Log In | Register | Help



Results: Although most ATs (77.1%) have read the current National Athletic Trainers' Association position statement on heat illness, only 18.6% used rectal thermometers to assess core body temperature to recognize EHS, and 49.7%

used cold-water immersion to treat EHS. Athletic trainers perceived rectal thermometers as the most valid temperature assessment device when compared with other assessment devices ($P \le .05$), but they used oral thermometers as the primary assessment tool (49.1%). They identified cold-water immersion as the best cooling method ($P \le .05$), even though they used other means to cool a majority of the time (50.3%).

Conclusions: The ATs surveyed have sound knowledge of the correct means of EHS recognition and treatment. However, a significant portion of these ATs reported using temperature assessment devices that are invalid with athletes exercising in the heat. Furthermore, they reported using cooling treatment methods that have inferior cooling rates.

Keywords: cooling methods, temperature assessment, evidence-based medicine, heat illnesses, whole-body cooling

Stephanie M Mazerolle, PhD, LAT, ATC, Department of Kinesiology, University of Connecticut, 2095 Hillside Road, U-1110, Storrs, CT 06269-1110, e-mail: Stephanie.mazerolle@uconn.edu

Copyright © 2010 Journal of Athletic Training. All Rights Reserved, Worldwid Allen Press, Inc. assists in the online publication of the Journal of Athletic Trainin Technology Partner - Atypon Systems, Inc