

# Cartan MASAs in von Neumann Algebras are Norming and a New Proof of Mercer's Theorem

Jan Cameron, David R. Pitts and Vrej Zarikian

**ABSTRACT.** In this note we observe that a result of Sinclair and Smith together with the Feldman-Moore description of a von Neumann algebra with a Cartan MASA shows that Cartan MASAs are norming. We also use the outline in [8, Remark 2.17] to give a new proof of Mercer's Theorem [6, Corollary 4.3].

In a 1991 paper, Mercer proves the following result.

**Theorem 1** ([6, Corollary 4.3]). *For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_i$  be a von Neumann algebra with separable predual and let  $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{M}_i$  be a Cartan MASA. Suppose  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -weakly closed subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}_i$  which contains  $\mathcal{D}_i$  and which generates  $\mathcal{M}_i$  as a von Neumann algebra.*

*If  $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$  is an isometric algebra isomorphism such that  $\theta(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_2$ , then  $\theta$  extends to a von Neumann algebra isomorphism  $\bar{\theta} : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is identified with its Feldman-Moore representation, so  $\mathcal{M}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(R_i))$ , then  $\bar{\theta}$  may be taken to be a spatial isomorphism.*

Our purpose in this note is to give a new proof of this result based on the conceptual outline for  $C^*$ -diagonals in [8]. Our proof seems more transparent, and avoids the technicalities involving chains and loops found in Mercer's original proof. Furthermore, the outline can be adapted to other contexts as well, see [9].

We begin with an observation, of independent interest, regarding norming algebras. While we do not directly use the full strength of this result, we certainly use the fact that the MASA  $\mathcal{D}$ , in the notation below, norms a certain  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{C}$  of the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . Note that the result below is implicit in [11] for the case when  $\mathcal{M}$  is a finite von Neumann algebra.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra with separable predual, and suppose that  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is a Cartan MASA. Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is norming for  $\mathcal{M}$  in the sense of Pop-Sinclair-Smith [10].*

**Proof.** We will use the notation found in [3]. By [3, Theorem 1], there exists a countable, standard equivalence relation  $R$  on a finite measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , a cocycle  $\sigma \in H^2(R, \mathbb{T})$ , and an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$  onto  $\mathbf{M}(R, \sigma)$  which carries  $\mathcal{D}$  onto the diagonal subalgebra  $\mathbf{A}(R, \sigma)$  of  $\mathbf{M}(R, \sigma)$ . We may therefore assume that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{M}(R, \sigma)$  and that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{A}(R, \sigma)$ . With this identification,  $\mathcal{M}$  acts on the separable Hilbert space  $L^2(R, \nu)$ , where  $\nu$  is the right counting measure associated with  $\mu$ . By [3, Proposition 2.9],  $J\mathcal{D}J$  is an abelian subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}'$  and  $(J\mathcal{D}J \vee \mathcal{D})''$  is a MASA in  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(R, \nu))$ . Therefore, the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(R, \nu))$  generated by  $J\mathcal{D}J$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  has a cyclic vector. An application of [11, Proposition 4.1] completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$  be a pair consisting of a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  with separable predual and a Cartan MASA  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . A *Cartan bimodule algebra* is a  $\sigma$ -weakly closed subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  which contains  $\mathcal{D}$  and which generates  $\mathcal{M}$  as a von Neumann algebra. As in the proof of Proposition 2, we may identify  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\mathbf{M}(R, \sigma)$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  with  $\mathbf{A}(R, \sigma)$ . By the Spectral Theorem for Bimodules [7, Theorem 2.5], there exists an essentially unique Borel set  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq R$  such that

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{A} = \{a \in \mathcal{M} : a(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } (x, y) \notin \Gamma(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

In fact,  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$  is a reflexive and transitive relation on  $X$ , and  $R$  equals the equivalence relation generated by  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$  [7, Theorem 3.2].

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47L30, 46L10, 46L07.

*Key words and phrases.* Norming algebra, Cartan MASA,  $C^*$ -diagonal. Zarikian was partially supported by Nebraska IMMERSE.

