

Home | Computational Linguistics | List Article navigation of Issues | Volume 33, No. 4 | Prepositional Phrase Attachment without Oracles

Inter Section 1	-
	-
Table 1 (1997), and a first large of	
Take (14 address & Sec)	-
They will will be being	-
Accession, Margin and	
Second Second	-
	-
Rear Incom	-
	-
	North Anna Allant Lang San Anna Allant Salat Da Allant David Shadara Salat Shadara

Quarterly (March, June, September, December) 160pp. per issue 6 3/4 x 10 Founded: 1974 2018 Impact Factor: 1.319 2018 Google Scholar h5-index: 32 ISSN: 0891-2017 E-ISSN: 1530-9312

Journal Resources

Editorial Info Abstracting and Indexing Release Schedule Advertising Info

Prepositional Phrase Attachment without Oracles

Michaela Atterer and Hinrich Schütze

Posted Online December 03, 2007 https://doi.org/10.1162/coli.2007.33.4.469

© 2007 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Computational Linguistics Volume 33 | Issue 4 | December 2007 p.469-476



Abstract Authors

Work on prepositional phrase (PP) attachment resolution generally assumes that there is an oracle that provides the two hypothesized structures that we want to choose between. The information that there are two possible attachment sites and the information about the lexical heads of those phrases is usually extracted from gold-standard parse trees. We show that the performance of reattachment methods is higher with such an oracle than Prepositional Phrase Attachment without Oracles | Computational Linguistics | MIT Press Journals

applications, this indicates that the current

argue that PP attachment should not be

without. Because oracles are not available in NLP

evaluation methodology for PP attachment does

not produce realistic performance numbers. We

evaluated in isolation, but instead as an integral

component of a parsing system, without using

information from the gold-standard oracle.

Author Resources

Submission Guidelines Publication Agreement Author Reprints

Resources

Rights and

Permissions Most Read Most Cited

Reader

Forthcoming

Most Read

b Lexicon-Based Methods for Sentiment Analysis (14087 times) Maite Taboada et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 37, Issue: 2, pp. 267-307

Computational Linguistics and **Deep Learning** (10542 times) Christopher D. Manning Computational Linguistics Volume: 41, Issue: 4, pp. 701-707

b Near-Synonymy and Lexical Choice (3675 times) Philip Edmonds et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 28, Issue: 2, pp. 105-144

See More

See More

(Note that the Most Read numbers are based on the number of full text downloads over the last 12 months.)

Most Cited

Schemic Lexicon-Based Methods for Sentiment Analysis Various Statistical (436 times) Maite Taboada et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 37, Issue: 2, pp. 267-307

A Systematic Comparison of Alignment Models (174 times) Franz Josef Och et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 29, Issue: 1, pp. 19-51

broW noinion 🗞 Expansion and Target Extraction through Double Propagation (147 times) Guang Qiu et al. Computational Linguistics Volume: 37, Issue: 1, pp. 9-27

(Note that the Most Cited numbers are based on Crossref's Cited-by service and reflect citation information for the past 24 months.)

Download > Options Sign up for Alerts Favorite

More About Computational

Linguistics

Metrics



5 Total citations 0 Recent citations

0.48 Field Citation Ratio n/a Relative **Citation Ratio**

Open Access



Prepositional Phrase Attachment without Oracles | Computational Linguistics | MIT Press Journals



Site Help