

[Home](#)[Online Library ACP](#)[Recent Final Revised Papers](#)[Volumes and Issues](#)[Special Issues](#)[Library Search](#)[Title and Author Search](#)[Online Library ACPD](#)[Alerts & RSS Feeds](#)[General Information](#)[Submission](#)[Review](#)[Production](#)[Subscription](#)[Comment on a Paper](#)Impact
Factor
4.927ISI
indexed[Volumes and Issues](#) [Contents of Issue 22](#)

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8651-8660, 2009

www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/8651/2009/

© Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed

under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

Sensitivity of polar stratospheric ozone loss to uncertainties in chemical reaction kinetics

S. R. Kawa¹, R. S. Stolarski¹, P. A. Newman¹, A. R. Douglass¹, M. Rex², D. J. Hofmann³, M. L. Santee⁴, and K. Frieler^{2,*}¹NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA²Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Potsdam, Germany³National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Earth Systems Research Laboratory, Boulder, CO, USA⁴Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

* now at: Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), Potsdam, Germany

Abstract. The impact and significance of uncertainties in model calculations of stratospheric ozone loss resulting from known uncertainty in chemical kinetics parameters is evaluated in trajectory chemistry simulations for the Antarctic and Arctic polar vortices. The uncertainty in modeled ozone loss is derived from Monte Carlo scenario simulations varying the kinetic (reaction and photolysis rate) parameters within their estimated uncertainty bounds. Simulations of a typical winter/spring Antarctic vortex scenario and Match scenarios in the Arctic produce large uncertainty in ozone loss rates and integrated seasonal loss. The simulations clearly indicate that the dominant source of model uncertainty in polar ozone loss is uncertainty in the Cl_2O_2 photolysis reaction, which arises from uncertainty in laboratory-measured molecular cross sections at atmospherically important wavelengths. This estimated uncertainty in $J_{\text{Cl}_2\text{O}_2}$ from laboratory measurements seriously hinders our ability to model polar ozone loss within useful quantitative error limits. Atmospheric observations, however, suggest that the Cl_2O_2 photolysis uncertainty may be less than that derived from the lab data. Comparisons to Match, South Pole ozonesonde, and Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) data all show that the nominal recommended rate simulations agree with data within uncertainties when the Cl_2O_2 photolysis error is reduced by a factor of two, in line with previous in situ ClO_x measurements. Comparisons to simulations using recent cross sections from Pope et al. (2007) are outside the constrained error bounds in each case. Other reactions producing significant sensitivity in polar ozone loss include $\text{BrO} + \text{ClO}$ and its branching ratios. These uncertainties challenge our confidence in modeling polar ozone depletion and projecting future changes in response to changing halogen emissions and climate. Further laboratory, theoretical, and possibly atmospheric studies are needed.

[Final Revised Paper](#) (PDF, 1192 KB) [Discussion Paper](#) (ACPD)

Citation: Kawa, S. R., Stolarski, R. S., Newman, P. A., Douglass, A. R., Rex, M., Hofmann, D. J., Santee, M. L., and Frieler, K.: Sensitivity of polar stratospheric ozone loss to uncertainties in chemical reaction kinetics, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8651-8660, 2009. [Bibtex](#) [EndNote](#) [Reference Manager](#)

[Search ACP](#)

Library Search

Author Search

[News](#)[Sister Journals AMT & GMD](#)[Public Relations & Background Information](#)[Recent Papers](#)

01 | ACPD, 19 Nov 2009:
Tropospheric photooxidation of $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CHO}$ and $\text{CF}_3(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{CHO}$ initiated by Cl atoms and OH radicals

02 | ACP, 19 Nov 2009:
Regional N_2O fluxes in Amazonia derived from aircraft vertical profiles

03 | ACP, 19 Nov 2009:
Application of ϕ -IASI to IASI: retrieval products evaluation and radiative transfer consistency

04 | ACPD, 18 Nov 2009:

