

arXiv.org > physics > arXiv:1106.2336

Physics > History and Philosophy of Physics

the Geroch-Jang Theorem

Search or Article-id

(<u>Help</u> | <u>Advance</u> All papers -

Download:

- PDF
- PostScript
- Other formats

Current browse cont physics.hist-ph

< prev | next >
new | recent | 1106

Change to browse b

gr-qc math math-ph physics

References & Citatio

NASA ADS



Comments: 8 pages

James Owen Weatherall

(Submitted on 12 Jun 2011)

Subjects: **History and Philosophy of Physics (physics.hist-ph)**; General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology (gr-qc); Mathematical Physics (math-ph)

A Brief Remark on Energy Conditions and

The status of the geodesic principle in General Relativity has been a topic of some interest in the

recent literature on the foundations of spacetime theories. Part of this discussion has focused on the

role that a certain energy condition plays in the proof of a theorem due to Bob Geroch and Pong-Soo

postulate, of General Relativity. In this brief note, I show, by explicit counterexample, that not only is a weaker energy condition than the one Geroch and Jang state insufficient to prove the theorem, but in

Jang ["Motion of a Body in General Relativity." Journal of Mathematical Physics 16(1), (1975)] that

can be taken to make precise the claim that the geodesic principle is a theorem, rather than a

Cite as: arXiv:1106.2336 [physics.hist-ph] (or arXiv:1106.2336v1 [physics.hist-ph] for this version)

fact a condition still stronger than the one that they assume is necessary.

Submission history

From: James Weatherall [view email] [v1] Sun, 12 Jun 2011 19:33:49 GMT (646kb)

Which authors of this paper are endorsers?

Link back to: arXiv, form interface, contact.