

[Home](#)[Online Library ACP](#)

- Recent Final Revised Papers
- [Volumes and Issues](#)
- Special Issues
- Library Search
- Title and Author Search

[Online Library ACPD](#)[Alerts & RSS Feeds](#)[General Information](#)[Submission](#)[Review](#)[Production](#)[Subscription](#)[Comment on a Paper](#)[Volumes and Issues](#) [Contents of Issue 12](#)

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 3389-3406, 2005

www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/3389/2005/

© Author(s) 2005. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

Sensitivity of Global Modeling Initiative chemistry and transport model simulations of radon-222 and lead-210 to input meteorological data

D. B. Considine¹, D. J. Bergmann², and H. Liu³¹NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia, USA²Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA³National Institute of Aerospace, Hampton, Virginia, USA

Abstract. We have used the Global Modeling Initiative chemistry and transport model to simulate the radionuclides radon-222 and lead-210 using three different sets of input meteorological information: 1. Output from the Goddard Space Flight Center Global Modeling and Assimilation Office GEOS-STRAT assimilation; 2. Output from the Goddard Institute for Space Studies GISS II' general circulation model; and 3. Output from the National Center for Atmospheric Research MACCM3 general circulation model. We intercompare these simulations with observations to determine the variability resulting from the different meteorological data used to drive the model, and to assess the agreement of the simulations with observations at the surface and in the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere region. The observational datasets we use are primarily climatologies developed from multiple years of observations. In the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere region, climatological distributions of lead-210 were constructed from ~25 years of aircraft and balloon observations compiled into the US Environmental Measurements Laboratory RANDAB database. Taken as a whole, no simulation stands out as superior to the others. However, the simulation driven by the NCAR MACCM3 meteorological data compares better with lead-210 observations in the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere region. Comparisons of simulations made with and without convection show that the role played by convective transport and scavenging in the three simulations differs substantially. These differences may have implications for evaluation of the importance of very short-lived halogen-containing species on stratospheric halogen budgets.

[Final Revised Paper](#) (PDF, 388 KB) [Discussion Paper](#) (ACPD)

Citation: Considine, D. B., Bergmann, D. J., and Liu, H.: Sensitivity of Global Modeling Initiative chemistry and transport model simulations of radon-222 and lead-210 to input meteorological data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 3389-3406, 2005. [Bibtex](#) [EndNote](#) [Reference Manager](#)

[Search ACP](#)

Library Search

Author Search

[News](#)

- Sister Journals AMT & GMD
- Financial Support for Authors
- Journal Impact Factor
- Public Relations & Background Information

[Recent Papers](#)

01 | ACP, 19 Feb 2009: Relating observations of contrail persistence to numerical weather analysis output

02 | ACP, 19 Feb 2009: Increasing ozone in marine boundary layer inflow at the west coasts of North America and Europe

03 | ACP, 19 Feb 2009: Influence of non-ideality on condensation to aerosol

04 | ACP, 19 Feb 2009: Uncertainty in global CCN