

Home

Online Library HESS

- Recent Final Revised Papers
- Volumes and Issues**
- Special Issues
- Library Search
- Title and Author Search

Online Library HESSD

Alerts & RSS Feeds

General Information

Submission

Review

Production

Subscription

Comment on a Paper

Impact
Factor
2.270

ISI
indexed



[Volumes and Issues](#) [Contents of Issue 4](#) [Special Issue](#)

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 7, 467-483, 2003

www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/7/467/2003/

© Author(s) 2003. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

Future recovery of acidified lakes in southern Norway predicted by the MAGIC model

R. F. Wright¹ and B. J. Cosby²

¹Norwegian Institute for Water Research, P.O. Box 173 Kjelsås, N-0411 Oslo, Norway

²Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA

E-mail for corresponding author: richard.wright@niva.no

Abstract. The acidification model MAGIC was used to predict recovery of small lakes in southernmost Norway to future reduction of acid deposition. A set of 60 small headwater lakes was sampled annually from either 1986 (35 lakes) or 1995 (25 lakes). Future acid deposition was assumed to follow implementation of current agreed legislation, including the Gothenburg protocol. Three scenarios of future N retention were used. Calibration of the sites to the observed time trends (1990–1999) as well as to one point in time considerably increased the robustness of the predictions. The modelled decline in SO_4^* concentrations in the lakes over the period 1986–2001 matched the observed decline closely. This strongly suggests that soil processes such as SO_4 adsorption/desorption and S reduction/oxidation do not delay the response of runoff by more than a few years. The slope of time trends in ANC over the period of observations was less steep than that observed, perhaps because the entire soil column does not interact actively with the soilwater that emerges as runoff. The lakes showed widely differing time trends in NO_3 concentrations over the period 1986–2000. The observed trends were not simulated by any of the three N scenarios. A model based on the C/N ratio in soil was insufficient to account for N retention and leaching at these sites. The large differences in modelled NO_3 , however, produced only minor differences in ANC between the three scenarios. In the year 2050, the difference was only about $5 \mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$. Future climate change entailing warming and increased precipitation could also increase NO_3 loss to surface waters. SO_4^* concentrations in the lakes were predicted to decrease in parallel with the future decreases in S deposition. Fully 80% of the expected decline to year 2025, however, had already occurred by the year 2000. Similarly, ANC concentrations were predicted to increase in the future, but again about 67% of the expected change has already occurred over the past 20 years. The recovery of ANC was predicted to be incomplete. Even after the CLE scenario (for future acid deposition) is implemented, the chemical conditions in about one-third of the lakes were predicted to be insufficient to support trout populations in the future. Thus, additional measures will be required if these lakes are to be restored.

Keywords: acid deposition, lakes, model, Norway, recovery

Search HESS

Library Search

Author Search

News

- New Service Charges
- Financial Support for Authors
- ISI Impact Factor: 2.270

Recent Papers

01 | HESSD, 12 Mar 2009:
Distributed modeling of land surface water and energy budgets in the inland Heihe river basin of China

02 | HESSD, 12 Mar 2009:
Comparison of six algorithms to determine the soil thermal diffusivity at a site in the Loess Plateau of China

03 | HESS, 11 Mar 2009:
Large-scale lysimeter site St. Arnold, Germany: analysis of 40 years of precipitation, leachate and evapotranspiration

■ [Final Revised Paper](#) (PDF, 1302 KB)

Citation: Wright, R. F. and Cosby, B. J.: Future recovery of acidified lakes in southern Norway predicted by the MAGIC model, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 7, 467-483, 2003. ■ [Bibtex](#) ■ [EndNote](#) ■ [Reference Manager](#)