



Hindawi Publishing Corporation

Advances in Mathematical Physics

Advances in Mathematical Physics
Volume 2009 (2009), Article ID 679827, 15 pages
doi:10.1155/2009/679827

Research Article

The Integrated Density of States for an Multiparticle Homogeneous Model and A to the Anderson Model

Frédéric Klopp^{1,2} and Heribert Zenk³

¹LAGA, Institut Galilée, Université Paris-Nord, 93430 Villetaneuse,
²Institut Universitaire de France, 75005 Paris, France
³Mathematisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Theresien

Received 8 July 2008; Revised 9 October 2008; Accepted 13 January 2009

Academic Editor: Valentin Zagrebnov

Copyright © 2009 Frédéric Klopp and Heribert Zenk. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

For a system of n interacting particles moving in the background of a single particle Hamiltonian admits a density of states, so does the integrated density of states coincides with that of the free particle Anderson model, we prove regularity properties of the integrated density of states.

1. Introduction

Recently, models describing interacting quantum particles in a random potential (see [1, 2]). We consider n interacting particles moving in a “homogeneous space \mathbb{R}^d ”. A typical example of what we mean by a “homogeneous potential”. The goal of the present paper is twofold.

First, we prove that if the Hamiltonian of the single particle in the background admits a density of states (IDS), then, so does the interacting n -particle Hamiltonian.

prove the claim for the noninteracting n -particle system and noninteracting and interacting system is the same. These two steps are proved for the Anderson model in \mathbb{R}^d .

Note that, in general, knowledge of the integrated density of states and finite volume restrictions of the random potential is a major tool in the study of the spectrum. Therefore, the second step is to define a finite volume normalized counting function which leads to a Wegner estimate developed for the one-particle Hamiltonian.

1.1. The Interacting Multiparticle Model

The noninteracting n -particle Hamiltonian satisfies $H_0^n = -\Delta + V_{\text{ext}}^n$ where $-\Delta$ is the kinetic energy of the n particles. As all the particles are in the same space, we assume that

$$V_{\text{ext}}^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n V(x_k)$$

Hence, the noninteracting n -particle Hamiltonian is a sum of one-particle Hamiltonians. If V^1 is a real-valued potential, we assume that

(H.1.a) $(V^1)_+ := \max\{V^1, 0\}$ is locally square integrable and $(V^1)_-$ is a bounded potential, that is, $\mathcal{D}((V^1)_-) \supseteq \mathcal{D}(-\Delta)$ and for all $\alpha > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\| (V^1)_- \phi \| \leq \alpha \| \Delta \phi \| + \delta \| \phi \|^2$$

(H.1.b) the operator H^1 admits an integrated density of states. The restriction of H^1 to a cube $\Delta(0, L)$ centered at 0 of side-length L is denoted by H_L^1 .

$$N_1(E) := \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} L^{-d} \text{Trace} (\chi_E(H_L^1))$$

Assumption (H.1.a) implies essential self-adjointness of $-\Delta + V^1$ on $\mathcal{D}((V^1)_-)$.

$$V_{\text{ext}}^n = (V_{\text{ext}}^n)_+ - (V_{\text{ext}}^n)_-, \quad (V_{\text{ext}}^n)_\pm(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (V_{\text{ext}}^n)_\pm(x_k)$$

where

- (i) $(V_{\text{ext}}^n)_-$ is infinitesimally $-\Delta$ -bounded, that is, (1.2) holds with $V = (V_{\text{ext}}^n)_-$ and \mathbb{R}^{nd} ;
- (ii) $(V_{\text{ext}}^n)_+$ is nonnegative locally square integrable.

The self-adjoint extensions of $-\Delta + V^1$ and $-\Delta + V_{\text{ext}}^n$ are again denoted by H^1 and H_{ext}^n respectively.

Classical models for which the IDS is known to exist include periodic Schrödinger operators (see, e.g., [5]).

In the definition of the density of states, we could also have considered other conditions.

