychophysical law. Psychological Review, 64(3), 153–181.

Vigo, R. (2006). A note on the complexity of Boolean concepts. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 50(5), 501–510.

Vigo, R. (2009). Categorical invariance and structural complexity in human concept learning. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 53, 203–221.

Vigo, R. (2011a). Towards a law of invariance in human concept learning. In L. Carlson, C. Hölscher, & T. Shipley (Eds.), Proceedings of the 33rd annual conference of the Cognitive Science Society (pp. 2580–2585).

Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society.

Vigo, R. (2011b). Representational information: A new general notion and measure of information. Information Sciences, 181, 4847–4859.

Vigo, R., Zeigler, D. E., & Halsey, P. A. (2013). Gaze and informativeness during category learning: Evidence for an inverse relation. Visual Cognition, 21(4), 446–476.

Metadata

- ASCII Citation
- Atom
- BibTeX
- Dublin Core
- EP3 XML
- EPrints Application Profile (experimental)
- EndNote
- HTML Citation
- ID Plus Text Citation
- JSON
- METS

 $\verb|http://cogprints.org/9102/|$

- MODS
- MPEG-21 DIDL
- OpenURL ContextObject
- OpenURL ContextObject in Span
- RDF+N-Triples
- <u>RDF+N3</u>
- RDF+XML
- Refer
- Reference Manager
- Search Data Dump
- Simple Metadata
- YAML

Repository Staff Only: item control page