

Home | Computational Linguistics | List Article navigation of Issues | Volume 29 , No. 2 | A Probabilistic Account of Logical Metonymy



Quarterly (March, June, September, December) 160pp. per issue 6 3/4 x 10 Founded: 1974 2018 Impact Factor: 1.319 2018 Google Scholar h5-index: 32 ISSN: 0891-2017 E-ISSN: 1530-9312

Journal Resources

Editorial Info Abstracting and Indexing Release Schedule Advertising Info

A Probabilistic Account of Logical Metonymy

Maria Lapata and Alex Lascarides

Posted Online March 13, 2006 https://doi.org/10.1162/089120103322145324

© 2003 Association for Computational Linguistics

Computational Linguistics Volume 29 | Issue 2 | June 2003 p.261-315

Obvious >

Abstract Authors

In this article we investigate logical metonymy, that is, constructions in which the argument of a word in syntax appears to be different from that argument in logical form (e.g., enjoy the book means enjoy reading the book, and easy problem means a problem that is easy to solve). The systematic variation in the interpretation of such constructions suggests a rich and complex theory of composition on the syntax/semantics interface. Linguistic accounts of logical metonymy typically fail to describe exhaustively A Probabilistic Account of Logical Metonymy | Computational Linguistics | MIT Press Journals

Author Resources

Submission Guidelines Publication Agreement Author Reprints

Reader Resources

Rights and Permissions Most Read Most Cited

all the possible interpretations, or they don't rank those interpretations in terms of their likelihood. In view of this, we acquire the meanings of metonymic verbs and adjectives from a large corpus and propose a probabilistic model that provides a ranking on the set of possible interpretations. We identify the interpretations automatically by exploiting the consistent correspondences between surface syntactic cues and meaning. We evaluate our results against paraphrase judgments elicited experimentally from humans and show that the model's ranking of meanings correlates reliably with human intuitions.

Forthcoming

Most Read

b Lexicon-Based **Computational** b Near-Synonymy Methods for and Lexical Choice Linguistics and Sentiment Analysis Deep Learning (3675 times) (14087 times) (10542 times) Philip Edmonds et Maite Taboada et Christopher D. al. Computational Manning al. Linguistics Computational Computational Volume: 28, Issue: 2, pp. Linguistics Linguistics 105-144 Volume: 37, Issue: 2, pp. Volume: 41, Issue: 4, pp. 267-307 701-707

(Note that the Most Read numbers are based on the number of full text downloads over the last 12 months.)

Most Cited

b Lexicon-Based Methods for Sentiment Analysis Various Statistical (436 times) Maite Taboada et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 37, Issue: 2, pp. 267-307

b A Systematic Comparison of **Alignment Models** (174 times) Franz Josef Och et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 29, Issue: 1, pp. 19-51

opinion Word Expansion and Target Extraction through Double **Propagation** (147 times) Guang Qiu et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 37, Issue: 1, pp. 9-27

(Note that the Most Cited numbers are based on Crossref's Cited-by service and reflect citation information for the past 24 months.)

More About Computational Linguistics

Metrics



23 Total citations 0 Recent

citations

1.84 Field Citation Ratio n/a Relative **Citation Ratio**

Open Access

Computational Linguistics Computational Linguistics is **Open Access.** All content is freely available in

https://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1162/089120103322145324#.Vd-yM_9fHmc

2/3

See More

See More



Trademark Office.

Site Help