The interacting n -particle Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H^n := -\Delta + V_1^n + V_\epsilon^n$$

where

$$V_1^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} V_{kl}^1(x_k, x_l)$$

is a localized repulsive interaction potential generated by the partic

(H.2) $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable nonnegative locally square int

The standard repulsive interaction in three-dimensional space is in some cases, due to screening, it must be replaced by the Yukawa's

Finally, we make one more assumption on both V^1 and V_ϵ ; we assum

(H.3) the operator $V_1^n(H_0^n - i)^{-1}$ is bounded.

Assumption (H.3) is satisfied in the case of the Coulomb and Yukawa self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}(H_0^n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(-\Delta)$, hence $\|V_1^n(H_0^n - i)^{-1}\| \leq \|V_1^n(-\Delta - i)^{-1}\|$ due to closed graph theorem and $\|V_1^n(-\Delta - i)^{-1}\| < \infty$ for Coulomb [Theorem X.16].

2. The Integrated Density of States

We now compute the IDS for the n -particle model. Let $\Delta_L = \Delta(0, L^d)$ and write $\Delta_L^n = \Delta_L \times \dots \times \Delta_L$ for the product of n copies of Δ_L . We restrict the Hamiltonian H^n to Δ_L^n with Dirichlet boundary conditions by $H_{0,L}^n$. Clearly, $H_{0,L}^n$ is bounded from what follows with compact resolvent. Hence, the IDS functions

$$N_L(E) := L^{-nd} \text{Trace}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{J}_{-\alpha}})$$

As usual, N , the IDS of H^n is defined as the limit of $N_L(E)$ when $L \rightarrow \infty$. The states measure applied to a test function φ as the limit of $L^{-nd} \int \varphi(E) N_L(E) dE$ nonnegative measure. It is a classical result that the existence of the limit is equivalent [5].

2.1. The IDS for the Noninteracting n -Particle System

Recall that, by assumption (H.1.b), the single particle model H^1 is a self-adjoint measure denoted, respectively, by N_1 and ν_1 .

Let $H_{0,L}^n$ be the restriction of H_0^n to Δ_L^n with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Lemma 2.1. The IDS for the noninteracting n -particle Boltzmann measure is

$$N_{ni}(E) := \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L^{nd}} \text{Trace}(\mathbf{1}_E)$$

exists and satisfies

$$N_{ni} = N_1 * v_1 * \dots *$$

Let us comment on this result. First, the convolution product in (2) are supported on half-axes of the form $[a, +\infty)$; this results from as from what follows, one will need some estimate on the decay of (to prove it); such estimates are known for some models (see, e.g., [5]).

Proof. The operator H_0^n is the sum of n commuting Hamiltonians $H_{0,L}^n$, its restriction to the cube Λ_L^n . As the sum decomposition eigenvalues of $H_{0,L}^n$ are exactly the sum of n eigenvalues of H^1 rest

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{1}_{[-\infty, E]}(H_{0,L}^n)) = (\tilde{N}_1^L * \tau)$$

where $\tilde{N}_1^L(E)$ is the eigenvalue counting function for H^1 restricted to the box Λ_L^1 . The normalized counting function and measure, N_1^L and v_1^L , are defined by

$$N_1^L = \frac{1}{L^d} \tilde{N}_1^L, \quad v_1^L =$$

The existence of the density of states of H^1 then exactly says that the convergence of $N_1^L * v_1^L * \dots * v_1^L$ to $N_1 * v_1 * \dots * v_1$ is a bicontinuous operation on distributions. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Let us now say a word on the boundary conditions chosen to define the volume limit of the normalized counting for Dirichlet eigenvalues. The Hamiltonian has an IDS defined as the infinite-volume limit of the normalized counting for Dirichlet eigenvalues of the noninteracting n -body Hamiltonian. Moreover, in the case of mixed boundary conditions, they also coincide for the noninteracting n -body Hamiltonian. Then we see that the integrated densities of states for both the Dirichlet and mixed boundary conditions also exist and coincide with the Dirichlet boundary conditions.

