Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics An Interactive Open Access Journal of the European Geosciences Union

| EGU Journals | Contact

Online Library ACP

- Recent Final Revised **Papers**
- Volumes and Issues
- Special Issues
- Library Search
- Title and Author Search

Online Library ACPD

Alerts & RSS Feeds

General Information

Submission

Production

Subscription

Comment on a Paper



lindexed



PORTICO

■ Volumes and Issues
■ Contents of Issue 22

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6603-6615, 2008 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/6603/2008/ © Author(s) 2008. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

Statistical analysis of water vapour and ozone in the UT/LS observed during SPURT and MOZAIC

A. Kunz^{1,2}, C. Schiller¹, F. Rohrer², H. G. J. Smit², P. Nedelec³, and N. Spelten¹

¹Institut für Chemie und Dynamik der Geosphäre: Stratosphäre (ICG-1), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

²Institut für Chemie und Dynamik der Geosphäre: Troposphäre (ICG-2), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

³Laboratoire d'Aerologie, CNRS, Observatoire Midi-Pyrenees, Toulouse, France

Abstract. A statistical analysis for the comparability of water (H2O) and ozone (O₃) data sets sampled during the SPURT aircraft campaigns and the MOZAIC passenger aircraft flights is presented. The Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff test reveals that the distribution functions from SPURT and MOZAIC trace gases differ from each other with a confidence of 95%. A variance analysis shows a different variability character in both trace gas data sets. While the SPURT H₂O data only contain atmospheric processes variable on a diurnal or synoptical timescale, MOZAIC H₂O data also reveal processes, which vary on inter-seasonal and seasonal timescales. The SPURT H₂O data set does not represent the full MOZAIC H2O variance in the UT/LS for climatological investigations, whereas the variance of O_3 is much better represented. SPURT H₂O data are better suited in the stratosphere, where the MOZAIC RH sensor looses its sensitivity.

■ Final Revised Paper (PDF, 1604 KB) ■ Discussion Paper (ACPD)

Citation: Kunz, A., Schiller, C., Rohrer, F., Smit, H. G. J., Nedelec, P., and Spelten, N.: Statistical analysis of water vapour and ozone in the UT/LS observed during SPURT and MOZAIC, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6603-6615, 2008. ■ Bibtex ■ EndNote ■ Reference Manager



Library Search Author Search

- Sister Journals AMT & GMD
- Financial Support for Authors
- Journal Impact Factor
- Public Relations & **Background Information**

Recent Papers

01 | ACP, 26 Nov 2008: Technical Note: Adapting a fixed-lag Kalman smoother to a geostatistical atmospheric inversion framework

02 | ACPD, 26 Nov 2008: Springtime warming and reduced snow cover from carbonaceous particles

03 | ACP, 25 Nov 2008: Seasonal changes in gravity wave activity measured by lidars at mid-latitudes

04 | ACP, 24 Nov 2008: