



# Quantification in ordinary language

[Michele Abrusci](#), [Christian Retoré](#) (LaBRI, INRIA Bordeaux - Sud-Ouest)

(Submitted on 10 Jul 2011)

We firstly show that the standard interpretation of natural quantification in mathematical logic does not provide a satisfying account of its original richness. In particular, it ignores the difference between generic and distributive readings. We claim that it is due to the use of a set theoretical framework. We therefore propose a proof theoretical treatment in terms of proofs and refutations. Thereafter we apply these ideas to quantifiers that are not first order definable like "the majority of".

Comments: 14TH Congress of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science, Nancy : France (2011)

Subjects: **Logic (math.LO)**

Cite as: [arXiv:1107.1883](#) [math.LO]

(or [arXiv:1107.1883v1](#) [math.LO] for this version)

## Submission history

From: Christian Retore [[view email](#)]

[v1] Sun, 10 Jul 2011 19:20:26 GMT (97kb)

[Which authors of this paper are endorsers?](#)

Link back to: [arXiv](#), [form interface](#), [contact](#).

## Download:

- [PDF only](#)

Current browse context:

math.LO

[< prev](#) | [next >](#)

[new](#) | [recent](#) | [1107](#)

Change to browse by:

[math](#)

## References & Citations

- [NASA ADS](#)

Bookmark([what is this?](#))