2.2. Existence of the IDS for the Interacting n -Particle System

Let H_L^n denote the restriction of H^n to the box Λ_L^n with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Theorem 2.2. Assume (H.1), (H.2), and (H.3) are satisfied. For any

$$\frac{1}{L^{nd}} \text{Trace}[\varphi(H_L^n) - \varphi(H_{0,L}^n)]$$

As the density of states measure of H^n is defined by

$$\langle \varphi, dN \rangle = \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{L^{nd}} \text{Trace}[\varphi(H_L^n) - \varphi(H_{0,L}^n)]$$

we immediately get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. Assume (H.1), (H.2), and (H.3) are satisfied. The H^n exists and coincides with that of the noninteracting model H_0^n :

$$N = N_{ni} = N_1 * v_1 * \dots$$

Note that, in view of the remark concluding Section 2.1, we see the n -body Hamiltonian is independent of the boundary conditions if the

In Corollary 2.3, we dealt with the Boltzmann statistic, that is, with both the Fermi and the Bose statistics, that is, if one restricts to test functions. One defines the following:

(i) for the Fermi statistics, the Fermi integrated density of states

$$\langle \varphi, dN^F \rangle = \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n!}{L^{nd}} \text{Trace}_{\Lambda_n L^2(\Lambda_L^1)}$$

where $\Lambda_n L^2(\Lambda_L^1)$ denotes n -fold antisymmetric tensor product of $L^2(\Lambda_L^1)$

(ii) for the Bose statistics, the Bose integrated density of states

$$\langle \varphi, dN^B \rangle = \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n!}{L^{nd}} \text{Trace}_{\bigoplus_n L^2(\Lambda_L^1)}$$

where $\bigoplus_n L^2(\Lambda_L^1)$ denotes n -fold symmetric tensor product of $L^2(\Lambda_L^1)$

Let us now discuss shortly the Bose and Fermi counting functions for a Hamiltonian restricted to a finite cube) in the free case (i.e., when $V=0$) and let $E_1(L) \leq E_2(L) \leq \dots$ be the eigenvalue of the single particle Hamiltonian. The three counting functions are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \#_L(E) &:= \# \{ \text{eigenvalues of } H_{0,L}^B \text{ on } L^2(\Lambda_L^1) \} \\ &= \# \{ (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n) : E_{j_1}(L) + E_{j_2}(L) + \dots + E_{j_n}(L) \leq E \} \\ \#_L^F(E) &:= \# \{ \text{eigenvalues of } H_{0,L}^F \text{ on } \Lambda_n L^2(\Lambda_L^1) \} \\ &= \# \{ (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n) : j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_n, E_{j_1}(L) + \dots + E_{j_n}(L) \leq E \} \\ \#_L^B(E) &:= \# \{ \text{eigenvalues of } H_{0,L}^B \text{ on } \bigoplus_n L^2(\Lambda_L^1) \} \\ &= \# \{ (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n) : j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_n, E_{j_1}(L) + \dots + E_{j_n}(L) \leq E \} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$n! \#_L^F(E) \leq \#_L(E) \leq n! \#_L^B(E)$$

Uniformly in L , the eigenvalues $(E_{j_k}(L))_{j_k \geq 1}$ are lower bounded by,

then, for $k = 1, \dots, n$, one has $E_{j_k}(L) \leq E + Cn$ so that $j_k \leq \tilde{N}_1^L(E + Cn)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \#_L^B(E) - \#_L^F(E) \\ &= \# \left\{ (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n) : \begin{array}{l} j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_n, \\ E_{j_1}(L) + E_{j_2}(L) \leq E \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \tilde{C} L^{d(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, dividing (2.12) and (2.13) by L^{nd} and taking the limit $L \rightarrow +\infty$

states are equal to the Boltzmann one. Theorem 2.2 then gives the

Corollary 2.4. Assume (H.1), (H.2), and (H.3) are satisfied. One has

Proof. We take some $q > nd/2$ and specify the appropriate choice exists $\zeta > 0$ such that

$$-\infty < -\zeta \leq \min\left\{\inf_{L \geq 1} \left\{ \inf[\sigma(H_{0,L}^D) \cup \sigma(H_L^D)] \right\}\right\}$$

Let $\gamma = \gamma(1/2)$ be given by (1.2) for $\alpha = 1/2$. Fix $\lambda_0 > \zeta + 2\gamma + 1$.

