

环境政策工具比较：基于企业减排的视角

许士春¹, 何正霞², 龙如银¹

1. 中国矿业大学 管理学院, 徐州 221116;
2. 徐州师范大学 经济学院, 徐州 221009

Comparative research on environmental policy instruments: Enterprise emission abatement perspective

XU Shi-chun¹, HE Zheng-xia², LONG Ru-yin¹

1. Management School, China's University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221116, China;
2. Economics School, Xuzhou Normal University, Xuzhou 221009, China

- 摘要
- 参考文献
- 相关文章

全文: [PDF \(676 KB\)](#) [HTML \(1 KB\)](#) 输出: [BibTeX](#) | [EndNote \(RIS\)](#) [背景资料](#)

摘要 论文采用企业最优规划模型, 通过比较研究方法分析了污染税、可交易污染许可、污染排放标准和减排补贴对企业减排行为的影响、对企业技术更新的激励效果、对污染排放总量的控制和政策实施成本。研究表明: 减排的主要影响因素是减排的技术能力和环境政策的严厉程度; 污染谎报问题的主要影响因素是环境政策的严厉程度和政府监管力度, 而与减排的技术能力无关; 在技术更新的激励效果方面, 完全遵守的污染排放标准是最优的、其次是不完全遵守的污染排放标准、再次是污染税和减排补贴、可交易污染许可效果最差; 在污染排放总量控制方面, 若企业存在技术改进可能, 污染税、减排补贴和污染排放标准可以达到相同的政策效果, 可交易污染许可的效果最差, 若企业保持现有技术水平不变, 则污染税、可交易污染许可、减排补贴和污染排放标准可以达到相同的政策效果; 在政策实施成本方面, 污染税、减排补贴和污染排放标准具有相同的实施成本, 可交易污染许可的实施成本最低。

关键词: [减排](#) [环境污染](#) [环境政策工具](#) [技术更新](#) [实施成本](#)

Abstract: The enterprise optimal plan model and comparative analysis are adopted in this paper to analyze the effects of pollution taxes, marketable emission permits, pollution standards and abatement subsidies on enterprise emission abatement, technology adoption incentives, the aggregate emission and the implement cost of the four environmental policy instruments. Conclusions are as follows: the major influences of enterprise abatement are technology adoption ability and the rigorousness of environmental policy; the major influences of the enterprise violation are the rigorousness of environmental policy and the government monitoring while independent of abatement technology adoption. From the technology adoption incentives, pollution standards with perfect compliance is higher than pollution standards with imperfect compliance which is higher than pollution taxes, pollution taxes is equal to abatement subsidies which is higher than tradable emission permit; from the control on the aggregate emission, on the possibility of technology improvements, pollution taxes, abatement subsidies and pollution standards will be the same, however, tradable emission permit is the worst, if the enterprises maintain the current technology, pollution taxes, abatement subsidies, marketable pollution permits and pollution standards will have the same policy effects. From the enforcement cost of different environmental policy instruments, pollution taxes, abatement subsidies and pollution standards are the same, while tradable emission permit will be the lowest in enforcement cost.

Key words: [abatement](#) [environmental pollution](#) [environmental policy instruments](#) [technology innovation](#) [enforcement costs](#)

收稿日期: 2010-12-13;

基金资助:国家社会科学基金(10CJY028); 国家自然科学基金(70973121); 中央高校专项资金(2010W2);徐州市软科学项目(XF11C116)

引用本文:

服务

- ▶ 把本文推荐给朋友
- ▶ 加入我的书架
- ▶ 加入引用管理器
- ▶ E-mail Alert
- ▶ RSS

作者相关文章

- ▶ 许士春
- ▶ 何正霞
- ▶ 龙如银

- [1] Kemp R. Environmental Policy and Technical Change: A Comparison of the Technological Impact of Policy Instrument[M]. Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, UK, 1997.
- [2] K.哈密尔顿. 里约后五年——环境政策的创新[M]. 北京: 中国环境科学出版社, 1998.
- [3] Weitzman M L. Prices vs quantities[J]. The Review of Economic Studies, 1974, 41(4): 477-491. 
- [4] Harford J D. Firm behaviour under imperfectly enforceable pollution standards and taxes[J]. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 1978(5): 26-43. 
- [5] Stavins R. What can we learn from the Grand Policy Experiment? Lessons from the SO₂ allowance Trading[J]. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 1998, 12(3): 69-88.
- [6] Arimura T. An empirical study of the SO₂ allowance market: Effects of PUC regulations[J]. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 2002, 44(2): 271-289. 
- [7] Sandmo A. Efficient environmental policy with imperfect compliance[J]. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2002, 23(1): 85-98. 
- [8] Montero J P. Prices versus Quantities with incomplete enforcement[J]. Journal of Public Economics, 2002, 85(3): 435-454. 
- [9] Downing P B, White L J. Innovation in pollution control[J]. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 1986, 13(3): 18-35. 
- [10] Milliman S R, Prince R. Firm incentives to promote technological change in pollution control[J]. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 1989, 17(3): 247-265. 
- [11] Jung C, Krutilla K, Boyd R. Incentives for advanced pollution abatement technology at the industry level: An evaluation of policy alternatives[J]. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 1996, 30(1): 95-111. 
- [12] Nordberg-Bohm V. Stimulating green technological innovation: An analysis of alternative policy mechanisms[J]. Policy Sciences, 1996, 29(1): 13-38. 
- [13] Villegas-Palacio C, Corla J. On the interaction between imperfect compliance and technology adoption: Taxes versus tradable emissions permits[J]. Journal of Regulatory Economics, 2010, 38(3): 265-284.
- [14] Parry I W H. Environmental taxes and quotas in the presence of distorting taxes in factor markets[J]. Resource and Energy Economics, 1997, 19(6): 203-220. 
- [15] Goulder L H, Parry I W H, Burraw D. Revenue-raising vs other approaches to environmental protection: The critical significance of pre-existing tax distortions[J]. Journal of Economics, 1997, 28(4): 708-731.
- [16] Stranlund J K, Dhanda K. Endogenous monitoring and enforcement of a transferable emissions permit system[J]. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 1999, 38(3): 267-282. 
- [17] Goulder L H, Parry I W H, Williams III R C, et al. The cost-effectiveness of alternative instruments for environmental protection in second-best setting[J]. Journal of Public Economics, 1999, 72(3): 329-360. 
- [18] Rousseau S, Proost S. Comparing environmental policy instruments in the presence of imperfect compliance: A case study[J]. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2005, 32(3): 337-365. 
- [19] Macho-Stadler I. Environmental regulation: Choice of instruments under imperfect compliance[J]. Spanish Economic Review, 2008, 9(1): 1-21. 
- [20] Rousseau S, Proost S. The relative efficiency of market-based environmental policy instruments with imperfect compliance[J]. International Tax and Public Finance, 2009, 16(1): 25-42. 
- [21] Chávez C A, Villena M G, Stranlund J K. The choice of policy instruments to control pollution under costly enforcement and incomplete information[J]. Journal of Applied Economics, 2009, 12(2): 207-227. 
- [22] 周纯, 吴仁海. 环境政策手段的比较分析[J]. 中山大学学报论丛, 2003, 23(3): 216-219. Zhou C, Wu R H. Comparative analysis of environmental policy instruments[J]. Sun Yat-sen University Forum, 2003, 23(3): 216-219.
- [23] 沈芳. 环境规制的工具选择: 成本与收益的不确定性及诱发性技术 革新的影响[J]. 当代财经, 2004(6): 10-12. Shen F. The choice of environmental regulation instruments: Uncertainty of cost and benefit and impact of induced technical innovation[J]. Contemporary Finance and Economics, 2004(6): 10-12.
- [24] 宋英杰. 基于成本收益分析的环境规制工具选择[J]. 广东工业大学学报: 社会科学版, 2006, 6(1): 29-31. Song Y J. On the choice of environmental regulation tools based on cost-benefit analysis[J]. Journal of Guangdong University of Technology: Social Sciences Edition, 2006, 6(1): 29-31.

- [25] 何欢浪, 岳咬兴. 策略性环境政策: 环境税和减排补贴的比较分析[J]. 财经研究, 2009, 35(2): 136-143.He H L, Yue Y X. Strategic environment policy: A comparative analysis of environment tax and abatement subsidy[J]. Journal of Finance and Economics, 2009, 35(2): 136-143.
- [26] 魏巍贤. 基于CGE模型的中国能源环境政策分析[J]. 统计研究, 2009, 26(7): 3-12.Wei W X. An analysis of China's energy and environment policies based on CGE model[J]. Statistical Research, 2009, 26(7): 3-12.
- [1] 谭忠富; 于超; 李莉; 姜海洋; 蔡丞恺. 发电侧与供电侧联合节能及SO₂减排利益分配优化模型[J]. 系统工程理论实践, 2011, 31(6): 1182-1190.
- [2] 米红; 陈志坚. 21世纪初期(2001-2020)我国人口产业结构与环境污染、经济发展的关联模式仿真[J]. 系统工程理论实践, 2004, 24(4): 23-33.
- [3] 张艳林; 孙永广; 刘德顺. 碳减排量定价理论研究[J]. 系统工程理论实践, 2002, 22(4): 105-108.
- [4] 钟笑寒; 李子奈. 全球变暖的宏观经济模型[J]. 系统工程理论实践, 2002, 22(3): 20-25.