It follows from (1) that  $\mathcal{A}$  contains an abundance of (groupoid) normalizers of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Recall that  $v \in \mathcal{M}$  is a *normalizer* of  $\mathcal{D}$  if  $v\mathcal{D}v^*, v^*\mathcal{D}v \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . If, in addition,  $v$  is a partial isometry, then we say that  $v$  is a *groupoid normalizer* of  $\mathcal{D}$ , and write  $v \in \mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$ . Now suppose  $\phi$  is a Borel isomorphism between Borel sets  $\text{dom}(\phi) \subseteq X$  and  $\text{range}(\phi) \subseteq X$  whose graph  $\Gamma(\phi) = \{(\phi(x), x) : x \in \text{dom}(\phi)\}$  is contained in  $R$ . Such a  $\phi$  will be called a *partial  $R$ -isomorphism*. Then  $F(\phi) := \chi_{\Gamma(\phi)} \in \mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$ . Indeed,

$$F(\phi)dF(\phi)^* = (d \circ \phi^{-1})\chi_{\text{range}(\phi)} \text{ and } F(\phi)^*dF(\phi) = (d \circ \phi)\chi_{\text{dom}(\phi)}$$

for all  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . In general  $v \in \mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$  may be written  $v = F(\phi)u$ , where  $\phi$  is a partial  $R$ -isomorphism and  $u \in \mathcal{D}$  is unitary [5, Proposition 2.2]. It follows from this discussion that

$$\mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{A} = \{F(\phi)u : \phi \text{ is a partial } R\text{-isomorphism such that } \Gamma(\phi) \subseteq \Gamma(\mathcal{A}), \text{ and } u \in \mathcal{D} \text{ is unitary}\}.$$

By [7, Corollary 2.7], we have that

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{A} = \overline{\text{span}}^\sigma(\mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{A}),$$

justifying the opening statement of this paragraph.

We say that a map  $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$  between Cartan bimodule algebras is a *Cartan bimodule algebra isomorphism* if it is an isometric algebra isomorphism such that  $\theta(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_2$ . With this terminology, Theorem 1 says that a Cartan bimodule algebra isomorphism extends to a von Neumann algebra isomorphism of the generated von Neumann algebras. The starting point for our proof of Theorem 1 is Mercer's structure theorem for Cartan bimodule algebra isomorphisms:

**Theorem 3** ([6], Propositions 2.1 and 2.2). *Let  $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$  be a Cartan bimodule algebra isomorphism. Then there exists a Borel isomorphism  $\tau : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  and a Borel function  $c : \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  such that*

- i)  $\mu_2$  and  $\mu_1 \circ \tau^{-1}$  are mutually absolutely continuous.
- ii)  $(\tau \times \tau)(R_1) = R_2$  and  $(\tau \times \tau)(\Gamma(\mathcal{A}_1)) = \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2)$ .
- iii)  $\theta(a)(x, y) = c(x, y)a(\tau^{-1}(x), \tau^{-1}(y))$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and all  $(x, y) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2)$ .

Furthermore,

- iv)  $c(x, x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X_2$ .
- v) If  $(x, y), (y, x) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2)$ , then  $c(y, x) = \overline{c(x, y)}$ .
- vi) If  $(x, y), (y, z) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2)$ , then

$$c(x, z)\sigma_1(\tau^{-1}(x), \tau^{-1}(y), \tau^{-1}(z)) = c(x, y)c(y, z)\sigma_2(x, y, z).$$

In particular,

- vii) If  $d \in \mathcal{D}_1$ , then  $\theta(d) = d \circ \tau^{-1}$ .
- viii) If  $\phi$  is a partial  $R_1$ -isomorphism such that  $\Gamma(\phi) \subseteq \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_1)$ , then there exists a unitary  $u \in \mathcal{D}_2$  such that  $\theta(F(\phi)) = F(\tau \circ \phi \circ \tau^{-1})u$ .

In order to prove Theorem 1, Mercer extends the function  $c$  in Theorem 3 above from  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2)$  to all of  $R_2$ , such that (v) and (vi) still hold. This requires an intricate analysis of  $R_2$  and its finite subequivalence relations. Our proof, on the other hand, extends  $\theta$  directly, without extending  $c$  first.