By (2.14), we only need to prove (2.6) for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ supported in analytic extension of the function $x \mapsto (x + \lambda_0)^q \varphi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, that is

- (i) $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Im z| < 1\})$,
- (ii) for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the family of functions $(x \mapsto (\partial \tilde{\varphi} / \partial \bar{z})(x + i\gamma))$

The functional calculus based on the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula imp

$$\varphi(H_L^D) - \varphi(H_{0,L}^D) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) [(H_L^D + \lambda_0)^{-q} (H_L^D - z)^{-1} - (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-q} (H_{0,L}^D - z)^{-1}] dz$$

In the following, we apply an idea, which has already been used in resolvent equality, the integrand in (2.15) is written as

$$\begin{aligned} & (H_L^D + \lambda_0)^{-q} (H_L^D - z)^{-1} - (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-q} (H_{0,L}^D - z)^{-1} \\ &= (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-q} [(H_L^D - z)^{-1} - (H_{0,L}^D - z)^{-1}] \\ & \quad + [(H_L^D + \lambda_0)^{-q} - (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-q}] (H_{0,L}^D - z)^{-1} \\ &= -(H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-q} (H_{0,L}^D - z)^{-1} (V_L^D) \\ & \quad - \sum_{l=1}^q (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{l-q-1} (V_L^D)^l \end{aligned}$$

Estimating the trace of (2.16), we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and write

$$V_L^D = V_L^D \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\|V_L^D\| \leq \varepsilon} + V_L^D \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\|V_L^D\| > \varepsilon}$$

and note that $V_L^D \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\|V_L^D\| \leq \varepsilon}$ is bounded by $\|V_L^D \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\|V_L^D\| \leq \varepsilon}\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot A$

$$\text{supp}(V_L^D \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\|V_L^D\| > \varepsilon}) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \{(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$$

As, by assumption (H.2), V tends to 0 at infinity, (2.18) implies that

$$\mu(\{\|V_L^D\| > \varepsilon\} \cap \Delta_L^D) \leq C(n)$$

where $\mu(\cdot)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure. Using decomposition (

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\text{Trace}(H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-q} (H_{0,L}^D - z)^{-1} (V_f^D)| \\
 & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\Im z|^2} \text{Trace} |(H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-q}| + \\
 & \quad \cdot \text{Trace} |(H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-q} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{d}V_f^D \triangleright \varepsilon}| \\
 & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\Im z|^2} \| (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{X}_q}^q + \frac{1}{|\Im z|^2} \| (\\
 & \quad \cdot \| (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{d}V_f^D \triangleright \varepsilon \cap \Delta_\ell^D} \|_2
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}_q}$ denotes the q th Schatten class norm (see [8]) and cyclicity of the trace yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\text{Trace}(H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-q-1} (V_f^D) (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} (H_{0,L}^D - z)^{-1}| \\
 & \leq \text{Trace} |(H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-q-1} (V_f^D) (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1}| \\
 & \leq \| (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} \| \cdot \text{Trace} |(H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-q-1} (V_f^D)| \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{|\Im z|} \| (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{X}_q}^{q-1} \cdot \| (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{d}V_f^D} \|_2 \\
 & \quad + C \frac{\varepsilon}{|\Im z|} \| (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{X}_q}^q.
 \end{aligned}$$

