

# Distribution and fate of nonylphenol in an aquatic microcosm

# Guo-Lan Huang<sup>a</sup>, Shao-Gang Hou<sup>a,b</sup>, Lei Wang<sup>a</sup>, Hong-Wen Sun<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Nankai University, 94 Weijin Road of Nankai District, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Anyang Institute of Technology, Huanghe Road of Wenfen District, Henan, 455000, People's Republic of China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 June 2006 Received in revised form 9 March 2007 Accepted 15 June 2007 <u>Available online 20 June 2007</u> Keywords: Nonylphenol (NP) Distribution Fate Fugacity model Microcosm

#### ABSTRACT

An aquatic microcosm consisting of four compartments, namely surface microlayer (SM), water sediment and biota (zebra fish), was developed to investigate the distribution and fate of nonylphenol (NP) in an aquatic environment. Level III and level IV fugacity-based multimedia models were used to calculate the distribution and fate of NP. Data obtained from model calculations were in good agreement with those of the experiments. Results of the model calculations showed that 86.50% of all NP input was removed by advective outflow, while 61.99% of the remainder was distributed to the sediment phase, 34.89% to the water phase,  $2.50 \times 10^{-2}\%$  to SM and 3.13% to the biotic phase. This finding demonstrates that sediment plays a key role in the fate of NP and acts as a sink in the aquatic environment. Bioconcentration factor (BCF) of NP in the zebra fish was high and varied in different parts of the fish. The highest BCF was 1440 in the viscera. After the uptake experiment, depuration of NP in clean water indicated that, the concentration of residue NP in the zebra fish was still high. This implies that NP in fish can pose a potential threat to human health due to its bioaccumulation in the food chain.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Nonylphenol (NP), one of the common metabolites of widely used nonionic surfactant NP polyethoxylates (NP<sub>n</sub>EO), is more persistent and toxic than its parent substance and acts as an environmental endocrine disrupter (EDC), which has been proven by many studies (Stephanou and Giger, 1982; Jobling et al., 1996; 1998; Gray and Metcalfe, 1997; Comber et al., 1993; Yadetie and Male, 2002; Hemmer et al., 2002). NP is ubiquitous in the natural environment and has been detected in different environmental media (Bennie, 1999; Ying et al., 2002) and food (Guenther et al., 2002; Casajuana and Lacorte, 2004). Degradation of NP under aerobic and anaerobic conditions with different bacteria has been reported (Staples et al., 1999; Tanghe et al., 1998; Maguire, 1999; Körner et al., 2000; Banat et al., 2000; Topp and Starratt, 2000; Yuan et al., 2004).

It is well known that organic compounds have the ability to transfer among sediment, water and biota compartments in an aqueous system in accordance with their physical-chemical properties, influenced also by environmental conditions. Full understanding of the equilibrium partitioning and kinetics of transfer, partitioning and processing (reaction/ degradation) of NP between and within aqueous compartments is important to accurately develop and calibrate models that predict the fate of NP in an environmental system (Wilson and Meharg, 1999). Model ecosystem (microand mesocosm) offers the possibility to study the influence of contaminants on the environment under controlled

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 22 235 04 821.

E-mail address: sunhongwen@nankai.edu.cn (H.-W. Sun).

<sup>0043-1354/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2007.06.034

conditions. Microcosm studies are very important for estimating the effects of environmental pollutants, as well as direct and indirect effects (Höss et al., 2004).

The Mackay-type multimedia environmental models is an elegant approach to determine the fate of chemicals in the environment. Such models are developed based on the fugacity concept, thermodynamic equilibrium and mass balance principles. This kind of models is referred as fugacity models. There are four basic types: Level I (steady-equilibrium state, no reaction, input and output); Level II (steady-equilibrium state with reactions, input and output); Level III (steady-equilibrium state, different fugacities); Level IV (unsteady-non-equilibrium state, df/dt is not equal to zero, different fugacities).

