

Simple measures of ozone depletion in the polar stratosphere

R. Müller¹, J.-U. Grooß¹, C. Lemmen^{1,*}, D. Heinze¹, M. Dameris², and G. Bodeker³

¹ICG-1, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany

²DLR, IPA, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

| Copernicus.org | EGU.eu |

 ³NIWA, Private Bag 50061, Omakau Central Otago, New Zealand
 * now at: Copernicus Instituut voor Duurzame Ontwikkeling en Innovatie, Universiteit Utrecht, 3584CS Utrecht, The Netherlands and Institut für Küstenforschung, GKSS-Forschungszentrum Geesthacht GmbH, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany

Abstract. We investigate the extent to which quantities that are based on total column ozone are applicable as measures of ozone loss in the polar vortices. Such quantities have been used frequently in ozone assessments by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and also to assess the performance of chemistry-climate models. The most commonly considered quantities are March and October mean column ozone poleward of geometric latitude 63° and the spring minimum of daily total ozone minima poleward of a given latitude. Particularly in the Arctic, the former measure is affected by vortex variability and vortex break-up in spring. The minimum of daily total ozone minima poleward of a particular latitude is debatable, insofar as it relies on one single measurement or model grid point. We find that, for Arctic conditions, this minimum value often occurs in air outside the polar vortex, both in the observations and in a chemistry-climate model. Neither of the two measures shows a good correlation with chemical ozone loss in the vortex deduced from observations. We recommend that the minimum of daily minima should no longer be used when comparing polar ozone loss in observations and models. As an alternative to the March and October mean column polar ozone we suggest considering the minimum of daily average total ozone poleward of 63° equivalent latitude in spring (except for winters with an early vortex break-up). Such a definition both obviates relying on one single data point and reduces the impact of yearto-year variability in the Arctic vortex break-up on ozone loss measures. Further, this measure shows a reasonable correlation (r=-0.75) with observed chemical ozone loss. Nonetheless, simple measures of polar ozone loss must be used with caution; if possible, it is preferable to use more sophisticated measures that include additional information to disentangle the impact of transport and chemistry on ozone.

■ <u>Final Revised Paper</u> (PDF, 586 KB) ■ <u>Supplement</u> (127 KB) <u>Discussion</u> <u>Paper</u> (ACPD)

Citation: Müller, R., Grooß, J.-U., Lemmen, C., Heinze, D., Dameris, M., and Bodeker, G.: Simple measures of ozone depletion in the polar stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 251-264, 2008. <u>Bibtex</u> <u>EndNote</u> <u>Reference Manager</u> | EGU Journals | Contact

Copernicus Publications

Search ACP Library Search Author Search

News

- Sister Journals AMT & GMD
- Financial Support for Authors
- Journal Impact Factor
- Public Relations & Background Information

Recent Papers

01 | ACPD, 03 Nov 2008: Evidence of mineral dust altering cloud microphysics and precipitation

02 | ACPD, 03 Nov 2008: Technical Note: A new method for the Lagrangian tracking of pollution plumes from source to receptor using gridded model output

03 | ACPD, 03 Nov 2008: Characterisation of episodic aerosol types over the Australian continent

04 | ACPD, 03 Nov 2008:

Home

Online Library ACP

- Recent Final Revised Papers
- Volumes and Issues
- Special Issues
- Library Search
- Title and Author Search

Online Library ACPD

Alerts & RSS Feeds

General Information

Submission

Review

Production

Subscription

Comment on a Paper





