The Internet TESLJournal

Characteristics of Academic English in The ESL Classroom

Diego Uribe duribe100(at)aol.com Los Angeles Southwest College (Los Angeles, California, USA)

This article examines the characteristics and differences of academic and conversational English in the second language classroom. While academic English is the language used by the educated and needed to succeed financially in society, conversational English refers to the common and familiar language that is used in everyday, ordinary situations. Academic language includes cognitive, linguistic, and social/psychological components. Some aspects of those components can be taught; others are less useful, while still others may be counterproductive to teach.

Introduction

What do successful learners have in common? Are there different varieties of English? If so, what are the differences between them? Scholars tend to distinguish between academic and conversational English. Academic English refers to the language used by the educated and is needed to function at the university level and beyond. Conversational English is the language used in everyday, ordinary situations. Unfortunately, second language learners often fall into the conversational English usage category. Many of them have been schooled for several years in the United States , yet they still display striking deficiencies in reading and writing in academic contexts. The situation is very frustrating because there is clear evidence that some educators are still unaware of what academic English is and the situational obstacles related to it (Wong Filmore & Snow, 2000; Ferris, 2002; Ferris & Hedgecock, 1998).

If students want to achieve socio-economic success, it is imperative that they are able to perform at the appropriate academic level. And performing at this level can be a continuing struggle, since academic English entails "multiple complex features of English required for long-term success in public schools, completion of higher education, and employment with opportunity for professional advancement and financial rewards" (Rumberger & Scarcella, 2001, p. 1).

While today's efforts are directed to teaching the basics, second language learners frequently fall behind when it comes to educational excellence. Scripted programs have become the norm and have replaced teachers' creativity and initiative. The need to emphasize approaches that target the instruction of academic English is more important than ever. Cummins (1981b) postulated the existence of two different types of English, the academic or Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency (CALP) and the conversational or Basic Interpersonal Communicative Skills (BICS). Although this dichotomy has been controversial since the beginning, there is agreement among scholars about what academic English is and the characteristics that conform it. According to Scarcella (2003, p.1), academic English is "needed to challenge the tenets of those in power who use it … without knowledge of academic English, individuals may be excluded form participation in educated society and prevented from transforming it".

Characteristics of Academic English

Academic language not only includes several dimensions of knowledge, but it also emphasizes the context where learning takes place. Educators need to be aware of all these dynamics in order to teach effectively English language learners the necessary skills to succeed in life and become productive members of society. Those dimensions are:

The Linguistic Dimension

The linguistic component includes the following areas: phonological, lexical, grammatical, sociolinguistics, and discourse.

Table 1. Description of the linguistic components of academic English and their features used in everyday situations and in academic situations

| Linguistic Components of Conversational English | Linguistic Components of Academic English |
|--|---|
| 1. The Phonolog | gical Component |
| Knowledge of everyday English sounds and the ways sounds are combined, stress and intonation, graphemes, and spelling | Knowledge of the phonological features (including spelling: <i>research, although</i>) of academic English, including stress, intonation, and sound patterns |
| Examples: ship versus sheep /I/ - /i/ sheet versus cheat /sh/ - /ch/ | <u>Examples</u> : demógraphy, demográphic, cádence, genéric, casualty, and celerity |
| 2. The Lexic | al Component |
| Knowledge of the forms and meanings of words occurring in everyday situations; <i>knowledge</i> of the ways words are formed with prefixes, roots, suffixes, the parts of the speech of words, and the grammatical constraints governing words | Knowledge of the forms and meanings of words that are use across academic disciplines (<i>assert, hypothesis</i>) as well as in everyday settings; Knowledge of the ways academic words are formed with prefixes, roots, and suffixes, the parts of speech of academic words, and the grammatical constraints governing academic words |
| Examples: find out look for | Examples: investigate, research seek |
| 3. The Gramma | tical Component |
| Knowledge of morphemes entailing semantic, syntactic, relational, phonological, and distributional properties; knowledge of syntax; <i>knowledge</i> of simple rules of punctuation | Knowledge that enables EL's to make sense out of and use the grammatical features (morphological and syntactic) associated with argumentative composition, procedural description, analysis, definition, procedural description, and analysis; Knowledge of the grammatical co-occurrence restrictions governing words; Knowledge of grammatical metaphor; Knowledge of more complex rules of punctuation |
| Example: he was runned by a car knifes, mines if I was you | Example: he was run by a car knives, mine if I were you |
| 4. The Socioling | uistic Component |
| Knowledge that enables EL's to understand the extent to which sentences are produced and understood appropriately; <i>knowledge</i> of frequently occurring functions and genres | Knowledge of an increased number of language functions. The functions include the general ones of ordinary English such as apologizing, complaining, and making requests as well as ones that are common to all academic fields; knowledge of an increased number of genres, including expository and argumentative text |
| Example: what's up? (to a professor) | Example: how are you doing, sir? |
| 5. The Discou | rse Component |

| Knowledge of the basic discourse devices used, for instance, to introduce topics and keep the talk going and for beginning and ending informal types of writing, such letters and lists | Knowledge of the discourse features used in specific academic genres including such devices as transitions and other organizational signals that, in reading, aid in gaining perspective on what is read, in seeing relationships, and in following logical lines of thought; in writing, these discourse features help EL's develop their theses and provide smooth transitions between ideas |
|---|--|
| Example: but | Example: nevertheless |
| it was | once upon a time |

Source: Scarcella (2003)

The Cognitive Dimension

Cognition is also an important part of academic English. It includes knowledge, higher order thinking (critical literacy), cognitive, and metalinguistic strategies.