Fugacity models have been successfully used worldwide to describe the behavior and fate of toxic organic chemicals (micro-pollutants) in the environment. Level IV models are most suitable in the characterization of the transport, transformation and fate of micro-pollutants in the environment. There are many successful application examples of fugacity models, such as the Quantitative Water Air Sediment Interaction (QWASI) model used for the elucidation of organic and inorganic chemical transport in lakes (Mackay and Diamond, 1989; Southwood et al., 1999); CHEMCAN model combined with GIS technique used to assess the fate of micro-pollutants in Canada (Woodfine et al., 2002); and multisegment fugacity models used to describe the behavior and fate of lindane and benzo(a)pyrene in the Rihand Reservoir in India (Warren et al., 2002).

The fugacity approach is an elegant and simple method, which only requires the physical and chemical properties of the micro-pollutants and the environmental parameters. Model calculation is simple and can easily be implemented using computer as a calculating aid. Extensive chemical analyses for the micro-pollutants are not required, and, thus, can save money and time. Transport, transformation and degradation rates of the chemicals can be compared using the dynamic and equilibrium parameters of the model. From this, the dominant change process of the micro-pollutants can be determined and a reasonable explanation for the model output can also be obtained. Multimedia fugacity models are now routinely used for evaluating chemicals before and after production, for predicting the ultimate fate and possible adverse effects of the micro-pollutants in our total multimedia environment; as a decision-support tool in environmental management and contamination control; for contamination exposure assessment and risk assessment, as well as for the optimum design of environmental monitoring and biota monitoring.

There are two major limitations of this type of models: (1) they are only applicable if all phases can be assumed to be homogeneous; and (2) transformation and degradation processes are assumed to be first-order.

To study the distribution and fate of NP in an aquatic environment, experiments in a simulated microcosm were performed. A four-compartment (containing surface microlayer (SM), water, sediment and biota (zebra fish)) microcosm was established to simulate the aquatic environment, and level III and level IV fugacity-based multimedia models have been used to predict the distribution and fate of NP in the aquatic environment. At the same time, the concentrations of NP in different parts of the biota were measured and compared. The depuration diagram of NP in biota was plotted when the polluted biota were put into clean fresh water.

# 2. Experimental methods

# 2.1. Materials and chemicals

Sediment used in the microcosm was collected from surface soil of a garden of Nankai University, Tianjin, China. The sediment was air-dried and grasses and small rocks were removed. The properties of the sediment were determined using the standard methods used in China (Nanjing Institute of Soil Science of Chinese Academy of Science, 1978). The characteristics of the sediment are listed in Table 1.

Water was dechlorinated prior to use. Zebra fish was purchased from the market. The fish were sterilized by 5% sodium chloride (NaCl). The zebra fish were acclimatized for 2 weeks before the experiment and their death rate was below 1% during the acclimatization period.

NP (technical grade) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Synthesis Ind. Co. Ltd., Japan. Isopropanol, *n*-hexane and methanol were HPLC grade, dichloromethane (DCM), NaCl and anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) were analytical grade. Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was baked at 450 °C overnight and stored in a glass desiccator before use (Shang et al., 1999). Waters Oasis<sup>®</sup> HLB cartridge (Waters Company, USA) was used for solid-phase extraction (SPE).

#### 2.2. Microcosm establishment

The microcosm consisted of an aquarium (70 cm long  $\times$  37 cm wide  $\times$  40 cm high, sterilized with 1% potassium hypermanganate (KMnO<sub>4</sub>) solution before use), with its schematic diagram shown in Fig. 1. Prior to use, the aquarium was scrupulously cleaned with a cleaning agent (chromic acid cleaning mixture). 8.4 kg sediment was homogenously placed on the bottom of the aquarium to form a 2-cm sediment layer. Water was slowly delivered into the aquarium with an initial water volume of 90.61; the water level was maintained at 34.7-35.3 cm (89.9-91.41) throughout the experiments. Inside the aquarium, there were a heater and a thermometer to maintain the temperature at  $24 \pm 0.5$  °C; an aeration pipe with a popple device was used for aeration. White fluorescence light (2400 Lux) was installed on the top of the aquarium; light:dark ratio was 12:12. Inflow rate of water was approximately 0.91h<sup>-1</sup>. After the microcosm was established, 20 mg of NP was spiked into the microcosm and was allowed to equilibrate for 2 days. The acclimatized zebra fish were then put into the microcosm to start the experiment.

