

文章编号:1001-5132 (2008) 01-0094-02

Notes on Level Function of Fuzzy Sets

ZHOU Cai-lian

(Faculty of Science, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, China)

Abstract: This short note presents a counterexample showing that a result from level function of fuzzy sets in Wu Hsienchung is incorrect, and a correct proof on the convexity of the given fuzzy set is given.

Key words: fuzzy set; upper semicontinuity; convex; resolution identity

CLC number: O159; O174.13 **Document code:** A

The object of this note is to construct a counterexample to Proposition 2.11 in Wu's paper [1], which also appeared in the papers [2], [3] and [4]. Since proposition 2.11 is mainly used to prove convexity of the resulting fuzzy set, we also give a correct proof on the convexity of the resulting fuzzy set. In the following we use the same notations as in [1].

First we recall that for $f(x)$ a real valued function on a topological space, if the set $\{x: f(x) \leq \alpha\}$ is closed for every α , then $f(x)$ is called upper semicontinuous. In Wu[1], the following proposition is on level function of fuzzy sets is given:

Proposition 2.11 of [1].

(1) Let $\{A_\alpha = [l_\alpha, u_\alpha]: 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$ be decreasing with respect to α , then $f(\alpha) = \alpha 1_{A_\alpha}(r)$ is upper semicontinuous for any fixed r .

(2) If $\sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \alpha 1_{A_\alpha}(r) = \beta$ then $\exists \alpha_0 \leq \beta$ such that $r \in A_{\alpha_0}$.

Now we show that this proposition is invalid by

giving the following counterexample.

Example Let

$$A_\alpha = \begin{cases} [1, 3], & 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}, \\ [1, 2], & \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

let $r = 2.5$.

We can see that

$$f(\alpha) = \alpha 1_{A_\alpha}(2.5) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0, & \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

So

$$\{\alpha: f(\alpha) \leq y\} = \left[y, \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

which is not closed.

We can also see that $\sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \alpha 1_{A_\alpha}(2.5) = 1/2$. Let $\beta = 1/2$, $\beta > 1/2$, for arbitrary $\alpha_0 \leq \beta$, $2.5 \notin A_{\alpha_0}$, thus the above counterexample also shows that (ii) of the proposition 2.11 in [1] is false.

Actually, by the resolution identity [5], the level

set of \tilde{A} which is induced by A_α is

$$\tilde{A}_\alpha = \bigcap_{\lambda < \alpha} A_\lambda \begin{cases} [1, 3], & 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}, \\ [1, 2], & \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

Remark In paper [1], proposition 2.11 is only used to prove Proposition 2.12. If $\{A_\alpha = [l_\alpha, u_\alpha] : 0 < \alpha < 1\}$ is decreasing with respect to α , then the fuzzy set \tilde{A} induced by A_α is a convex fuzzy set. In fact, proposition 2.12 is obvious since $A_\alpha = [l_\alpha, u_\alpha]$ is both closed and convex, and as we know that if A_α is convex set, then $\bigcap_{\alpha} A_\alpha$ is also convex. Thus the fuzzy set \tilde{A} induced by A_α is a convex fuzzy set.

References:

- [1] Wu Hsienchung. The improper fuzzy Riemann integral and its numerical integration[J]. Information Sciences, 1998, 111:109-137.
- [2] Wu Hsienchung. Probability density functions of fuzzy random variables[J]. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 1999, 105: 139-158.
- [3] Wu Hsienchung. The fuzzy Riemann integral and its numerical integration[J]. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 2000, 110:1-25.
- [4] Wu Hsienchung. Evaluate fuzzy Riemann integral using the Monte Carlo Method[J]. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2001, 264:324-343.
- [5] Zadeh L A. The concept of linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning[J]. Information Sciences, 1975, 8:199-249.

关于模糊集合的层次集函数的注记

周彩莲

(宁波大学 理学院, 浙江 宁波 315211)

摘要: 给出Wu Hsienchung文章中关于模糊集合层次集函数命题的一个反例, 同时给出了模糊集合层次集函数有关性质的正确证明.

关键词: 模糊集合; 上半连续; 凸; 分解定理

中图分类号: O159; O174.13 文献标识码: A

(责任编辑 章践立)