We are now left with estimating $\| (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{X}_q}$ and $\| (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{d}V_f^D \triangleright \varepsilon \cap \Delta_\ell^D} \|_{\mathcal{X}_q}$. Therefore, we compute

$$\| (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{d}V_f^D \triangleright \varepsilon \cap \Delta_\ell^D} \|_{\mathcal{X}_q} \leq \| (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{d}V_f^D \triangleright \varepsilon} \|_{\mathcal{X}_q} + \| (-\Delta_{\Delta_\ell^D} + \lambda_0)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{d}V_f^D \triangleright \varepsilon} \|_{\mathcal{X}_q}$$

where $-\Delta_{\Delta_\ell^D}$ is the Dirichlet Laplacian on Δ_ℓ^D . We use the decomposition $(V_{\text{ext}}^D)_- = (V_{\text{ext}}^D)_- + (V_{\text{ext}}^D)_+$ and the infinitesimal $-\Delta$ -boundedness on $(V_{\text{ext}}^D)_-$, [4, Theorem X.18] and the

$$|\langle \phi, (V_{\text{ext}}^D)_- \phi \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi, -\Delta_{\Delta_\ell^D} \phi \rangle$$

As $\lambda_0 > 2\gamma + 1$, one has

$$H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0 \geq -\Delta_{\Delta_\ell^D} + (V_{\text{ext}}^D)_- + \lambda_0 \geq \frac{1}{2} (-\Delta_{\Delta_\ell^D} - \lambda_0)$$

Thus, the operator $H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0$ is invertible and

$$\| (H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{X}_q} \leq 2 \| (-\Delta_{\Delta_\ell^D} - \lambda_0)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{X}_q}$$

Let $(\mu_{\underline{j}})_{\underline{j}}$ and $(\phi_{\underline{j}})_{\underline{j}}$, respectively, denote the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_{\Delta_\ell^D} - \lambda_0$, where \underline{j} runs over $(\mathbb{N}^{nd})^*$. For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2q > nd$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|(H_{0,L}^D + \lambda_0)^{-1}(-\Delta_{\Lambda_L^D} + \lambda_0)^{1/2}\|_{T_{2q}}^{2q} &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{nd}} (\mu_j(-\Delta_{\Lambda^D})) \\ &\leq 2^{2q} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{nd}} (\mu_j(-\Delta_{\Lambda^D})) \\ &= 2^{2q} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{nd}} (\mu_j(-\Delta_{\Lambda^D})) \end{aligned}$$

The last estimate is a direct computation using the explicit form of

By [6, Lemma 2.2], we know that, for $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2q > nd$, subset $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda_L^D$, one has

$$\|(-\Delta_{\Lambda_L^D} + \lambda_0)^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_{\Lambda'}\|_{T_{2q}}^{2q} \leq$$

Choosing $\Lambda' = \{|V_j^D| > \varepsilon\} \cap \Lambda_L^D$ and taking (2.19) into account, then that there exists c , depending only on q (and the bound in assumpt

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace } |(H_L^D + \lambda_0)^{-q}(H_L^D - z)^{-1} - (H_{0,L}^D + \\ \leq c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|z|^2} L^{nd} + \frac{1}{|z|^2} L^{nd-(d/2q)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{|z}\right) \end{aligned}$$

By using this inequality in (2.15), we get (2.6) as $\Im z$ being almost approaches the real line. Thus, we completed the proof of Theorem

3. Application to the Interacting Multiparticle Anderson

In the interacting multiparticle Anderson model, we consider a random particle Anderson potential is of the form

$$V^1(\omega, x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_j \mu_j$$

with a family $\omega_j : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of random variables on (Ω, \mathbf{P}) . This one-“background” potential

$$V^n(\omega, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k$$

and the interacting n -particle Hamiltonian reads as

$$H^n(\omega) = -\Delta + V_j^n + V$$

For the Anderson model, it is known under rather general assumptions that the counting function defined in assumption (H.1.b) converges almost surely to a nondecreasing function of E . Its discontinuity set is countable. By [10], the normalized counting function defined in assumption (H.1.b) then we now apply the results of the last section and get a \mathbf{P} -almost surely noninteracting and interacting n -particle system. Note that $(j, j, \dots, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{nd}$ leave $H^n(\omega)$ invariant. Hence, for an application of the proof of existence and \mathbf{P} -almost sure constancy of \mathcal{N} , there are

One of the interesting properties of the integrated density of states is its important role in the theory of localization for random one-particle systems. It plays through a Wegner estimate, that is, an estimate of the type

$$\mathbf{E}(\text{Trace } \mathbf{1}_{]E_0, E_0+\eta]}(H_\Lambda^n)):$$

On the other hand, Corollary 2.3 directly relates the regularity of the integrated density of states of the single particle Hamiltonian. The regularity of the IDS of the system is of interest recently (see, e.g., [11, 12]).