The zebra fish were not fed during the whole experiment in order to avoid the experimental error from the food chain. The average length of zebra fish was 4.32 cm, and the average weight slightly decreased from 0.699 g/10 fish to 0.643 g/10 fish in spiked microcosm during the experiment. The average weight slightly decreased from 0.703 g/10 fish to 0.679 g/10 fish in control microcosm. The weight loss was 0.024 g/10 fish in control microcosm and 0.056 g/10 fish in spiked microcosm.

Table 1 – Selected physical and chemical characteristics of the sediment									
Sediment color	Collection depth	Sediment categories	Content of organic carbon (%)	pН	Density (gcm <sup>-3</sup> )				
Yellowish brown	0–20 cm	Arenaceous soil	1.07	7.86	1.59				



Fig. 1 – Schematic diagram of the aquatic microcosm and the transport and transformation processes of NP.

Ashfield et al. (1998) found that the weight loss of rainbow trout was about 2 g/1 fish after an exposure of 180 days to NP  $(1 \mu g l^{-1})$ . Thus, the weight loss of zebra fish in spiked microcosm despite no feeding during the experiment might also be a consequence of the toxic effect of NP on zebra fish. The pH of the water in the aquarium was between 6.59 and 7.19, and the dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration was between 7.40 and 8.26 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. Blank experiments were performed using control microcosm without NP spiking. The setup of microcosm was the same as that of the microcosm spiked with NP (see Fig. 1).

#### 2.3. Sample collection, pretreatment

SM samples were collected using a glass plate (Harvey and Burzell, 1972; Hardy et al., 1985; Chi and Huang, 2002). The thickness of SM was 60–80  $\mu$ m. The water samples were pretreated by SPE (Hou et al., 2006). The collection method of water samples was given by Chi and Huang (2002). Sediment samples were collected using a Pyrex glass pipe with a diameter of 2.0 cm. Biotic samples (10 fish) were randomly collected from the 200 fish. Sampling time was set at 0, 2, 12, 24, 48, 96, 144, 192, 240, 288, 360 and 408 h.

The sediment samples were pretreated as follows: they were centrifuged at 4000 r/m for 10 min, then the upper water was discarded and the residue was freeze-dried in a freezedrier (ALPHR 1–2 LD, Martin Christ Gefriertrocknungsanlagen GmbH, Germany) for 24 h. Two to five grams of dried sediment samples were Soxhlet extracted with DCM as given by Lee et al. (1997). Before injection, the pretreatment of samples collected from control microcosm was the same as that of samples collected from the spiked microcosm.

The randomly collected zebra fish were wiped with filter paper, and then freeze-dried. The dried samples were weighed and put into a glass mortar, mixed with 5.0 g sodium sulfate. Then the mixture was grinded into powder. The mixture powder was packed with filter paper, and then Soxhlet extracted for 24 h. The clean-up procedure was applied as given by Huang et al. (2005), and the liquid phase was treated with SPE as given by Hou et al. (2006).