Table 2. Description of the cognitive components of academic English and their features used in everyday situations and in academic situations

| Cognitive Components of Conversational English | Cognitive Components of Academic English |
|--|---|
| 1. The Kn | owledge Component |
| Knowledge of the facts | Knowledge of the ideas, concepts, definitions and stories that they can draw upon to make sense of text and explain themselves based upon personal experience and internal knowledge structures (<i>schemata</i>) |
| Example: types of moons | Example: rotation of the moon, gravity |
| 2. The Higher O | rder Thinking Component |
| Knowledge of the higher order thinking (interpreting, analyzing, evaluating, synthesizing, citations in reading) at the basic level | Knowledge of the higher order thinking (interpreting, analyzing, evaluating, synthesizing, citations in reading) at the advanced level |
| Example: recognizing a chart identifying a source incoherent essays | Example: interpreting a chart determining the credibility of a source support thesis statements, remain focused |
| 3. The Strategic Component | |
| Knowledge of the basic strategies that enhance the effectiveness of communication or compensate for breakdowns in communication due to limiting factors in actual communication or to insufficient competence | Knowledge of the advanced strategies (<i>organize study</i> , <i>monitor errors, assess progress</i>) that enhance the effectiveness of communication or compensate for breakdowns in communication due to limiting factors in actual communication or to insufficient competence |
| Example: informal letter writing conversations | Example: formal presentations official memoranda |

| 4. The Metalinguistic Awareness Component | | |
|--|--|--|
| Knowledge of the basic functions which allow improve | Knowledge of the advanced functions which allow improve | |
| linguistic performance and is particularly useful in editing | linguistic performance and is particularly useful in editing and | |
| and revising | revising | |
| <u>Example</u> : casual letters to friends | <u>Example</u> : cover letters for a job | |
| e-mails | applying online for a grant | |
| informal invitations to parties | invitations to companies | |

It is important to point out that the development of academic English is not sequential, nor does it follow a predetermined pattern. It can happen during the early stages of child development or at the very end. It can occur at the same time as conversational English or it can develop on its own.

Although some components of the two dimensions may overlap, there are evident differences between them. And some features of the components are more important than others. Let's consider the following figure taken from Scarcella (2003), where different fonts indicate degrees of importance of the component for the tasks:

Figure 1. Linguistic and cognitive features entailed in writing an expository essay and in participating in everyday conversations

| Writing an Expo | ository Essay | Participating in Everyday | Conversations |
|-----------------|---|---|---------------|
| PROFICIENCY | Higher order thinking Metalinguistic abilities Phonological features Grammatical features Vocabulary features Background knowledge Discourse features Strategies Sociolinguistic features | Higher order thinking Metalinguistic abilities Phonological features Grammatical features Vocabulary features Background knowledge Discourse features Strategies Sociolinguistic features | PROFICIENCY |

The Sociocultural/Psychological Dimension

Social and cultural norms, beliefs, values, attitudes, motivations, interests, behaviors, practices, and habits are involved in this dimension. They grow, take shape, and change in the larger social context where academic English happens. Table 3 shows the sociocultural/psychological dimensions of academic English:

Table 3. The sociocultural/psychological dimensions of academic English

| Attribute | Example |
|-----------|--|
| Norms | Research is conducted in specific ways |
| Values | Empirical research is valued; anecdotal information is questioned |
| Beliefs | A researcher's work is respected if it informs or tests theory, advances knowledge in significant ways, and influences practice. A researcher's work is reputable if it cited in peer-reviewed, scholarly journals |

| Attitudes/Motivations/Interests | Alternatives perspectives must be considered; asking pointed questions is necessary |
|---------------------------------|---|
| | Researchers review the literature to establish what is known about a problem and how other researchers have studied the problem to avoid mistakes |

Source: Scarcella (2003)

Conclusion

Knowledge is not static. It is a changing reality that involves linguistic, cognitive and social dimensions. The conversational and academic dichotomy is not uncontroversial or easily attainable. However, most scholars agree on several components that help differentiate them. Some of those components can be taught and are useful to learn, and some others are limited only to conscious language learning and deliberate memorization (Krashen & Brown, 2007). It was my intention here to describe the basic features of academic language in the light of the debate of effectiveness of programs for minority language students. Ultimately, I hope it can help educators at all levels to incorporate this knowledge into the classroom and better serve the interests of second language learners.

References

- Ferris, D.R. (2002) Treatment of error in L2 student writing. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Ferris, D.R., & Hedgcock, J. (1998) Teaching ESL composition. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Krashen, S. & Brown, C.L. (in press). What is academic language proficiency? Research Papers. STETS Language and Communication Review. Singapore Tertiary English Teachers' Society, 2007.
- Rumberger, S., & Scarcella, R. (2000) Academic English. Linguistic Minority Research Institute Newsletter, 1, 1-2. University of California, Santa Barbara.
- Scarcella, R. (2003) Academic English: A conceptual Framework. Linguistic Minority Research Institute Newsletter. University of California, Santa Barbara.
- Wong Fillmore, L. & Snow, C. (2000) What teachers need to know about language. Washington, D.C. ERIC Clearinghouse on Language and Linguistics.

The Internet TESL Journal, Vol. XIV, No. 3, March 2008 http://iteslj.org/

http://iteslj.org/Articles/Uribe-AcademicEnglish.html