We now prove a Wegner estimate; for convenience, we assume the

(H.A.2) The single-site potential u is nonnegative, compactly supported and such that $u(x) \geq c$ for $x \in]-1/2, 1/2]^d$.

For the proof of a Wegner estimate in the interacting n -particle system we use the probabilistic hypothesis like in [13]:

(H.A.3) $(\omega_j : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a family of bounded random variables.

When μ_j denotes the conditional probability measure for ω_j at site j given the variables $(\omega_i)_{i \neq j}$, that is, for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\mu_j(A) = \mathbf{P}(\{\omega_j \in A \mid (\omega_i)_{i \neq j}\})$$

then, a Wegner estimate à la [13] uses the quantity

$$s(\eta) := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \sup_{E \in \mathbb{R}} \mu_j([E, E+\eta]) \right\}$$

and is stated as follows.

Theorem 3.1. Let us assume (H.A.2) and (H.A.3), and let $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open bounded set. Let $H_\Delta^n(\omega)$ be the restriction of $H^n(\omega)$ to Δ with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

$$C_W : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty[\\ E_0 \mapsto C_W(E_0),$$

such that for all $\eta > 0$

$$\mathbf{E}(\text{Trace } \mathbf{1}_{]E_0, E_0+\eta]}(H_\Delta^n)) \leq C_W(E_0)$$

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we prove two preparatory lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{nd}$ be an open bounded cube, then the restriction of H_Δ^n to Δ with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions define self-adjoint operators.

Proof. V_Δ^n is infinitesimally $-\Delta$ form bounded according to [4, Theorem 4.1].

$$|\langle \Psi, V_\Delta^n \Psi \rangle| \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \Psi\|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \|\Psi\|^2$$

is true for $\Psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{nd})$, in particular (3.9) is true for $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(-\Delta_\Delta) = \mathcal{D}(H_\Delta^n)$.

representation theorem a self-adjoint operator $H_{I,\Delta}^p = -\Delta_\Delta + V_I^p|_\Delta$.
 minmax principle and (3.9), we see that $H_{I,\Delta}^p$ has compact resolve

$$\langle \Psi, V_I^p \Psi \rangle \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \Psi\|^2 + c_\varepsilon \|\Psi\|^2.$$

uses the extension operator $E_\Delta : H^1(\Delta) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Delta')$ to $\Delta' := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{nd} : \|E_\Delta \Psi\|_{H^1} \leq c_1 \|\Psi\|_{H^1}$ and $\|E_\Delta \Psi\|_{L^2} \leq c_2 \|\Psi\|_{L^2}$; see [14, Satz 5.6 and F (3.9), hence by $V_I^p \geq 0$ and the above properties of E_Δ we get for Ψ

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle \Psi, V_I^p \Psi \rangle \leq \langle E_\Delta \Psi, V_I^p E_\Delta \Psi \rangle \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla (E_\Delta \Psi)\|^2 \\ &= \varepsilon \|E_\Delta \Psi\|_{H^1}^2 + (b_\varepsilon - \varepsilon) \|E_\Delta \Psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \varepsilon c_1^2 \|\Psi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.10). With (3.10) at hand, the proof for Neumann bound Lemma 3.3. Let one assumes (H.A.2) and (H.A.3), and let $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$
 $\Delta_j := \Delta \cap \Delta(\mathbf{j}, 1) \neq \emptyset$ (here, $\Delta(\mathbf{j}, 1) = \{ |x - j_k| \leq 1/2, 1 \leq k \leq n \}$), the

$$\mathbf{E} \{ \langle f, \mathbf{1}_{E_0, E_0+\eta} \rangle (H_\Delta^p f) \} \leq -$$

