

文章编号:1001-5132 (2010) 03-0060 -05

# Higher-order Integrality for Weak $A$ -harmonic Tensors

LI Juan<sup>1</sup>, GAO Hong-ya<sup>2</sup>

( 1.Faculty of Science, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, China;

2.College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China )

**Abstract:** The higher integrality for the very weak solutions of the non-homogeneous  $A$ -harmonic equation  $d^*A(x, g + du) = d^*h$  is obtained using the result of Riesz transforms and interpolation.

**Key words:** interpolation; Hodge decomposition; weak  $A$ -harmonic tensor

**CLC number:** O174.2

**Document code:** A

Let  $\wedge^l = \wedge^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$  denote the linear space of  $l$ -covectors in  $\mathbf{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $l=1,2,\dots,n$ . For  $l=0$  we put  $\wedge^0(\mathbf{R}^n) = \mathbf{R}^n$ . Also,  $\wedge^l = \wedge^l(\mathbf{R}^n) = 0$  if  $l < 0$  or  $l > n$ . This is an inner product space of dimension  $C_n^l$ . A differential form of degree  $l$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is simply a function or Schwarz distribution on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with values in  $\wedge^l$ . We shall consider a nonlinear mapping  $A: \mathbf{R}^n \times \wedge^l(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \wedge^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

Which satisfies the usual measurability conditions (Carathéodory conditions) and for some  $1 < p < \infty$ , the following conditions hold:

(i) the monotonicity inequality

$$\langle A(x, \xi) - A(x, \zeta), \xi - \zeta \rangle \geq \alpha |\xi - \zeta|^2 (|\xi + \zeta|)^{p-2}.$$

(ii) the Lipschitz type condition

$$|A(x, \xi) - A(x, \zeta)| \leq \beta |\xi - \zeta| (|\xi + \zeta|)^{p-2}.$$

(iii) the homogeneity condition

$$A(x, \lambda \xi) = |\lambda|^{p-2} \lambda A(x, \xi).$$

For almost every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and all  $\xi, \zeta \in \wedge^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . The exponent  $p > 1$  will determine the

natural Sobolev class, denoted by  $W_{d,loc}^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1})$ , in which to consider the nonhomogeneous  $A$ -harmonic equation

$$d^*A(x, g + du) = d^*h, \tag{1}$$

(see [1] for the definition of  $W_{d,loc}^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1})$  and other Sobolev classes of differential forms).

**Definition 1** For  $g \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  and  $h \in L^q(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  with  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , an  $A$ -harmonic tensor  $u \in W_{d,loc}^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1})$  is a weak solution of (1) defined as

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle A(x, g + du), d\phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle h, d\phi \rangle, \tag{2}$$

for all  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1})$ .

**Definition 2** A differential form  $u \in W_{d,loc}^r(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1})$ ,  $r \geq \max\{1, p-1\}$ , is called a weak  $A$ -harmonic tensor if it satisfies equation (1) in the distributional sense for  $g \in L^r(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  and  $h \in L^{r/(p-1)}(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l)$ , that is, the integral identity (2) holds for all  $\phi \in L^{r/(r-p+1)}(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1})$ .

Iwaniec T and Sbordone C showed in [2] the regularity result for the very weak solutions of the

equation

$$\operatorname{div}A(x, \nabla u(x)) = 0. \tag{3}$$

They used the Hodge decomposition to build a test function, and obtained that there exist  $r_1 = r_1(p, \Omega)$  and  $r_2 = r_2(p, \Omega)$  satisfying  $1 < r_1 < p < r_2 < \infty$ , such that every very weak solution  $u \in W_{loc}^{1, r_1}(\Omega)$  of equation (3) belongs to  $u \in W_{loc}^{1, r_2}(\Omega)$ . Stroffolini B used the similar technique in [1] to obtain the regularity results for the very weak solutions of the homogeneous  $A$ -harmonic equation

$$d^*A(x, du) = 0. \tag{4}$$

In the paper [3], Stroffolini Capone C, et al proved the similar results via Riesz transforms and interpolation. For other results on very weak solution, see [4-8]. Now, inspired by the paper [3], we obtain the following regularity theorem.