Before embarking on our proof, we draw two corollaries of Theorem 3.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$  be a Cartan bimodule algebra isomorphism. Then  $\theta(\mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \cap \mathcal{A}_1) = \mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{D}_2) \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ .*

**Proof.** Immediate from Theorem 3 (viii). □

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$  be a Cartan bimodule algebra isomorphism. Then  $\theta$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous.*

**Proof (sketch).** By the Krein-Smulian Theorem, it suffices to show that if  $\{a_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1$  is a bounded net and  $a_i \rightarrow a$  WOT, then

$$(3) \quad \langle \theta(a_i)\chi_{\Gamma(\phi)}, \chi_{\Gamma(\psi)} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \theta(a)\chi_{\Gamma(\phi)}, \chi_{\Gamma(\psi)} \rangle$$

for all partial  $R_2$ -isomorphisms  $\phi, \psi$ . Let  $g = \left[ \frac{d(\mu_1 \circ \tau^{-1})}{d\mu_2} \right] \in L^1(X_2, \mu_2)$  (Theorem 3 (i)). Straightforward calculations using Theorem 3 (iii) show that (3) is equivalent to

$$(4) \quad \int_{\text{dom}(\phi') \cap \text{dom}(\psi')} a_i(\psi'(y), \phi'(y)) h(y) d\mu_1(y) \rightarrow \int_{\text{dom}(\phi') \cap \text{dom}(\psi')} a(\psi'(y), \phi'(y)) h(y) d\mu_1(y),$$

where  $\phi' = \tau^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \tau$ ,  $\psi' = \tau^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \tau$ , and

$$h(y) = c(\tau(\psi'(y)), \tau(\phi'(y))) \sigma_2(\tau(\psi'(y)), \tau(\phi'(y)), \tau(y)) g^{-1}(\tau(y))$$

for all  $y \in \text{dom}(\phi') \cap \text{dom}(\psi')$ . But the assumption  $a_i \rightarrow a$  WOT forces (4) to hold for any  $h \in L^1(X_1, \mu_1)$  and any partial  $R_1$ -isomorphisms  $\phi', \psi'$ .  $\square$

We now begin our proof in earnest. The first goal is to extend a restriction of  $\theta$  to a \*-isomorphism  $\Theta$  of certain  $\sigma$ -weakly dense  $C^*$ -algebras (Corollary 7).

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Cartan bimodule subalgebra of  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$ . Define  $\mathcal{A}^0 = \overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{A})$  (norm closure) and  $\mathcal{C} = C^*(\mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{A})$ . Then*

- i)  $\mathcal{C} = C^*(\mathcal{A}^0)$  and  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ ;
- ii)  $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{C})$ ;
- iii)  $\overline{\mathcal{A}^{0\sigma}} = \mathcal{A}$ ; and
- iv)  $\overline{\mathcal{C}^\sigma} = \mathcal{M}$ .

In particular, the pair  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is a  $C^*$ -diagonal in the sense of Kumjian [4].

**Proof.** (i) and (ii) are routine. (iii) is just (2) above. (iv) follows from the calculation

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}^\sigma} = \overline{C^*(\mathcal{A}^0)^\sigma} = W^*(\mathcal{A}^0) = W^*(\overline{\mathcal{A}^{0\sigma}}) = W^*(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{M}.$$

Now (ii) says that  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is a regular inclusion. Moreover, as  $\mathcal{D}$  is a MASA in  $\mathcal{M}$ , it is a MASA in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is injective, the pair  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is a  $C^*$ -diagonal [9, Section 2].  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$  be a Cartan bimodule algebra isomorphism. Then*

- i) *there exists a \*-isomorphism  $\Theta : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$  such that  $\Theta(x) = \theta(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^0$  (notation as in Proposition 6);*
- ii)  $\Theta(\mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_1) = \mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{D}_2) \cap \mathcal{C}_2$ ; and
- iii) *if  $\phi$  is a partial  $R_1$ -isomorphism such that  $F(\phi) \in \mathcal{C}_1$ , then there exists a unitary  $u \in \mathcal{D}_2$  such that  $\Theta(F(\phi)) = F(\tau \circ \phi \circ \tau^{-1})u$ .*

**Proof.** By Corollary 4,  $\theta(\mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \cap \mathcal{A}_1) = \mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{D}_2) \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ . It follows that  $\theta(\mathcal{A}_1^0) = \mathcal{A}_2^0$ . By Proposition 6, the pair  $(\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{D}_i)$  is a  $C^*$ -diagonal and  $C^*(\mathcal{A}_i^0) = \mathcal{C}_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . An application of [8, Theorem 2.16] establishes (i).

Since  $\Theta$  is a \*-isomorphism and  $\Theta(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_2$ , (ii) holds.

