

## Linguistics Department Faculty Publication Series

### OT constraints are categorical

[John J. McCarthy, University of Massachusetts, Amherst](#)

[Follow](#)

Publication Date  
January 2003

Comments  
Copyright Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/S0952675703004470

**Abstract**  
In Optimality Theory, constraints come in two types, which are distinguished by their mode of evaluation. Categorical constraints are either satisfied or not; a categorical constraint assigns no more than one violation-mark, unless there are several violating structures in the form under evaluation. Gradient constraints evaluate extent of deviation; they can assign multiple marks even when there is just a single instance of the non-conforming structure. This article proposes a restrictive definition of what an OT constraint is, from which it follows that all constraints must be categorical. The various gradient constraints that have been proposed are examined, and it is argued that none is necessary and many have undesirable consequences.

[Download](#)

[Find in your library](#)

#### Included in

[Morphology Commons,](#)  
[Near Eastern Languages](#)  
[and Societies](#)  
[Commons, Phonetics](#)  
[and Phonology](#)  
[Commons](#)

[SHARE](#)

Enter search terms:

in this series

[Advanced Search](#)

[Notify me via email or RSS](#)

[Browse](#)

[Collections](#)  
[Disciplines](#)  
[Authors](#)

[Author Corner](#)

[Author FAQ](#)

[Links](#)

[University Libraries](#)  
[UMass Amherst](#)  
[Contact Us](#)

This page is sponsored by the [University Libraries](#).

© 2009 [University of Massachusetts Amherst](#) • [Site Policies](#)