**Theorem 1** There exist exponent  $\max\{1, p - 1\} < p_1 = p_1(n, p) < p$  and  $p_2 = p_2(n, p) > p$ , such that for  $p_1 \leq r \leq p \leq s \leq p_2$ ,  $g \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  and  $h \in L^{s/(p-1)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1})$ , we have if  $u \in W_d^r(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1}) \cap L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1})$  is weak  $A$ -harmonic, then  $u \in W_d^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1}) \cap L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1})$  as well and moreover

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |du|^s \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|g|^s + |h|^{s/(p-1)}), \tag{5}$$

Then,  $u$  is an  $A$ -harmonic tensor in the usual sense.

## 1 Notations and Preliminary Results

Throughout we use the notation of [9]. For the sake of completeness we list basic notions of exterior calculus. The direct sum  $\wedge(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigoplus_{l=0}^n \wedge^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an exterior algebra with respect to the wedge product  $\wedge$ . We define the Hodge star operator  $*$ :  $\wedge \rightarrow \wedge$  by the rule  $*1 = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$ , and  $\alpha \wedge * \beta = \beta \wedge * \alpha = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle (*1)$ .

For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \wedge$ . the norm of  $\alpha \in \wedge$ . is given by the formula  $|a|^2 = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = *(\alpha \wedge * \alpha) \in \wedge^0 = \mathbb{R}$ .

The Hodge star is an isometric isomorphism on

$\wedge$  with  $*$ :  $\wedge^l \rightarrow \wedge^{n-l}$  and  $**(-1)^{l(n-l)} : \wedge^l \rightarrow \wedge^l$ .

A differential  $l$ -form  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a locally integrable function or a Schwarz distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with values in  $\wedge(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We denote the space of differential  $l$ -form by  $D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l)$ . If  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  denote the coordinate functions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the natural generators for the algebra of differential forms are the differentials  $dx_1, dx_2, \dots, dx_n$ . Thus each  $\omega: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \wedge^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be written as

$$\omega(x) = \sum_I \omega_I(x) dx_I = \sum \omega_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_l}(x) dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_l},$$

where  $\sum \omega_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_l}(x)$  are either functions or distributions. We write  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  for the  $l$ -forms  $\omega(x)$  with  $\omega_I \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  for all ordered  $l$  tuples  $I$ . Thus  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  is a Banach space with norm

$$\|\omega\|_p = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \sum_I |\omega_I(x)|^2 \right)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

We denote the exterior derivative by

$$d: D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l) \rightarrow D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^{l+1}).$$

For  $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$  by the following conditions:

(i) For  $l = 0$ ,  $df$  is the differential of  $f$ . (ii)

For  $\alpha \in D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  and  $\beta \in D^k(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^k)$ , we have  $d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^l \alpha \wedge d\beta$ . (iii)  $d(d\alpha) = 0$ .

The elements of the kernel of  $d: D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l) \rightarrow D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^{l+1})$  are called closed  $l$ -forms and those in the image of  $d: D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1}) \rightarrow D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  are the exact  $l$ -forms.

The formal adjoint operator (the Hodge co-differential) is the operator  $d^*: D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^{l+1}) \rightarrow D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  given by  $d^* = (-1)^{n-l+1} * d *$ .

The forms in the image of  $d^*: D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^{l+1}) \rightarrow D^l(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  are called coexact  $l$ -forms.

We make a brief list of spaces of differential forms:  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  -the space of differential forms with coefficients in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;  $L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  -the space

of differential forms  $\omega$  such that  $\nabla\omega$  is a regular distribution of class  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l)$ ;  $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  -the Sobolev space of  $l$ -forms defined by  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l) \cap L_1^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l)$ ;  $W_d^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  -the space of  $l$ -forms  $\omega$  such that  $d\omega \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^{l+1})$ ;  $W_{d^*}^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  -the space of  $l$ -forms  $\omega$  such that  $d^*\omega \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^{l-1})$ . Of special importance is the following Hodge decomposition theorem, see [10].

**Theorem 2 (Hodge decomposition)** For each  $\omega \in L^r(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l)$ ,  $1 < r < \infty$ , there exist differential forms  $\alpha \in \ker d^* \cap L_1^r(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge)$  and  $\beta \in \ker d \cap L_1^r(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge)$ , such that

$$\omega = d\alpha + d^*\beta. \quad (6)$$

The forms  $d\alpha$  and  $d^*\beta$  are unique and satisfy the uniform estimate

$$\|\alpha\|_{L_1^r(\mathbf{R}^n)} + \|\beta\|_{L_1^r(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C_r(n) \|\omega\|_r. \quad (7)$$

For some constant  $C_r(n)$  independent of  $\omega$ .

