Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics

An Interactive Open Access Journal of the European Geosciences Union

EGU.eu

Home

Online Library ACP

- Recent Final Revised Papers
- Volumes and Issues
- Special Issues
- Library Search
- Title and Author Search

Onl	ine l	₋ibrary	ACPD

Alerts & RSS Feeds

General Information

Submission

Review

Production

Subscription

Comment on a Paper



PORTICO

■ Volumes and Issues ■ Contents of Issue 12 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4091-4114, 2009 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/4091/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

A six year satellite-based assessment of the regional variations in aerosol indirect effects

T. A. Jones¹, S. A. Christopher^{1,2}, and J. Quaas³ ¹Earth System Science Center, UAHuntsville, Huntsville, AL, USA ²Department of Atmospheric Science, UAHuntsville, Huntsville, AL, USA ³Cloud-Climate Feedbacks Group, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany

Abstract. Aerosols act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) for cloud water droplets, and changes in aerosol concentrations have significant microphysical impacts on the corresponding cloud properties. Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) aerosol and cloud properties are combined with NCEP Reanalysis data for six different regions around the globe between March 2000 and December 2005 to study the effects of different aerosol, cloud, and atmospheric conditions on the aerosol indirect effect (AIE). Emphasis is placed in examining the relative importance of aerosol concentration, type, and atmospheric conditions (mainly vertical motion) to AIE from region to region.

Results show that in most regions, AIE has a distinct seasonal cycle, though the cycle varies in significance and period from region to region. In the Arabian Sea (AS), the six-year mean anthropogenic + dust AIE is -0.27 Wm^{-2} and is greatest during the summer months (<-2.0 Wm^{-2}) during which aerosol concentrations (from both dust and anthropogenic sources) are greatest. Comparing AIE as a function of thin (LWP<20 gm⁻²) vs. thick $(LWP \ge 20 \text{ gm}^{-2})$ clouds under conditions of large scale ascent or decent at 850 hPa showed that AIE is greatest for thick clouds during periods of upward vertical motion. In the Bay of Bengal, AIE is negligible owing to less favorable atmospheric conditions, a lower concentration of aerosols, and a non-alignment of aerosol and cloud layers. In the eastern North Atlantic, AIE is weakly positive $(+0.1 \text{ Wm}^{-2})$ with dust aerosol concentration being much greater than the anthropogenic or sea salt components. However, elevated dust in this region exists above the maritime cloud layers and does not have a hygroscopic coating, which occurs in AS, preventing the dust from acting as CCN and limiting AIE. The Western Atlantic has a large anthropogenic aerosol concentration transported from the eastern United States producing a modest anthropogenic AIE (-0.46 Wm^{-2}) . Anthropogenic AIE is also present off the West African coast corresponding to aerosols produced from seasonal biomass burning (both natural and man-made). Interestingly, atmospheric conditions are not particularly favorable for cloud formation compared to the other regions during the times where AIE is observed; however, clouds are generally thin (LWP<20 gm^{-2}) and concentrated very near the surface. Overall, we conclude that vertical motion, aerosol type, and aerosol layer heights do make a significant contribution to AIE and that these factors are often more important than total aerosol concentration alone and that the relative

| EGU Journals | Contact



Search ACP	
Library Search	••
Author Search	•

New

- New Alert Service available
- Sister Journals AMT & GMD
- Financial Support for Authors
- Journal Impact Factor
- Public Relations &
- Background Information

Recent Papers

01 | ACP, 23 Jun 2009: Surface ozone at the Caucasian site Kislovodsk High Mountain Station and the Swiss Alpine site Jungfraujoch: data analysis and trends (1990-2006)

02 | ACPD, 23 Jun 2009: Slower CCN growth kinetics of anthropogenic aerosol compared to biogenic aerosol observed at a rural site

03 | ACP, 23 Jun 2009: $^{36}\mbox{Cl}$ bomb peak: comparison of modeled and measured data

importance of each differs significantly from region to region.

■ <u>Final Revised Paper</u> (PDF, 4544 KB) ■ <u>Discussion Paper</u> (ACPD)

Citation: Jones, T. A., Christopher, S. A., and Quaas, J.: A six year satellitebased assessment of the regional variations in aerosol indirect effects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4091-4114, 2009. Bibtex EndNote Reference Manager