

| Copernicus.org | EGU.eu |

Online Library ACP

- Recent Final Revised Papers
- Volumes and Issues
- Special Issues
- Library Search
- Title and Author Search

Online Library ACPD

Alerts & RSS Feeds

General Information

Submission

Review

Production

Subscription

Comment on a Paper





www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/287/2008/ © Author(s) 2008. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

Quality assessment of water cycle parameters in REMO by radar-lidar synergy

B. Hennemuth^{1,*}, A. Weiss², J. Bösenberg¹, D. Jacob¹, H. Linné¹,
G. Peters³, and S. Pfeifer¹
¹Max-Planck-Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany
²British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0ET, UK
³Meteorological Institute, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
* now at: Consulting Meteorologist, Hamburg, Germany

Abstract. A comparison study of water cycle parameters derived from ground-based remote-sensing instruments and from the regional model REMO is presented. Observational data sets were collected during three measuring campaigns in summer/autumn 2003 and 2004 at Richard Aßmann Observatory, Lindenberg, Germany. The remote sensing instruments which were used are differential absorption lidar, Doppler lidar, ceilometer, cloud radar, and micro rain radar for the derivation of humidity profiles, ABL height, water vapour flux profiles, cloud parameters, and rain rate. Additionally, surface latent and sensible heat flux and soil moisture were measured. Error ranges and representativity of the data are discussed. For comparisons the regional model REMO was run for all measuring periods with a horizontal resolution of 18 km and 33 vertical levels. Parameter output was every hour. The measured data were transformed to the vertical model grid and averaged in time in order to better match with gridbox model values. The comparisons show that the atmospheric boundary layer is not adequately simulated, on most days it is too shallow and too moist. This is found to be caused by a wrong partitioning of energy at the surface, particularly a too large latent heat flux. The reason is obviously an overestimation of soil moisture during drying periods by the one-layer scheme in the model. The profiles of water vapour transport within the ABL appear to be realistically simulated. The comparison of cloud cover reveals an underestimation of low-level and midlevel clouds by the model, whereas the comparison of high-level clouds is hampered by the inability of the cloud radar to see cirrus clouds above 10 km. Simulated ABL clouds apparently have a too low cloud base, and the vertical extent is underestimated. The ice water content of clouds agree in model and observation whereas the liquid water content is unsufficiently derived from cloud radar reflectivity in the present study. Rain rates are similar, but the representativeness of both observations and grid box values is low.

■ <u>Final Revised Paper</u> (PDF, 5071 KB) ■ <u>Discussion Paper</u> (ACPD)

Citation: Hennemuth, B., Weiss, A., Bösenberg, J., Jacob, D., Linné, H., Peters, G., and Pfeifer, S.: Quality assessment of water cycle parameters in REMO by radar-lidar synergy, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 287-308, 2008. Bibtex EndNote Reference Manager

| EGU Journals | Contact



Search ACP Library Search Author Search

News

- Sister Journals AMT & GMD
- Financial Support for Authors
- Journal Impact Factor
- Public Relations & Background Information

Recent Papers

01 | ACPD, 03 Nov 2008: Evidence of mineral dust altering cloud microphysics and precipitation

02 | ACPD, 03 Nov 2008: Technical Note: A new method for the Lagrangian tracking of pollution plumes from source to receptor using gridded model output

03 | ACPD, 03 Nov 2008: Characterisation of episodic aerosol types over the Australian continent

04 | ACPD, 03 Nov 2008:

