

Home

Online Library HESS

- Recent Final Revised Papers
- [Volumes and Issues](#)
- Special Issues
- Library Search
- Title and Author Search

Online Library HESSD

Alerts & RSS Feeds

General Information

Submission

Review

Production

Subscription

Comment on a Paper

Impact  
Factor  
2.270

ISI  
indexed



[Volumes and Issues](#) [Contents of Issue 4](#)

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 6, 671-684, 2002  
www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/6/671/2002/

© Author(s) 2002. This work is licensed  
under a Creative Commons License.

## Comparison of different forms of the Multi-layer Feed-Forward Neural Network method used for river flow forecasting

A. Y. Shamseldin<sup>1</sup>, A. E. Nasr<sup>2</sup>, and K. M. O'Connor<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, The University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, University College Dublin, Earlsfort Terrace, Dublin 2, Ireland

<sup>3</sup>Department of Engineering Hydrology, National University of Ireland, Galway, Galway, Ireland

Email for corresponding author: a.shamseldin@bham.ac.uk

**Abstract.** The Multi-Layer Feed-Forward Neural Network (MLFFNN) is applied in the context of river flow forecast combination, where a number of rainfall-runoff models are used simultaneously to produce an overall combined river flow forecast. The operation of the MLFFNN depends not only on its neuron configuration but also on the choice of neuron transfer function adopted, which is non-linear for the hidden and output layers. These models, each having a different structure to simulate the perceived mechanisms of the runoff process, utilise the information carrying capacity of the model calibration data in different ways. Hence, in a discharge forecast combination procedure, the discharge forecasts of each model provide a source of information different from that of the other models used in the combination. In the present work, the significance of the choice of the transfer function type in the overall performance of the MLFFNN, when used in the river flow forecast combination context, is investigated critically. Five neuron transfer functions are used in this investigation, namely, the logistic function, the bipolar function, the hyperbolic tangent function, the arctan function and the scaled arctan function. The results indicate that the logistic function yields the best model forecast combination performance.

**Keywords:** River flow forecast combination, multi-layer feed-forward neural network, neuron transfer functions, rainfall-runoff models

[Final Revised Paper](#) (PDF, 683 KB)

Citation: Shamseldin, A. Y., Nasr, A. E., and O'Connor, K. M.: Comparison of different forms of the Multi-layer Feed-Forward Neural Network method used for river flow forecasting, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 6, 671-684, 2002. [Bibtex](#) [EndNote](#) [Reference Manager](#)



Search HESS

Library Search

Author Search

News

- New Service Charges
- Financial Support for Authors
- ISI Impact Factor: 2.270

Recent Papers

01 | HESSD, 17 Mar 2009:  
A general real-time  
formulation for multi-rate  
mass transfer problems

02 | HESSD, 16 Mar 2009:  
Calibration of a crop model  
to irrigated water use using a  
genetic algorithm

03 | HESSD, 16 Mar 2009:  
A Bayesian approach to  
estimate sensible and latent  
heat over vegetation

04 | HESS, 13 Mar 2009:  
Soil moisture retrieval  
through a merging of multi-