The following results about interpolation are coming from [3]. Given  $1 < p_j < \infty$ , for  $j=1,2$ , we consider the function

$$\Phi(s) = \Phi(s; p_1, p_2) = \begin{cases} s^{p_1}, & 0 \leq s \leq 1, \\ s^{p_2}, & s \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

if  $q_j = p_j / (p_j - 1)$  is the Hölder conjugate exponent to  $p_j$ , we set  $\Psi = \Phi(t; q_1, q_2)$ . Then, for all  $s, t \geq 0$ ,

$$st \leq \Phi(s) + \Psi(t), \quad (9)$$

$$\Phi(s+t) \leq \max\{2^{p_1-1}, 2^{p_2-1}\}(\Phi(s) + \Phi(t)). \quad (10)$$

Due to the inequality (9), we say that  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are conjugate functions to each other.

Now, let  $(X, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $E$  be a separable complex Hilbert space. The Hermitian product will be denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm by  $|\cdot|$ . We shall consider  $\mu$ -measurable functions from  $X$  into  $E$ . To simplify notation, if  $\Phi$  is any function on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $f \in E$ , then we will write  $\Phi(f)$  instead of  $\Phi(|f|)$ . Also, we define the

truncation

$$[f] = \begin{cases} |f|, & |f| \leq 1, \\ 1/|f|, & |f| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $T: L^r \rightarrow L^r$  be a linear operator, bounded for  $r \in [r_1, r_2]$ ,  $1 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$ . In the paper [3], there are the following results.

**Lemma 1** There exists  $C = C(r_1, r_2, \|T\|_{r_1}, \|T\|_{r_2}) > 0$ , such that, for all  $f \in L^{r_1} + L^{r_2}$ ,

$$\int_X \Phi(Tf) d\mu \leq C \int_X \Phi(f) d\mu, \quad (11)$$

where  $\Phi(s) = \Phi(s; p_1, p_2)$  is defined in (8),  $p_1, p_2 \in [r_1, r_2]$ .

**Lemma 2** Suppose that  $r_1 \leq r / (1 + \varepsilon) \leq r_2$ , and  $[f]^\varepsilon f \in L^r(X, E)$  verifies  $Tf = 0$ . Then, there exists  $C = C(r_1, r_2, \|T\|_{r_1}, \|T\|_{r_2}) > 0$  such that

$$\int_X |T[f]^\varepsilon f| |f|^{r-1} d\mu \leq C \varepsilon \int_X [f]^\varepsilon |f|^r d\mu. \quad (12)$$

## 2 The Proof of the Theorem

We shall prove Theorem 1 with  $r = p - \varepsilon$ ,  $s = p + \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$  sufficiently small. We begin with an a priori estimate.

**Lemma 3** If  $u$  is a solution of (1) and  $[du]^\varepsilon |du|^p$  is integrable, then  $du \in L^s(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^l)$  and (5) holds.

**Proof** We first show that (5) holds for  $p > 2$ . Under the hypotheses of Lemma and the Lipschitz type condition, we know that  $A(x, g + du) \in L^{s/(p-1)} + L^{r/(p-1)}$  and thus the test function of Definition 1 extends to  $d\phi \in L^{s/(s-p+1)} \cap L^{r/(r-p+1)}$ . Then in view of the Hodge decomposition (Theorem 2), we decompose

$$[du]^\varepsilon du = d\phi + H. \quad (13)$$

Thus,  $\phi$  can be used as a test function. Using (2) and the Lipschitz type condition (ii), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle A(x, du), d\phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle A(x, du), d\phi \rangle -$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{R^n} \langle A(x, g+du), d\phi \rangle + \int_{R^n} \langle A(x, g+du), d\phi \rangle = \\ & \int_{R^n} \langle A(x, du), d\phi \rangle - \int_{R^n} \langle A(x, g+du), d\phi \rangle + \\ & \int_{R^n} \langle h, d\phi \rangle \leq C_1 \int_{R^n} |g| (|g+du| + \\ & du)^{p-2} d\phi + \int_{R^n} |h| |d\phi| \leq C_2 \int_{R^n} (|g| |du|^{p-2} + \\ & |g|^{p-1} + |h|) |d\phi|. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By the Hodge decomposition (13), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R^n} \langle A(x, du), d\phi \rangle &= \int_{R^n} \langle A(x, du), [du]^\varepsilon du - H \rangle = \\ & \int_{R^n} \langle A(x, du), [du]^\varepsilon du \rangle - \int_{R^n} \langle A(x, du), H \rangle \geq \\ & \int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p - \int_{R^n} |H| |du|^{p-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Combing (15) and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p - \int_{R^n} |H| |du|^{p-1} &\leq \\ C_2 \int_{R^n} (|g| |du|^{p-2} + |g|^{p-1} + |h|) |d\phi|. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

