

Home

Online Library ACP

- ▣ Recent Final Revised Papers
- ▣ [Volumes and Issues](#)
- ▣ Special Issues
- ▣ Library Search
- ▣ Title and Author Search

Online Library ACPD

Alerts & RSS Feeds

General Information

Submission

Review

Production

Subscription

Comment on a Paper

Impact  
Factor  
4.865

ISI  
indexed



▣ [Volumes and Issues](#) ▣ [Contents of Issue 2](#)

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 497-513, 2009

[www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/497/2009/](http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/497/2009/)

© Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

## Assessing positive matrix factorization model fit: a new method to estimate uncertainty and bias in factor contributions at the measurement time scale

J. G. Hemann<sup>1</sup>, G. L. Brinkman<sup>2</sup>, S. J. Dutton<sup>2</sup>, M. P. Hannigan<sup>2</sup>, J. B. Milford<sup>2</sup>, and S. L. Miller<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Colorado, Boulder, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Colorado, Boulder, USA

**Abstract.** A Positive Matrix Factorization receptor model for aerosol pollution source apportionment was fit to a synthetic dataset simulating one year of daily measurements of ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations, comprised of 39 chemical species from nine pollutant sources. A novel method was developed to estimate model fit uncertainty and bias at the daily time scale, as related to factor contributions. A circular block bootstrap is used to create replicate datasets, with the same receptor model then fit to the data. Neural networks are trained to classify factors based upon chemical profiles, as opposed to correlating contribution time series, and this classification is used to align factor orderings across the model results associated with the replicate datasets. Factor contribution uncertainty is assessed from the distribution of results associated with each factor. Comparing modeled factors with input factors used to create the synthetic data assesses bias. The results indicate that variability in factor contribution estimates does not necessarily encompass model error: contribution estimates can have small associated variability across results yet also be very biased. These findings are likely dependent on characteristics of the data.

▣ [Final Revised Paper](#) (PDF, 3447 KB) ▣ [Discussion Paper](#) (ACPD)

Citation: Hemann, J. G., Brinkman, G. L., Dutton, S. J., Hannigan, M. P., Milford, J. B., and Miller, S. L.: Assessing positive matrix factorization model fit: a new method to estimate uncertainty and bias in factor contributions at the measurement time scale, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 497-513, 2009. ▣ [Bibtex](#) ▣ [EndNote](#) ▣ [Reference Manager](#)

Search ACP

Library Search

Author Search

News

- ▣ [Sister Journals AMT & GMD](#)
- ▣ [Financial Support for Authors](#)
- ▣ [Journal Impact Factor](#)
- ▣ [Public Relations & Background Information](#)

Recent Papers

01 | ACPD, 12 Mar 2009:  
A new insight on tropospheric methane in the Tropics – first year from IASI hyperspectral infrared observations

02 | ACPD, 11 Mar 2009:  
Comparison of analytical methods for HULIS measurements in atmospheric particles

03 | ACPD, 11 Mar 2009:  
Vertical distribution of aerosols in Mexico City during MILAGRO-2006 campaign