Proof. For every $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define $u_j : \mathbb{R}^{nd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$u_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{k=1}^n u_{j_k}(x_k)$$

and set $\tilde{\omega}_j = (\omega_i)_{i \neq j}$. Fix a component of \mathbf{j} , say j_1 , then we get a de

$$V^p(\omega, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \omega_{j_1} u_{j_1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

of the random potential $V^p(\omega)$, and the same is true for $H_\Delta^p(\omega)$:

$$H_\Delta^p(\omega) = -\Delta_\Delta + \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ l \neq j_1}} \omega_l \mu_l \mathbf{1}_\Delta + \omega_{j_1} u_{j_1} \mathbf{1}_\Delta$$

By the covering condition $u_{j_1} \mathbf{1}_{[-1/2, 1/2]^d} \geq c$ on the single site-pc $f = g u_{j_1}$, where $g(x) = f(x) / u_{j_1}(x)$ almost everywhere, so $\|g\| \leq c^{-1} \|f\|$

$$\int_{E_0}^{E_0+\eta} dE \langle \varphi, \Im(H - E - i\eta)^{-1} \varphi \rangle \geq \frac{\eta}{4} \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle$$

for every self-adjoint H , see [13], (3.9). The equalities and estimat into a form, where the results of spectral averaging, [11, Section 3

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \langle f, \mathbf{1}_{E_0, E_0+\eta} \rangle (H_\Delta^p f) &= \mathbf{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\mu_{j_1}(\omega_{j_1}) \langle g, u_{j_1} \mathbf{1}_{E_0, E_0+\eta} \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\eta} \mathbf{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\mu_{j_1}(\omega_{j_1}) \int_{E_0}^{E_0+\eta} dE \Im \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{8}{c^2} \|f\|^2 s(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (H.A.2) and (H.A.3), we get a \mathbf{P} -almost sure bound restrictions $H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega)$ and $H_{\Lambda, N}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega)$ of $H^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega)$ to a bounded open cut define self-adjoint operators with compact resolvent \mathbf{P} -almost sur $\Lambda_{\mathbf{j}} := \Lambda(\mathbf{j}, 1) \cap \Lambda$. Then $\Lambda' := \Lambda \setminus \cup_{\mathbf{j} \in J} \Lambda_{\mathbf{j}}$ has Lebesgue measure 0, so

$$-\Delta_{\Lambda} \geq -\Delta_{\Lambda, N} \geq -\Delta_{\Lambda \setminus \Lambda', N} =_{\mathbf{j}}$$

So with $H_{\Lambda, \mathbf{j}, N}^{\mathbf{P}}$ defined in Lemma 3.2, we get \mathbf{P} -almost sure:

$$H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega) \geq H_{\Lambda, N}^{\mathbf{P}} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{j} \in J} H_{\Lambda, \mathbf{j}, N}^{\mathbf{P}}$$

By spectral calculus,

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{1}_{]E_0, E_0 + \eta]}(H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega))) \leq e^{E_0 + \eta} \text{Trace}(\mathbf{1}_{]E_0, E_0 + \eta]}(H_{\Lambda, N}^{\mathbf{P}}))$$

Let $(\phi_k(\omega))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the orthogonal basis of $L^2(\Lambda)$ consisting out of $M(\omega) := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \mu_k(\omega) \in]E_0, E_0 + \eta]\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(\mathbf{1}_{]E_0, E_0 + \eta]}(H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega))e^{-H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega)}) &= \sum_{k \in M(\omega)} \langle \phi_k(\omega), \mathbf{1}_{]E_0, E_0 + \eta]}(H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega))e^{-H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega)} \phi_k(\omega) \rangle \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in M(\omega)} e^{-\mu_k(\omega)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in M(\omega)} e^{-E_0} \\ &= \text{Trace}(\mathbf{1}_{]E_0, E_0 + \eta]}(H_{\Lambda, N}^{\mathbf{P}}))e^{-E_0} \end{aligned}$$

where the last estimate follows from Jensen's inequality. Let $(\phi_{k, \mathbf{j}})$ eigenvectors of $H_{\Lambda, \mathbf{j}, N}^{\mathbf{P}}$ to the eigenvalues $E_{k, \mathbf{j}}$, then