#### 2.4. Chemical analysis

Separation was performed on a Waters 1525 HPLC with a Waters 2475 scanning fluorescence detector (set at 233 nm excitation/302 nm emission) equipped with a Waters W2108N007  $NH_2$  column (10  $\mu$ Bondapak 3.9 mm i.d.  $\times$ 300 mm). A guard column (8 mm i.d.  $\times$  18 mm, packed with the same material as the analytical column) was used in-line with the analytical column. Components of the mobile phase were n-hexane/isopropanol (98/2, v/v) [A] and isopropanol/ water (98/2, v/v) [B]. Flow rates in all cases were  $1.0 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$ . Calibration was performed by serial dilution of a stock solution with a nominal NP concentration of  $2.0\,mg\,ml^{-1}$  in hexane. Injection volume was 20 µL. Linear gradient elution was used as follows: initial conditions: 95% A and 5% B; linearly changed to 80% A and 20% B in 10 min; kept isocratic for 5 min. Good recoveries were obtained for NP in water/SM (93 $\pm$ 4%), in the sediment (99 $\pm$ 5%) and in the zebra fish  $(83\pm5\%)$ . Good reproducibility of determination was also obtained for NP in water/SM (relative standard deviation, RSD = 1.8%, n = 5), in the sediment (RSD = 2.3%, n = 8) and in the zebra fish (RSD = 1.9%, n = 4). Detection limit (S/N = 3) was  $0.01 \mu g l^{-1}$  for water and  $0.001 m g k g^{-1}$  for sediment and biota.

# 2.5. Fugacity approach

The fugacity-based model used in this study was developed based on Mackay (2001). Briefly, fugacity, *f*, is identical to the partial pressure of ideal gases and is logarithmically related to chemical potential. We can replace the equilibrium criterion of chemical potential by that of fugacity. Fugacity is linearly related to concentration by the equation

$$C = Zf, (1)$$

where *Z* is the fugacity capacity. The *Z* values in different environmental media were given by Mackay (2001). The *Z* values can be calculated from partition coefficients, information on the nature of the media and the physical chemical properties (see Table 2). The rate,  $N \mod h^{-1}$ , of transport and transformation processes of a substance of interest can be expressed as *Df*, where *D* are transport parameters with units of mol Pa<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. The *D* values are given in Table 3.

# Table 2 - Volume, density and fugacity capacity (Z) of NP of each phase in the microcosm

Phase	Volume (m³)	Density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	Fugacity capacity (mol m <sup>-3</sup> Pa <sup>-1</sup> )	Comment
Water Surface microlayer (SM)	$\begin{array}{c} 9.06 \times 10^{-2} \\ 1.85 \times 10^{-5} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\times10^3\\ 1.00\times10^3\end{array}$	$Z_{\rm w} = 1/H = C^{\rm s}/P^{\rm s} = 0.0805$ $Z_{\rm M} = F/H = 0.281$	$H = P^{s}/C^{s} = 12.4 (Pa m^{3} mol^{-1})^{a}$ $F = 3.48^{b}$
Sediment Biota (zebra fish)	$\begin{array}{c} 5.18 \times 10^{-3} \\ 4.53 \times 10^{-5} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.59\times10^3\\ 2.98\times10^2\end{array}$	$\begin{split} &Z_{\rm S}=K_{\rm SW}\rho_{\rm s}/{\rm H}=3.61\\ &Z_{\rm B}=K_{\rm BW}\rho_{\rm B}/{\rm H}=13.1 \end{split}$	$\begin{split} K_{\rm SW} &= 28.10 \ (l \ kg^{-1})^{\rm b}, \ \rho_{\rm s} = 1.59 \ (kg \ l^{-1})^{\rm b} \\ K_{\rm BW} &= 540 \ (l \ kg^{-1})^{\rm b}, \ \rho_{\rm B} = 2.98 \times 10^{-1} \\ (kg \ l^{-1})^{\rm b} \end{split}$

<sup>a</sup> P<sup>s</sup> obtained from Nielsen et al. (2000) and C<sup>s</sup> obtained from Ahel and Giger (1993a).

<sup>b</sup> Data-obtained experiments.