We apply Lemma 2 to the measure space  $(R^n, dx)$  and  $E = \wedge^l(R^n)$ . The operator  $T$  is then defined by  $T\omega = d^*\beta$  and the operator  $G$  is defined by  $G\omega = d\alpha$ , where  $\omega = d\alpha + d^*\beta$  (see the Hodge decomposition (6)). In view of (7),  $T: L^r(R^n, \wedge) \rightarrow L^r(R^n, \wedge)$  and  $G: L^r(R^n, \wedge) \rightarrow L^r(R^n, \wedge)$  are bounded linear operators for all  $1 < r < \infty$ .

It follows from the uniqueness of the Hodge decomposition that the kernel of  $T$  consists of the exact forms from  $dW_d^r(R^n, \wedge^{l-1})$ , while the range of  $T$  consists of the coexact forms. In view of Lemma 2, we have

$$\int_{R^n} |H| |du|^{p-1} \leq C_3 \varepsilon \int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p. \tag{17}$$

Therefore, we can estimate the left-hand side of (16) from below

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p - \int_{R^n} |H| |du|^{p-1} &\geq \\ (1 - C_3 \varepsilon) \int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Now, we estimate each term in the right-hand side of (16). By Young's inequality, we obtain

$$|g| |du|^{p-2} \leq \theta |du|^{p-1} + C_\theta |g|^{p-1}.$$

Moreover, in view of (9), we have

$$|du|^{p-1} |d\phi| \leq \Phi(|du|^{p-1}) + \Psi(d\phi).$$

With  $\Phi(t) = \Phi(t; s/(p-1), r/(p-1))$  and  $\Psi(t) = \Psi(t; s/(s-p+1), r/(r-p+1))$ . Then  $\Phi(t^{p-1}) = [t]^\varepsilon t^p$  and  $\Psi([t]^\varepsilon t) = [t]^\varepsilon t^p$ . By the definition of the operator  $G$ , the equality (13), and Lemma 1, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R^n} \Psi(d\phi) &= \int_{R^n} \Psi(G([du]^\varepsilon du)) \leq \\ C_4 \int_{R^n} \Psi([du]^\varepsilon |du|) &= C_4 \int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p. \\ \int_{R^n} |g| |du|^{p-2} |d\phi| &\leq (1 + C_4) \theta \int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon \cdot \\ |du|^p + C_\theta \int_{R^n} |g|^{p-1} |d\phi|. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

By Hölder inequality, and the uniform estimate (7) in the Hodge decomposition, and inequality  $([du]^\varepsilon du)^{s/(s-p+1)} \leq [du]^\varepsilon [du]^p$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R^n} |g|^{p-1} |d\phi| &\leq \|g\|_s^{p-1} \|d\phi\|_{s/(s-p+1)} \leq \\ C_5 \|g\|_s^{p-1} \|[du]^\varepsilon du\|_{s/(s-p+1)} &\leq \\ C_5 \|g\|_s^{p-1} \left( \int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p \right)^{(s-p+1)/s}, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R^n} |h| |d\phi| &\leq \|h\|_{s/(p-1)} \|d\phi\|_{s/(s-p+1)} \leq \\ C_6 \|h\|_{s/(p-1)} \left( \int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p \right)^{(s-p+1)/s}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Combing (16) and (18)~(21), for  $\varepsilon$  and  $\theta$  small enough, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p &\leq C_7 (\|g\|_s^{p-1} + \|h\|_{s/(p-1)}) \cdot \\ \left( \int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p \right)^{(s-p+1)/s}. \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\int_{R^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p \leq C_8 \int_{R^n} (|g|^s + |h|^{s/(p-1)}). \tag{22}$$

On the other hand, in view of the homogeneity condition (iii), for  $\delta > 0$ , (1) can be written as

$$d^*A(x, (g+du)/\delta) = d^*(\delta^{1-p}h).$$

Therefore, using the inequality (22), we have

$$\int_{R^n} (\delta [du/\delta])^\varepsilon |du|^p \leq C_9 \int_{R^n} (|g|^s + |h|^{s/(p-1)}).$$

Noticing that  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \delta [du/\delta] = |du| a.e.$ , by Fatou Lemma, we have proved that (5) is true.

