# Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics An Interactive Open Access Journal of the European Geosciences Union

# | Copernicus.org | EGU.eu |

### Home

## Online Library ACP

- Recent Final Revised Papers
- Volumes and Issues
- Special Issues
- Library Search
- Title and Author Search

# Online Library ACPD

Alerts & RSS Feeds

**General Information** 

Submission

Review

Production

Subscription

Comment on a Paper





■ Volumes and Issues ■ Contents of Issue 3 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 697-712, 2003 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/697/2003/ © Author(s) 2003. This work is licensed

under a Creative Commons License.

# Detailed modeling of mountain wave PSCs

S. Fueglistaler<sup>1</sup>, S. Buss<sup>1</sup>, B. P. Luo<sup>1</sup>, H. Wernli<sup>1</sup>, H. Flentje<sup>2</sup>, C. A. Hostetler<sup>3</sup>, L. R. Poole<sup>3</sup>, K. S. Carslaw<sup>4</sup>, and Th. Peter<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zürich, Switzerland <sup>2</sup>DLR Oberpfaffenhofen, 82230 Wessling, Germany <sup>3</sup>NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA <sup>4</sup>School of the Environment, Univ. of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Abstract. Polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) play a key role in polar ozone depletion. In the Arctic, PSCs can occur on the mesoscale due to orographically induced gravity waves. Here we present a detailed study of a mountain wave PSC event on 25-27 January 2000 over Scandinavia. The mountain wave PSCs were intensively observed by in-situ and remotesensing techniques during the second phase of the SOLVE/THESEO-2000 Arctic campaign. We use these excellent data of PSC observations on 3 successive days to analyze the PSCs and to perform a detailed comparison with modeled clouds. We simulated the 3-dimensional PSC structure on all 3 days with a mesoscale numerical weather prediction (NWP) model and a microphysical box model (using best available nucleation rates for ice and nitric acid trihydrate particles). We show that the combined mesoscale/microphysical model is capable of reproducing the PSC measurements within the uncertainty of data interpretation with respect to spatial dimensions, temporal development and microphysical properties, without manipulating temperatures or using other tuning parameters. In contrast, microphysical modeling based upon coarser scale global NWP data, e.g. current ECMWF analysis data, cannot reproduce observations, in particular the occurrence of ice and nitric acid trihydrate clouds. Combined mesoscale/microphysical modeling may be used for detailed a posteriori PSC analysis and for future Arctic campaign flight and mission planning. The fact that remote sensing alone cannot further constrain model results due to uncertainities in the interpretation of measurements, underlines the need for synchronous in-situ PSC observations in campaigns.

■ <u>Final Revised Paper</u> (PDF, 5778 KB) ■ <u>Discussion Paper</u> (ACPD)

Citation: Fueglistaler, S., Buss, S., Luo, B. P., Wernli, H., Flentje, H., Hostetler, C. A., Poole, L. R., Carslaw, K. S., and Peter, Th.: Detailed modeling of mountain wave PSCs, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 697-712, 2003. Bibtex EndNote Reference Manager

### | EGU Journals | Contact



Search ACP	
Library Search	•
Author Search	•

#### News

- Sister Journals AMT & GMD
- Financial Support for Authors
- Journal Impact Factor
- Public Relations & Background Information

#### **Recent Papers**

01 | ACP, 11 Mar 2009: Measurements of Pollution In The Troposphere (MOPITT) validation through 2006

02 | ACP, 11 Mar 2009: Air-sea fluxes of biogenic bromine from the tropical and North Atlantic Ocean

03 | ACPD, 10 Mar 2009: Characterization of organic ambient aerosol during MIRAGE 2006 on three platforms

04 | ACPD, 10 Mar 2009: Regional differences in