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{1}_{]E_0, E_0 + \eta]}(H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega))e^{-H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega)}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} \langle \phi_{k, \mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{1}_{]E_0, E_0 + \eta]}(H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega))e^{-H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega)} \phi_{k, \mathbf{j}} \rangle$$

As $\phi_{k, \mathbf{j}} \in L^2(\Lambda_{\mathbf{j}})$ and $\|\phi_{k, \mathbf{j}}\| \leq 1$, Lemma 3.3 implies

$$\mathbf{E}(\langle \phi_{k, \mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{1}_{]E_0, E_0 + \eta]}(H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega)) \phi_{k, \mathbf{j}} \rangle) \leq e^{-E_{k, \mathbf{j}}}$$

As $V_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}$ is nonnegative, the eigenvalues $E_{k, \mathbf{j}}$ of $H_{\Lambda, \mathbf{j}, N}^{\mathbf{P}} = -\Delta_{\Lambda, \mathbf{j}, N} + V_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}}$ are greater than or equal to the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{\Lambda, \mathbf{j}, N}$. These are known explicitly, see [15, page 2

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} e^{-E_{k, \mathbf{j}}} \leq \text{Card}(J) \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} e^{-E_{\mathbf{j}}} \leq \text{Card}(J) e^{-E_{\min}}$$

If the side-length of Λ is bigger than 1, then $\text{Card}(J) \leq 3^{\text{nd}} |\Lambda|$, so by inequalities (3.20) to (3.24), it implies

$$\mathbf{E}(\text{Trace} \mathbf{1}_{]E_0, E_0 + \eta]}(H_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{P}})) \leq e^{E_0 + \eta + V^{\mathbf{P}}}$$

Under the assumptions (H.A.2) and (H.A.3), we have

$$N(E) = \mathbb{E}(N(E, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega^c}) = \mathbb{E}$$

hence by the Wegner estimate we can deduce regularity proper $(\mu_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ via

$$0 \leq N(E+\eta) - N(E) \leq C_W(\eta)$$

References

1. W. Kirsch, "A Wegner estimate for multi-particle random H: *Analiza, Geometrii*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 121 - 127, 2008.
2. V. Chulaevsky, "Wegner-Stollmann type estimates for some *Mathematical Physics*, vol. 447 of *Contemporary Mathematics* Providence, RI, USA, 2007.
3. M. Aizenman and S. Warzel, "Localization bounds for multiq <http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.3436>.
4. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Ph* Academic Press, New York, NY USA, 1975.
5. L. Pastur and A. Figotin, *Spectra of Random and Almost-Per* *Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer, Berlin, Germany.
6. F. Klopp and L. Pastur, "Lifshitz tails for random Schrödinger potential," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 2
7. F. Klopp, "Internal Lifshitz tails for random perturbations of *Mathematical Journal*, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 335 - 396, 1999.
8. B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, vol. 120 of *M* Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2nd edition, 200
9. R. Carmona and J. Lacroix, *Spectral Theory of Random Schr* Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 1990.
10. P. Stollmann, *Caught by Disorder: Bound States in Random* Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
11. J.-M. Combes, P. D. Hislop, and F. Klopp, "Local and global *Advances in Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics* *Contemporary Mathematics*, pp. 61 - 74, American Mathema
12. G. Stolz, "Strategies in localization proofs for one-dimensio *of the Indian Academy of Sciences. Mathematical Sciences*, '
13. J.-M. Combes, P. D. Hislop, and F. Klopp, "An optimal Wegner continuity of the integrated density of states for random Sch vol. 140, no. 3, pp. 469 - 498, 2007.
14. J. Wloka, *Partielle Differentialgleichungen*, B. G. Teubner, St
15. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Ph* New York, NY, USA, 1978.