Now, we prove that (5) holds for  $1 < p \leq 2$ .

According to the above argument, we know that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p - \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |H| |du|^{p-1} \leq C_{10} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |g| \cdot (|g+du|+du)^{p-2} d\phi + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |h| d\phi. \quad (23)$$

In view of  $|g| \leq |g+du| + |du|$  and  $p-2 \leq 0$ , we obtain

$$(|g+du|+|du|)^{p-2} \leq |g|^{p-2}. \quad (24)$$

Substituting (24) into (23), we find that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p - \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |H| |du|^{p-1} \leq C_{11} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (|g|^{p-2} + |h|) |d\phi|,$$

and The following argument is similar. We have completed the proof of Lemma 3.

### Proof of Theorem 1

Let us put  $X_1 = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |du| \leq 1\}$  and  $X_2 = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |du| \geq 1\}$ , then,  $\mathbf{R}^n = X_1 \cup X_2$ . By the hypotheses of Theorem 1, we know  $du \in L^{p-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^1)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p &= \int_{X_1 \cup X_2} [du]^\varepsilon |du|^p = \\ &= \int_{X_1} |du|^{p+\varepsilon} + \int_{X_2} |du|^{p-\varepsilon} \leq \\ &= \int_{X_1} |du|^{p-\varepsilon} + \int_{X_2} |du|^{p-\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |du|^{p-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $[du]^\varepsilon |du|^p \in L^1$ . By the Lemma 3, we have  $du \in L^s(\mathbf{R}^n, \wedge^1)$  and (5) holds. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.

### References:

- [1] Stroffolini B. On weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensors[J]. *Studia Mathematica*, 1995, 114:289-301.
- [2] Iwaniec T, Sbordone C. Weak minima of variational integrals[J]. *J Reine Angew Math*, 1994, 454:143-161.
- [3] Stroffolini Capone C, Greco L, Iwaniec T. Higher integrability via Riesz transforms and interpolation[J]. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 2002, 49:513-523.
- [4] Lewis J. On very weak solutions of certain elliptic equations[J]. *Comm Part Diff Equa*, 1993, 18:1515-1537.
- [5] Hongya G. Regularity for very weak solutions of  $A$ -harmonic equation[J]. *Acta Math Sin*, 2001, 4(44): 605-610.
- [6] Hongya G, Yin Zhu C. Caccioppoli type inequality for weak solutions of  $A$ -harmonic equation and its applications[J]. *Kyungpook Math J*, 2004, 44(3):363-368.
- [7] Hongya G, Yuquan Y, Suying X. Uniqueness for very weak solutions of  $A$ -harmonic equations with very weak boundary values[J]. *Acta Math Sci*, 2002, 22(1):41-46.
- [8] Hongya G, Min W, Hongliang Z. On very weak solutions for obstacle problems of  $A$ -harmonic equations[J]. *J Math Res Exp*, 2004, 24(1):159-167.
- [9] Iwaniec T, Lutoborski A. Integral estimates for null Lagrangians[J]. *Arch Rational Mech Anal*, 1993, 125:25-79.
- [10] Iwaniec T, Martin G. Quasiregular mappings in even dimensions[J]. *Acta Math*, 1993, 170:29-81.

## 弱 $A$ -调和张量的高阶可积性

李娟<sup>1</sup>, 高红亚<sup>2</sup>

(1.宁波大学 理学院, 浙江 宁波 315211; 2.河北大学 数学与计算机学院, 河北 保定 071002)

摘要: 首先, 利用 Riesz 变换和内插理论的结果得到了非齐次  $A$ -调和方程  $d^*A(x, g+du) = d^*h$  很弱解的一个先验估计. 然后, 利用这个先验估计得到了该方程很弱解的高阶可积性.

关键词: 内插; Hodge 分解; 弱  $A$ -调和张量

中图分类号: O174.2

文献标识码: A

(责任编辑 史小丽)