For (iii), let  $\phi$  be a partial  $R_1$ -isomorphism such that  $v = F(\phi) \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Then there exists a partial  $R_2$ -isomorphism  $\psi$  and a unitary  $u \in \mathcal{D}_2$  such that  $\Theta(v) = F(\psi)u$ . We aim to show that  $\psi = \phi_\tau$ , where  $\phi_\tau = \tau \circ \phi \circ \tau^{-1}$ . We have that

$$\chi_{\text{dom}(\psi)} = \Theta(v)^* \Theta(v) = \Theta(v^* v) = \chi_{\text{dom}(\phi)} \circ \tau^{-1} = \chi_{\tau(\text{dom}(\phi))} = \chi_{\text{dom}(\phi_\tau)},$$

and so  $\text{dom}(\psi) = \text{dom}(\phi_\tau)$ . For  $d \in \mathcal{D}_1$  we have that

$$\Theta(v^* d v) = v^* d v \circ \tau^{-1} = [(d \circ \phi) \chi_{\text{dom}(\phi)}] \circ \tau^{-1} = (d \circ \tau^{-1} \circ \phi_\tau) \chi_{\text{dom}(\phi_\tau)}$$

and

$$\Theta(v)^* \Theta(d) \Theta(v) = \Theta(v)^* (d \circ \tau^{-1}) \Theta(v) = (d \circ \tau^{-1} \circ \psi) \chi_{\text{dom}(\psi)}.$$

Thus,

$$d(\tau^{-1}(\psi(x))) = d(\tau^{-1}(\phi_\tau(x)))$$

for all  $d \in \mathcal{D}_1$  and all  $x \in \text{dom}(\psi) = \text{dom}(\phi_\tau)$ . Therefore,  $\psi = \phi_\tau$ .  $\square$

As the next step in our proof, we show that the \*-isomorphism  $\Theta : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$  of Corollary 3 is spatial by constructing an implementing unitary  $U : L^2(R_1) \rightarrow L^2(R_2)$ . For this, the following definition and technical “disjointification” lemma will be useful.

**Definition 8.** Let  $v, w \in \mathfrak{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$ . We say that  $v$  and  $w$  are *disjoint* if  $\Gamma(\phi) \cap \Gamma(\psi) = \emptyset$ , where  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are the partial  $R$ -isomorphisms associated with  $v$  and  $w$ , respectively.

**Remark 9.** Notice that disjoint elements of  $\mathfrak{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$  are orthogonal in  $L^2(R, \nu)$ . Also, by Corollary 7, if  $v, w \in \mathfrak{GN}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_1$  are disjoint, then so are  $\Theta(v), \Theta(w) \in \mathfrak{GN}(\mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{D}_2) \cap \mathcal{C}_2$ ; indeed, if  $\Gamma(\phi) \cap \Gamma(\psi) = \emptyset$ , then

$$\Gamma(\tau \circ \phi \circ \tau^{-1}) \cap \Gamma(\tau \circ \psi \circ \tau^{-1}) = (\tau \times \tau)(\Gamma(\phi) \cap \Gamma(\psi)) = \emptyset.$$

**Lemma 10.** Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in \mathfrak{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$ . Then there exist pairwise disjoint  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N \in \mathfrak{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$  ( $N \leq 2^n - 1$ ) such that the following conditions hold:

- i) For all  $1 \leq j \leq N$ ,  $w_j = v_{i(j)}p_j$  for some  $1 \leq i(j) \leq n$  and some projection  $p_j \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- ii) For all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{D}$  there exist  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i a_i = \sum_{j=1}^N w_j b_j$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $v_i = F(\phi_i)u_i$ , where  $\phi_i$  is a partial  $R$ -isomorphism and  $u_i \in \mathcal{D}$  is unitary. Each  $\Gamma(\phi_i)$  is a Borel subset of  $R$  [1, Proposition 3.3.1]. An exercise in set theory shows that there exist pairwise disjoint Borel sets  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_N$  ( $N \leq 2^n - 1$ ) such that

- 1) For all  $1 \leq j \leq N$ ,  $G_j \subseteq \Gamma(\phi_{i(j)})$  for some  $1 \leq i(j) \leq n$ .
- 2) For all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\Gamma(\phi_i) = \bigsqcup_{G_j \subseteq \Gamma(\phi_i)} G_j$ .