#### Table 3 - Calculation of D parameters

Parameter	Calculation of D	D values (mol $Pa^{-1}h^{-1}$ )
Water inflow, D <sub>IN</sub>	$D_{\rm IN} = G_{\rm IN} Z_{\rm W}$	$7.73  imes 10^{-5}$
Water outflow, D <sub>OUT</sub>	$D_{OUT} = G_{OUT} Z_W$	$7.73  imes 10^{-5}$
Water-to-sediment diffusion, D <sub>WS</sub>	$D_{\rm WS} = 1/(1/K_{\rm WS}A_{\rm S}Z_{\rm W}+Y_{\rm S}/B_{\rm S}A_{\rm S}Z_{\rm s})$	$8.79 \times 10^{-5}$
Sediment-to-water diffusion, D <sub>SW</sub>	$D_{SW} = D_{WS}$	$8.79 \times 10^{-5}$
Water-to surface microlayer diffusion, $D_{WM}$	$D_{WM} = K_{WM}A_MZ_M$	$5.77 \times 10^{-6}$
Surface microlayer-to-water diffusion, D <sub>MW</sub>	$D_{SM} = D_{WM}$	$5.77  imes 10^{-6}$
Water-to-biota diffusion, D <sub>WB</sub>	$D_{WB} = K_{WB}V_BZ_B$	$1.43\times10^{-5}$
Biota-to-water diffusion, D <sub>BW</sub>	$D_{BW} = D_{WB}$	$1.43 \times 10^{-5}$
Water transformation, D <sub>WR</sub>	$D_{WR} = K_{WR}V_WZ_W$	$6.02 \times 10^{-6}$
Surface microlayer transformation, D <sub>MR</sub>	$D_{\rm MR} = K_{\rm MR} V_{\rm M} Z_{\rm M}$	$4.29  imes 10^{-9}$
Sediment transformation, D <sub>SR</sub>	$D_{SR} = K_{SR}V_SZ_S$	$5.40 \times 10^{-6}$
Biota transformation, D <sub>BR</sub>	$D_{\rm BR} = K_{\rm BR} V_{\rm B} Z_{\rm B}$	$7.83\times10^{-8}$
Partition coefficients: $K_{SW} = 9.32 \times 10^1$ (r	$(m h^{-1})^{b}$ ; $K_{MW} = 7.93 \times 10^{-5} (m h^{-1})^{b}$ ; $K_{BW} = 2.41 \times 10^{-2} (h^{-1})^{b}$	$^{-1})^{c1};$ $Y_{\rm S} = 1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ (m) <sup>a</sup> ;

 $G_{I} = 9.6 \times 10^{-4} (m^{3} h^{-1})^{c1}; \quad G_{O} = 9.6 \times 10^{-4} (m^{3} h^{-1})^{b}; \quad K_{WR} = 8.25 \times 10^{-4} (h^{-1})^{c2}; \quad K_{MR} = 8.25 \times 10^{-4} (h^{-1})^{c2}; \quad K_{SR} = 2.89 \times 10^{-4} (h^{-1})^{c3}; \quad K_{RR} = 1.32 \times 10^{-4} (h^{-1})^{c1}; \text{ adat obtained from Mackay (2001) and Chi and Huang (2002); bata obtained from experiments; cata obtained from references; then (1998); Ekelund et al. (1993); Ferguson et al. (2001). G_{I} and G_{O} denote inflow rate and outflow rate, respectively. As: interface area of water-sediment (m<sup>2</sup>); Y_{s}: average diffusion depth (m); B_{s}: effective coefficient of pore-water (m<sup>2</sup> h^{-1}).$ 

