

Growth and Decay in Life-Like Cellular Automata

David Eppstein

(Submitted on 15 Nov 2009)

We propose a four-way classification of two-dimensional semi-totalistic cellular automata that is different than Wolfram's, based on two questions with yes-or-no answers: do there exist patterns that eventually escape any finite bounding box placed around them? And do there exist patterns that die out completely? If both of these conditions are true, then a cellular automaton rule is likely to support spaceships, small patterns that move and that form the building blocks of many of the more complex patterns that are known for Life. If one or both of these conditions is not true, then there may still be phenomena of interest supported by the given cellular automaton rule, but we will have to look harder for them. Although our classification is very crude, we argue that it is more objective than Wolfram's (due to the greater ease of determining a rigorous answer to these questions), more predictive (as we can classify large groups of rules without observing them individually), and more accurate in focusing attention on rules likely to support patterns with complex behavior. We support these assertions by surveying a number of known cellular automaton rules.

Comments: 30 pages, 23 figures

Subjects: **Cellular Automata and Lattice Gases (nlin.CG)**

Cite as: **arXiv:0911.2890v1 [nlin.CG]**

Submission history

From: David Eppstein [[view email](#)]

[v1] Sun, 15 Nov 2009 17:13:15 GMT (1884kb,D)

[Which authors of this paper are endorsers?](#)

Link back to: [arXiv](#), [form interface](#), [contact](#).

Download:

- [PDF](#)
- [Other formats](#)

Current browse context:

nlin.CG

[< prev](#) | [next >](#)

[new](#) | [recent](#) | [0911](#)

Change to browse by:

[nlin](#)

References & Citations

- [CiteBase](#)

Bookmark([what is this?](#))

[CiteULike logo](#)

[Connotea logo](#)

[BibSonomy logo](#)

[Mendeley logo](#)

[Facebook logo](#)

[del.icio.us logo](#)

[Digg logo](#)

[Reddit logo](#)