

Home

Online Library HESS

- Recent Final Revised Papers
- Volumes and Issues**
- Special Issues
- Library Search
- Title and Author Search

Online Library HESSD

Alerts & RSS Feeds

General Information

Submission

Review

Production

Subscription

Comment on a Paper

Impact
Factor
2.270

ISI
indexed

ARCHIVED IN

PORTICO

- Volumes and Issues
- Contents of Issue 4
- Special Issue

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 13, 519-535, 2009
www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/13/519/2009/

© Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed
under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

Comparison of different multi-objective calibration criteria using a conceptual rainfall-runoff model of flood events

R. Moussa¹ and N. Chahinian²

¹INRA, Laboratoire d'étude des Interactions entre Sol – Agrosystème – Hydrosystème, UMR LISAH SupAgro-INRA-IRD, 2 Place Pierre Viala, 34060 Montpellier, France

²HydroSciences Montpellier, Université Montpellier 2, Case courrier MSE, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

Abstract. A conceptual lumped rainfall-runoff flood event model was developed and applied on the Gardon catchment located in Southern France and various single-objective and multi-objective functions were used for its calibration. The model was calibrated on 15 events and validated on 14 others. The results of both the calibration and validation phases are compared on the basis of their performance with regards to six criteria, three global criteria and three relative criteria representing volume, peakflow, and the root mean square error. The first type of criteria gives more weight to large events whereas the second considers all events to be of equal weight. The results show that the calibrated parameter values are dependent on the type of criteria used. Significant trade-offs are observed between the different objectives: no unique set of parameters is able to satisfy all objectives simultaneously. Instead, the solution to the calibration problem is given by a set of Pareto optimal solutions. From this set of optimal solutions, a balanced aggregated objective function is proposed, as a compromise between up to three objective functions. The single-objective and multi-objective calibration strategies are compared both in terms of parameter variation bounds and simulation quality. The results of this study indicate that two well chosen and non-redundant objective functions are sufficient to calibrate the model and that the use of three objective functions does not necessarily yield different results. The problems of non-uniqueness in model calibration, and the choice of the adequate objective functions for flood event models, emphasise the importance of the modeller's intervention. The recent advances in automatic optimisation techniques do not minimise the user's responsibility, who has to choose multiple criteria based on the aims of the study, his appreciation on the errors induced by data and model structure and his knowledge of the catchment's hydrology.

- [Final Revised Paper](#) (PDF, 685 KB)
- [Discussion Paper](#) (HESSD)

Citation: Moussa, R. and Chahinian, N.: Comparison of different multi-objective calibration criteria using a conceptual rainfall-runoff model of flood events, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 13, 519-535, 2009. [Bibtex](#) [EndNote](#) [Reference Manager](#)



Search HESS

Library Search

Author Search

News

- New Service Charges
- Financial Support for Authors
- ISI Impact Factor: 2.270

Recent Papers

01 | HESSD, 28 Apr 2009:
Integrating field and numerical modeling methods for applied urban karst hydrogeology

02 | HESSD, 28 Apr 2009:
Analyzing the relationship between peak runoff discharge and land-use pattern – a spatial optimization approach

03 | HESSD, 27 Apr 2009:
Dynamically vs. empirically downscaled medium-range precipitation forecasts