## 3. Results and discussions

#### 3.1. Distribution and desorption of NP in multimedia

# 3.1.1. Water and SM

Fig. 2(a) shows the trends of NP concentration in water and in the SM of the aquatic microcosm. As soon as the NP was added into the microcosm, it dispersed into the various media. It was observed that the concentration of NP in water decreased with time. At 5 h, the concentration was the lowest  $(0.041 \text{ mg} \text{ l}^{-1} \text{ as shown in the inset figure of Fig. 2(a)})$ . Then, it fluctuated to reach a steady value of  $0.055 \text{ mg} \text{l}^{-1}$  after 144 h. On the other hand, the concentration of NP in the SM increased quickly at the beginning of the experiment to  $0.40 \,\mathrm{mg}\,\mathrm{l}^{-1}$ . This corresponds to a concentration factor (CF) of 7.27, which is defined as the concentration of NP in the SM at time t divided by the water concentration at t. After 6 h, the concentration of NP decreased slowly and reached a constant value of 0.13 mgl<sup>-1</sup>. At 96 h, the CF became 2.36. This decrease in CF from 7.27 to 2.36 from the beginning to a steady state in the SM can be explained as follows. When the zebra fish were first introduced into the microcosm, the concentration of suspended particulate matter (SPM) also increased considerably, which resulted in a higher CF at the beginning of the experiment. As the concentration of SPM decreased and became constant, the CF decreased to a lower value.

#### 3.1.2. Sediment

Concentration variation of NP in sediment is shown in Fig. 2(b). At the beginning of the experiment, the concentration of NP in sediment increased, which can be attributed to the high adsorption rate of NP on sediment. As part of the NP adsorbed on the surface of sediment desorbed, the con centration of NP in sediment decreased gradually. Then, it eventually reached a steady state after 240 h. The concentration of NP in sediment became 1.02 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> at 240 h. Bennie (1999) reported that the concentrations of NP in sediment were no more than  $72 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ . The overall average  $K_{\rm d}$  ( = C<sub>s</sub>/C<sub>w</sub>) value of NP in the current study was 28.10 L kg<sup>-1</sup>, which is far smaller than those reported in other studies, such as  $4.54\times10^3\,L\,kg^{-1}$  (Li et al., 2004) and  $2.94\times10^2\,L\,kg^{-1}$ (Bolz et al., 2001). Khim et al. (1999) reported on NP concentration ranging from 20.2 to  $1820 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$  dry wt in Shihwa Lake sediments. This high concentration was the



Fig. 2 – NP concentration in water/SM (a), sediment (b) and biota (c), as a function of time.

combined result of sediment of large granule with short sedimentation period and high water concentration of NP.

#### 3.1.3. Biota

Fig. 2(c) shows the concentration of NP in the zebra fish. It can be seen that the concentration of NP in the zebra fish increased steeply from  $1.57 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  at 0 h to  $23.7 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  at 5 h. The concentration of NP in the zebra fish fluctuated in the first 300 h. However, as soon as the self-adapting phase ended, the concentration of NP in the zebra fish increased again. At the end of the experiment (408 h), the concentration of NP in the zebra fish did not reach a steady state but continued to increase. The bioconcentration factor (BCF) reached 540 at 408h and was increasing. NP concentration in the zebra fish did not reach an equilibrium condition at 408 h, at which the concentration of NP was  $28.9 \,\mathrm{mg \, kg^{-1}}$ . Alkylphenolic compounds are hydrophobic as well as lipophilic; therefore, they accumulate in the sediment and aquatic biota in natural systems (Ahel et al., 1994a, b). It has been reported that NP accumulation in fish exposed to waterborne NP results in a more even distribution of NP among the internal organs than the accumulation due to intragastric NP exposure (Arukwe et al. 2000a, b). Uguz et al. (2003) reported BCF of NP in the tissues of rainbow trout as 4; Snyder et al. (2001) reported BCF of NP in fathead ninnows (Pimephales oromelas) as 245-380. Servos (1999) reviewed that BCFs of NP in different fish species ranged from 0.9 to 741. Comparing our data with those mentioned above, it can be seen that BCFs were different, because the concentrations of NP in water were different and different fish species may have different adaptabilities to experimental conditions. In addition, Ekelund et al. (1990) reported BCFs of 90-110 for shrimp (crangon); 2740-4120 for common mussel (Mytilus edulis); and



Fig. 3 – NP concentration in different parts of the zebra fish at 408 h.



