Quantitative Finance > Risk Management

WARNING: Physics Envy May Be Hazardous To Your Wealth!

Andrew W. Lo, Mark T. Mueller

(Submitted on 13 Mar 2010 (v1), last revised 20 Mar 2010 (this version, v3))

The quantitative aspirations of economists and financial analysts have for many years been based on the belief that it should be possible to build models of economic systems - and financial markets in particular that are as predictive as those in physics. While this perspective has led to a number of important breakthroughs in economics, "physics envy" has also created a false sense of mathematical precision in some cases. We speculate on the origins of physics envy, and then describe an alternate perspective of economic behavior based on a new taxonomy of uncertainty. We illustrate the relevance of this taxonomy with two concrete examples: the classical harmonic oscillator with some new twists that make physics look more like economics, and a quantitative equity market-neutral strategy. We conclude by offering a new interpretation of tail events, proposing an "uncertainty checklist" with which our taxonomy can be implemented, and considering the role that quants played in the current financial crisis.

Comments:v3 adds 2 referencesSubjects:Risk Management (q-fin.RM); Physics and Society (physics.soc-ph)Cite as:arXiv:1003.2688v3 [q-fin.RM]

Submission history

From: Mark Mueller [view email] [v1] Sat, 13 Mar 2010 08:02:11 GMT (2430kb) [v2] Tue, 16 Mar 2010 04:04:09 GMT (2372kb) [v3] Sat, 20 Mar 2010 15:48:16 GMT (2360kb)

Which authors of this paper are endorsers?

Link back to: arXiv, form interface, contact.

Download:

- PDF
- PostScript
- Other formats

Current browse context: q-fin.RM < prev | next > new | recent | 1003

Change to browse by:

physics physics.soc-ph q-fin

References & Citations

• NASA ADS

2 blog links(what is this?)

Bookmark(what is this?)