

[Home](#)[Online Library ACP](#)[Recent Final Revised Papers](#)[Volumes and Issues](#)[Special Issues](#)[Library Search](#)[Title and Author Search](#)[Online Library ACPD](#)[Alerts & RSS Feeds](#)[General Information](#)[Submission](#)[Review](#)[Production](#)[Subscription](#)[Comment on a Paper](#)Impact  
Factor  
4.927ISI  
indexed[Volumes and Issues](#) [Contents of Issue 22](#)

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8697-8717, 2009

www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/8697/2009/

© Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed

under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

## Aerosol indirect effects – general circulation model intercomparison and evaluation with satellite data

J. Quaas<sup>1</sup>, Y. Ming<sup>2</sup>, S. Menon<sup>3,4</sup>, T. Takemura<sup>5</sup>, M. Wang<sup>6,13</sup>, J. E. Penner<sup>6</sup>, A. Gettelman<sup>7</sup>, U. Lohmann<sup>8</sup>, N. Bellouin<sup>9</sup>, O. Boucher<sup>9</sup>, A. M. Sayer<sup>10</sup>, G. E. Thomas<sup>10</sup>, A. McComiskey<sup>11</sup>, G. Feingold<sup>11</sup>, C. Hoese<sup>12</sup>, J. E. Kristjánsson<sup>12</sup>, X. Liu<sup>13</sup>, Y. Balkanski<sup>14</sup>, L. J. Donner<sup>2</sup>, P. A. Ginoux<sup>2</sup>, P. Stier<sup>10</sup>, B. Grandey<sup>10</sup>, J. Feichter<sup>1</sup>, I. Sednev<sup>3</sup>, S. E. Bauer<sup>4</sup>, D. Koch<sup>4</sup>, R. G. Grainger<sup>10</sup>, A. Kirkevåg<sup>15</sup>, T. Iversen<sup>12,15</sup>, Ø. Seland<sup>15</sup>, R. Easter<sup>13</sup>, S. J. Ghan<sup>13</sup>, P. J. Rasch<sup>13</sup>, H. Morrison<sup>7</sup>, J.-F. Lamarque<sup>7</sup>, M. J. Iacono<sup>16</sup>, S. Kinne<sup>1</sup>, and M. Schulz<sup>14</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany<sup>2</sup>Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory/NOAA, Princeton, USA<sup>3</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, USA<sup>4</sup>Goddard Institute for Space Studies/NASA, New York, USA<sup>5</sup>Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan<sup>6</sup>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA<sup>7</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, USA<sup>8</sup>Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science/ETH Zurich, Switzerland<sup>9</sup>Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK<sup>10</sup>Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics, University of Oxford, UK<sup>11</sup>NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, USA<sup>12</sup>Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Norway<sup>13</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, USA<sup>14</sup>Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement/IPSL, Gif-sur-Yvette, France<sup>15</sup>Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway<sup>16</sup>Atmospheric and Environmental Research, Inc., Lexington, USA

**Abstract.** Aerosol indirect effects continue to constitute one of the most important uncertainties for anthropogenic climate perturbations. Within the international AEROCOM initiative, the representation of aerosol-cloud-radiation interactions in ten different general circulation models (GCMs) is evaluated using three satellite datasets. The focus is on stratiform liquid water clouds since most GCMs do not include ice nucleation effects, and none of the model explicitly parameterises aerosol effects on convective clouds. We compute statistical relationships between aerosol optical depth ( $\tau_a$ ) and various cloud and radiation quantities in a manner that is consistent between the models and the satellite data. It is found that the model-simulated influence of aerosols on cloud droplet number concentration ( $N_d$ ) compares relatively well to the satellite data at least over the ocean. The relationship between  $\tau_a$  and liquid water path is simulated much too strongly by the models. This suggests that the implementation of the second aerosol indirect effect mainly in terms of an autoconversion parameterisation has to be revisited in the GCMs. A positive relationship between total cloud fraction ( $f_{\text{clD}}$ ) and  $\tau_a$  as found in the satellite data is simulated by the majority of the models, albeit less strongly than that in the satellite data in most of them. In a discussion of the hypotheses proposed in the literature to explain the satellite-derived

[Search ACP](#)

Library Search

Author Search

[News](#)[Sister Journals AMT & GMD](#)[Public Relations & Background Information](#)[Recent Papers](#)

01 | ACPD, 19 Nov 2009:  
Tropospheric photooxidation of CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CHO and CF<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CHO initiated by Cl atoms and OH radicals

02 | ACP, 19 Nov 2009:  
Regional N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in Amazonia derived from aircraft vertical profiles

03 | ACP, 19 Nov 2009:  
Application of  $\phi$ -IASI to IASI: retrieval products evaluation and radiative transfer consistency

04 | ACPD, 18 Nov 2009:

strong  $f_{\text{clid}}-\tau_a$  relationship, our results indicate that none can be identified as a unique explanation. Relationships similar to the ones found in satellite data between  $\tau_a$  and cloud top temperature or outgoing long-wave radiation (OLR) are simulated by only a few GCMs. The GCMs that simulate a negative OLR- $\tau_a$  relationship show a strong positive correlation between  $\tau_a$  and  $f_{\text{clid}}$ . The short-wave total aerosol radiative forcing as simulated by the GCMs is strongly influenced by the simulated anthropogenic fraction of  $\tau_a$ , and parameterisation assumptions such as a lower bound on  $N_d$ . Nevertheless, the strengths of the statistical relationships are good predictors for the aerosol forcings in the models. An estimate of the total short-wave aerosol forcing inferred from the combination of these predictors for the modelled forcings with the satellite-derived statistical relationships yields a global annual mean value of  $-1.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ . In an alternative approach, the radiative flux perturbation due to anthropogenic aerosols can be broken down into a component over the cloud-free portion of the globe (approximately the aerosol direct effect) and a component over the cloudy portion of the globe (approximately the aerosol indirect effect). An estimate obtained by scaling these simulated clear- and cloudy-sky forcings with estimates of anthropogenic  $\tau_a$  and satellite-retrieved  $N_d$ - $\tau_a$  regression slopes, respectively, yields a global, annual-mean aerosol direct effect estimate of  $-0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  and a cloudy-sky (aerosol indirect effect) estimate of  $-0.7 \pm 0.5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ , with a total estimate of  $-1.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ .

■ [Final Revised Paper](#) (PDF, 452 KB) ■ [Supplement](#) (140 KB) ■ [Discussion Paper](#) (ACPD)

Citation: Quaas, J., Ming, Y., Menon, S., Takemura, T., Wang, M., Penner, J. E., Gettelman, A., Lohmann, U., Bellouin, N., Boucher, O., Sayer, A. M., Thomas, G. E., McComiskey, A., Feingold, G., Hoose, C., Kristjánsson, J. E., Liu, X., Balkanski, Y., Donner, L. J., Ginoux, P. A., Stier, P., Grandey, B., Feichter, J., Sednev, I., Bauer, S. E., Koch, D., Grainger, R. G., Kirkevåg, A., Iversen, T., Seland, Ø., Easter, R., Ghan, S. J., Rasch, P. J., Morrison, H., Lamarque, J.-F., Iacono, M. J., Kinne, S., and Schulz, M.: Aerosol indirect effects – general circulation model intercomparison and evaluation with satellite data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8697-8717, 2009. ■ [Bibtex](#) ■ [EndNote](#) ■ [Reference Manager](#)