

Abstract View

Volume 12, Issue 5 (May 1982)

Journal of Physical Oceanography Article: pp. 464–482 | <u>Abstract</u> | <u>PDF (1.58M)</u>

## Sensible and Latent Heat Flux Measurements over the Ocean

W.G. Large

National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO 80307

## S. Pond

Department of Oceanography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C V6T 1W5, Canada

(Manuscript received October 3, 1981, in final form February 13, 1982) DOI: 10.1175/1520-0485(1982)012<0464:SALHFM>2.0.CO;2

## ABSTRACT

This papar presents an extensive act of sensible heat (Reynolds flux and dissipation methods) and latent heat (dissipation method) flux measurements from a stable deep water tower and from ships on the deep sea. Operational difficulties associated with ship spray and flow distortion and with sensor calibration, response and contamination are discussed. The influence of atmospheric stability on the dissipation measurements and the bulk transfer coefficients is considered and a parameterization of Z/L in terms of wind speed and the sea-air potential temperature difference is found to be adequate. Temperature variances, Stanton numbers and w-t cospectra from the Roynolds flux measurements are compared to previous results.

The dissipation method is shown to be a viable means of measuring the heal fluxes over the deep sea by comparison with simultaneous Reynolds flux measurements, using our data for the sensible heat and the data of others for the latent heat. The neutral drag coefficient at 10 m height, CDN, because it is

## Options:

- <u>Create Reference</u>
- Email this Article
- Add to MyArchive
- Search AMS Glossary

Search CrossRef for:

• Articles Citing This Article

Search Google Scholar for:

- W.G. Large
- <u>S. Pond</u>

relatively well established, is used to check the performance of the shipboard measurements The dissipation sensible and latent heat fluxes are well described, on average, by the neutral transfer coefficients at 10 m height, CTN and CEN, respectively: Previously published results are considered, indicating that  $10^3$  CTN = 0.75 may be preferable in stable conditions Some data suggest a slight wind-speed dependency above 10 m s<sup>-1</sup>, which is mostly accounted for with CTN and CEN proportional to CDN<sup>1/2</sup>, as implied by constant roughness lengths

A bulk aerodynamic method of estimating the heat fluxes from CDN, CTN and CEN, wind speed, sea temperature, and air temperature and humidity is described and compared to time series of the dissipation method boat fluxes. Potential problem with the data are discussed using the time series.



© 2008 American Meteorological Society <u>Privacy Policy and Disclaimer</u> Headquarters: 45 Beacon Street Boston, MA 02108-3693 DC Office: 1120 G Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington DC, 20005-3826 <u>amsinfo@ametsoc.org</u> Phone: 617-227-2425 Fax: 617-742-8718 <u>Allen Press, Inc.</u> assists in the online publication of *AMS* journals. top 🔺