Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics An Interactive Open Access Journal of the European Geosciences Union



Home

Online Library ACP

- Recent Final Revised Papers
- Volumes and Issues
- Special Issues
- Library Search
- Title and Author Search

Online Library ACPD

Alerts & RSS Feeds

General Information

Submission

Review

Production

Subscription

Comment on a Paper





■ Volumes and Issues ■ Contents of Issue 1 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 67-72, 2003 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/67/2003/

© Author(s) 2003. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

| Copernicus.org | EGU.eu |

Ground-based intercomparison of two isoprene measurement techniques

E. Leibrock^{1,2,*}, L. G. Huey³, P. D. Goldan^{1,2}, W. C. Kuster^{1,2}, E. Williams^{1,2}, and F. C. Fehsenfeld^{1,2}

¹Aeronomy Laboratory, Environmental Research Laboratories, NOAA, Boulder, Colorado, USA

²Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA

³School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

^{*}present address: The Boston Consulting Group, Ludwigstraße 21, D–80539 München, Germany

Abstract. An informal intercomparison of two isoprene (C_5H_8) measurement techniques was carried out during Fall of 1998 at a field site located approximately 3 km west of Boulder, Colorado, USA. A new chemical ionization mass spectrometric technique (CIMS) was compared to a well-established gas chromatographic technique (GC). The CIMS technique utilized benzene cation chemistry to ionize isoprene. The isoprene levels measured by the CIMS were often larger than those obtained with the GC. The results indicate that the CIMS technique suffered from an anthropogenic interference associated with air masses from the Denver, CO metropolitan area as well as an additional interference occurring in clean conditions. However, the CIMS technique is also demonstrated to be sensitive and fast. Especially after introduction of a tandem mass spectrometric technique, it is therefore a candidate for isoprene measurements in remote environments near isoprene sources.

■ Final Revised Paper (PDF, 411 KB) ■ Discussion Paper (ACPD)

Citation: Leibrock, E., Huey, L. G., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Williams, E., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Ground-based intercomparison of two isoprene measurement techniques, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 67-72, 2003. Bibtex EndNote Reference Manager | EGU Journals | Contact



Search ACP Library Search Author Search

News

- Sister Journals AMT & GMD
- Financial Support for Authors
- Journal Impact Factor
- Public Relations & Background Information

Recent Papers

01 | ACPD, 10 Mar 2009: Characterization of organic ambient aerosol during MIRAGE 2006 on three platforms

02 | ACPD, 10 Mar 2009: Regional differences in organic composition of submicron and single particles during INTEX-B 2006

03 | ACPD, 10 Mar 2009: First steps towards the assimilation of IASI ozone data into the MOCAGE-PALM system