

Home

Online Library ACP

- Recent Final Revised Papers
- Volumes and Issues
- Special Issues
- Library Search
- Title and Author Search

Online Library ACPD

Alerts & RSS Feeds

General Information

Submission

Review

Production

Subscription

Comment on a Paper





■ Volumes and Issues ■ Contents of Issue 12 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3055-3069, 2007 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/3055/2007/ © Author(s) 2007. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

Understanding the kinetics of the CIO dimer cycle

M. von Hobe¹, R. J. Salawitch², T. Canty², H. Keller-Rudek³, G. K. Moortgat³, J.-U. Grooß¹, R. Müller¹, and F. Stroh¹ ¹Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institute for Chemistry and Dynamics of the Geosphere (ICG-1), Jülich, Germany

² Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

³Max-Planck-Institute for Chemistry, Atmospheric Chemistry Division, Mainz, Germany

Abstract. Among the major factors controlling ozone loss in the polar vortices in winter/spring is the kinetics of the CIO dimer catalytic cycle. Here, we propose a strategy to test and improve our understanding of these kinetics by comparing and combining information on the thermal equilibrium between CIO and CI_2O_2 , the rate of CI_2O_2 formation, and the Cl₂O₂ photolysis rate from laboratory experiments, theoretical studies and field observations. Concordant with a number of earlier studies, we find considerable inconsistencies of some recent laboratory results with rate theory calculations and stratospheric observations of CIO and CI₂O₂. The set of parameters for which we find the best overall consistency - namely the CIO/CI₂O₂ equilibrium constant suggested by Plenge et al. (2005), the Cl₂O₂ recombination rate constant reported by Nickolaisen et al. (1994) and $\mathrm{CI}_2\mathrm{O}_2$ photolysis rates based on absorption cross sections in the range between the JPL 2006 assessment and the laboratory study by Burkholder et al. (1990) - is not congruent with the latest recommendations given by the JPL and IUPAC panels and does not represent the laboratory studies currently regarded as the most reliable experimental values. We show that the incorporation of new Pope et al. (2007) Cl₂O₂ absorption cross sections into several models, combined with best estimates for other key parameters (based on either JPL and IUPAC evaluations or on our study), results in severe model underestimates of observed CIO and observed ozone loss rates. This finding suggests either the existence of an unknown process that drives the partitioning of CIO and Cl₂O₂, or else some unidentified problem with either the laboratory study or numerous measurements of atmospheric CIO. Our mechanistic understanding of the CIO/CI2O2 system is grossly lacking, with severe implications for our ability to simulate both present and future polar ozone depletion.

■ Final Revised Paper (PDF, 1301 KB) ■ Discussion Paper (ACPD)

Citation: von Hobe, M., Salawitch, R. J., Canty, T., Keller-Rudek, H., Moortgat, G. K., Grooß, J.-U., Müller, R., and Stroh, F.: Understanding the kinetics of the CIO dimer cycle, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3055-3069, 2007. Bibtex EndNote Reference Manager





Search ACP	
Library Search	•
Author Search	•

News

- Sister Journals AMT & GMD
- Financial Support for Authors
- Journal Impact Factor
- Public Relations & Background Information

Recent Papers

01 | ACPD, 12 Dec 2008: Towards closing the gap between hygroscopic growth and activation for secondary organic aerosol – Part 2: Theoretical approaches

02 | ACP, 12 Dec 2008: Direct determination of highly size-resolved turbulent particle fluxes with the disjunct eddy covariance method and a 12 – stage electrical low pressure impactor

03 | ACPD, 12 Dec 2008: Isoprene, sulphoxy radicalanions and acidity