For each  $1 \leq j \leq N$ , set  $B_j = \pi_2(G_j)$ , a Borel subset of  $\text{dom}(\phi_{i(j)})$  [2, p. 291]. Define  $\psi_j$  to be the restriction of  $\phi_{i(j)}$  to  $B_j$ . Then  $\psi_j$  is a partial  $R$ -isomorphism such that  $\Gamma(\psi_j) = G_j$ . Define  $p_j = \chi_{B_j}$ , a projection in  $\mathcal{D}$ , and  $w_j = v_{i(j)}p_j$ , an element of  $\mathfrak{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$ . Since

$$w_j = F(\phi_{i(j)})u_{i(j)}\chi_{B_j} = F(\phi_{i(j)})\chi_{B_j}u_{i(j)} = F(\psi_j)u_{i(j)},$$

we see that  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N$  are pairwise disjoint. Suppose  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i a_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n F(\phi_i)u_i a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{\Gamma(\psi_j) \subseteq \Gamma(\phi_i)} F(\psi_j) \right) u_i a_i = \sum_{j=1}^N F(\psi_j) \left( \sum_{\Gamma(\psi_j) \subseteq \Gamma(\phi_i)} u_i a_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N F(\psi_j)u_{i(j)} \left( u_{i(j)}^* \sum_{\Gamma(\psi_j) \subseteq \Gamma(\phi_i)} u_i a_i \right) = \sum_{j=1}^N w_j b_j. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 11.** If  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in \mathfrak{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{C}$  for some  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  containing  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N \in \mathfrak{GN}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{C}$  also, because of (i).

For  $i = 1, 2$ , we denote by  $f_i \in L^2(R_i, \nu_i)$  the characteristic function of the diagonal of  $R_i$ . By [3, Proposition 2.5],  $f_i$  is cyclic and separating for  $\mathcal{M}_i$ . Recalling that  $\mu_1 \circ \tau^{-1}$  and  $\mu_2$  are equivalent measures, define  $g = \left[ \frac{d(\mu_1 \circ \tau^{-1})}{d\mu_2} \right] \in L^1(X_2, \mu_2)$ . We will simultaneously regard  $g^{1/2}$  as an element of  $L^2(X_2, \mu_2)$  and as an element of  $L^2(R_2, \nu_2)$  supported on the diagonal of  $R_2$ . We claim that  $g^{1/2}$  is cyclic for  $\mathcal{M}_2$ . Indeed, there exist  $d_n \in L^\infty(X_2, \mu_1 \circ \tau^{-1})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $d_n \rightarrow g^{-1/2}$  in  $L^2(X_2, \mu_1 \circ \tau^{-1})$ . A simple calculation shows that  $d_n g^{1/2} \rightarrow 1$  in  $L^2(X_2, \mu_2)$ , equivalently that  $d_n g^{1/2} \rightarrow f_2$  in  $L^2(R_2, \nu_2)$ . It follows that

$$f_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_2 g^{1/2}} \text{ (closure in } L^2(R_2, \nu_2)\text{)},$$

which implies the claim. Combining the previous discussion with Proposition 6 (iv), we see that  $\mathcal{C}_1 f_1$  is dense in  $L^2(R_1, \nu_1)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2 g^{1/2}$  is dense in  $L^2(R_2, \nu_2)$ .

We define a surjective linear mapping

$$U : \mathcal{C}_1 f_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 g^{1/2} : a f_1 \mapsto \Theta(a) g^{1/2}.$$

We claim that  $U_0$  is isometric, and therefore extends uniquely to a unitary  $U : L^2(R_1) \rightarrow L^2(R_2)$ . It suffices to show that

$$(5) \quad \|\Theta(v)\Theta(d)g^{1/2}\|_{L^2(R_2)} = \|vdf_1\|_{L^2(R_1)}$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_1$  and all  $d \in \mathcal{D}_1$ . Indeed, if (5) holds, then by Remark 9 and the Pythagorean Theorem,  $\|\Theta(a)g^{1/2}\|_{L^2(R_2)} = \|af_1\|_{L^2(R_1)}$  for all  $a = \sum_{j=1}^N v_j d_j$  with  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N \in \mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_1$  pairwise disjoint and  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_N \in \mathcal{D}_1$ . But then by Lemma 10 and Remark 11,  $\|\Theta(a)g^{1/2}\|_{L^2(R_2)} = \|af_1\|_{L^2(R_1)}$  for all  $a \in \text{span}(\mathcal{GN}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_1)$ , and so by Proposition 6 (ii),  $\|\Theta(a)g^{1/2}\|_{L^2(R_2)} = \|af_1\|_{L^2(R_1)}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . It remains to show (5), but this follows by the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta(v)\Theta(d)g^{1/2}\|_{L^2(R_2)}^2 &= \int_{R_2} \chi_{\Gamma(\phi_\tau)}(x, y) |\Theta(d)(y)|^2 g(y) d\nu_2(x, y) \\ &= \int_{X_2} \chi_{\text{dom}(\phi_\tau)}(y) |\Theta(d)(y)|^2 g(y) d\mu_2(y) \\ &= \int_{X_2} \chi_{\tau(\text{dom}(\phi))}(y) |d(\tau^{-1}(y))|^2 d(\mu_1 \circ \tau^{-1})(y) \\ &= \int_{X_1} \chi_{\text{dom}(\phi)}(y) |d(y)|^2 d\mu_1(y) \\ &= \int_{R_1} \chi_{\Gamma(\phi)}(x, y) |d(y)|^2 d\nu_1(x, y) = \|vdf_1\|_{L^2(R_1)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that  $\Theta(a) = UaU^*$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{C}_1$  (as intended). Indeed,

$$U^*\Theta(a)Ubf_1 = U^*\Theta(a)\Theta(b)g^{1/2} = U^*\Theta(ab)g^{1/2} = abf_1$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{C}_1$ .

To conclude our proof of Theorem 1, we define  $\bar{\theta}(x) = UxU^*$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}_1$ . Since  $\bar{\theta}|_{\mathcal{C}_1} = \Theta$ ,  $\bar{\theta}$  is a von Neumann algebra isomorphism of  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$ , by Proposition 6 (iv). Since  $\bar{\theta}|_{\mathcal{A}_1^0} = \theta|_{\mathcal{A}_1^0}$ ,  $\bar{\theta}|_{\mathcal{A}} = \theta$ , by Proposition 6 (iii) and Corollary 5.

## References

1. William Arveson, *An invitation to  $C^*$ -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 39. MR 0512360 (58 #23621), Zbl 0344.46123
2. Jacob Feldman and Calvin C. Moore, *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **234** (1977), no. 2, 289–324. MR 58 #28261a, Zbl 0369.22009
3. ———, *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **234** (1977), no. 2, 325–359. MR 58 #28261b, Zbl 0369.22010
4. Alexander Kumjian, *On  $C^*$ -diagonals*, Canad. J. Math. **38** (1986), no. 4, 969–1008. MR 88a:46060, Zbl 0627.46071
5. Richard Mercer, *Bimodules over Cartan subalgebras*, Proceedings of the Seventh Great Plains Operator Theory Seminar (Lawrence, KS, 1987), vol. 20, 1990, pp. 487–502. MR 1065846 (91f:46081), Zbl 0722.46021
6. ———, *Isometric isomorphisms of Cartan bimodule algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **101** (1991), no. 1, 10–24. MR 1132304 (92k:46102), Zbl 0772.46030
7. P. S. Muhly, K. Saito and B. Solel, *Coordinates for triangular operator algebras*, Ann. Math. **127** (1988), 245–278. MR 0932297 (89h:46088), Zbl 0649.47036
8. David R. Pitts, *Norming algebras and automatic complete boundedness of isomorphisms of operator algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 5, 1757–1768. MR 2373606, Zbl 1137.47061
9. ———, *working title: Structure for regular inclusions*, (2011), preprint.
10. Florin Pop, Allan M. Sinclair, and Roger R. Smith, *Norming  $C^*$ -algebras by  $C^*$ -subalgebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **175** (2000), no. 1, 168–196. MR 2001h:46105, Zbl 1003.46031
11. Allan M. Sinclair and Roger R. Smith, *Hochschild cohomology for von Neumann algebras with Cartan subalgebras*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), no. 5, 1043–1057. MR 1646053 (99j:46087), Zbl 0913.46050

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, VASSAR COLLEGE, POUGHKEEPSIE, NY, 12604  
[jacameron@vassar.edu](mailto:jacameron@vassar.edu)

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA-LINCOLN, LINCOLN, NE, 68588-0130  
[dpitts2@math.unl.edu](mailto:dpitts2@math.unl.edu)

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, U. S. NAVAL ACADEMY, ANNAPOLIS, MD, 21402  
[zarikian@usna.edu](mailto:zarikian@usna.edu)