Fig. 4 – NP concentration in the polluted zebra fish as a function of time, when fish was placed in clean water.

1200–1300 for stickleback (gasterostrus aculeatus). The above data reported in most literature indicate that BCFs of NP in other biota were higher than that in zebra fish.

Fig. 3 shows the concentrations of NP in different parts of the zebra fish at 408 h. It is apparent that the distribution of NP in the viscera of the zebra fish is the highest (79.33 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), corresponding to a BCF of 1440. This is because the viscera have the highest lipid content compared to the body and \*\*head of the zebra fish. Ahel and Giger (1993) also reported that the content of lipid in viscera was much higher than that in muscle, since the log $K_{ow}$  is 4.48. This result is also in agreement with that of other studies (Ekelund et al., 1990; Lewis and Lech, 1996; Arukwe et al., 2000a).

#### 3.1.4. Depuration of NP in zebra fish

When the polluted zebra fish were placed into clean water (without NP), the concentration variation of NP in zebra fish with time is shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the concentration of NP in zebra fish decreased rapidly from  $28.9 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  at 0 h to  $3.03 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  at 12 h, and then to  $1.50 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  at 144 h (6 days). The depuration half-life of NP

in zebra fish was 48 h calculated from the lnC-time analysis for the first-order kinetics. This result showed that the pollutant level of zebra fish decreased dramatically when zebra fish were free from the polluted environment. McLeese et al. (1981) and Ekelund et al. (1993) reported that the clearance of NP in muscle was very rapid. The former also reported a clearance half-life of only 0.3 days of NP in muscle. However, the residual concentration of NP in zebra fish was still relatively high, which showed that the NP could not be depurated completely from zebra fish. This implies that the bioaccumulation of NP through the food chain may pose a significant risk to human health.

#### 3.2. Fugacity model

#### 3.2.1. Fugacity model equations and parameters

In the microcosm, there were four compartments including SM, water, sediment and biota (zebra fish). The compartment volume, density and Z values are given in Table 2.

The rates of transport and transformation processes  $(molh^{-1})$  are expressed in terms of D values  $(molPa^{-1}h^{-1})$ .



Fig. 5 – (a–d) Measured and calculated concentrations of NP in the microcosm as a function of time. The calculated concentrations of NP were based on a four-compartment model.



Fig. 6 - Steady-state mass balance of NP in the microcosm.

The processes in the four-compartment system are illustrated in Fig. 1.

Defining fugacity of SM phase, water phase, sediment phase and biota phase as  $f_M$ ,  $f_W$ ,  $f_S$  and  $f_B$ , respectively, unsteady-state mass balance equations for a specific time (t) can be written as follows (Eqs. (2)–(5)):

Water phase:

$$V_{W}Z_{W}df_{W}/dt = E_{W} + f_{S}D_{SW} + f_{B}D_{BW} + f_{M}D_{MW} - f_{W}(D_{WS} + D_{WR} + D_{WM} + D_{OUT} + D_{WB}).$$
(2)

The SM phase:

$$V_M Z_M df_M / dt = f_W D_{WM} - f_M (D_{MR} + D_{MW}).$$
 (3)

Sediment phase:

$$V_{\rm S}Z_{\rm S}df_{\rm S}/dt = f_{\rm W}D_{\rm WS} - f_{\rm S}(D_{\rm SR} + D_{\rm SW}). \tag{4}$$

Biota phase:

$$V_B Z_B df_B / dt = f_W D_{WB} - f_B (D_{BR} + D_{BW}).$$
 (5)

The equations are solved by the Runge-Kutta method. The initial input fugacities of SM, water, sediment and biota for the model were all 0Pa. The inflow rate of NP (i.e.  $E_W$ ) was

 $3.53\times 10^{-7}\,mol\,h^{-1}.$  The other input parameters were given in Tables 2 and 3.

The *D* values for modeling the fate of NP were calculated from expressions (Mackay, 2001) given in Table 3.

#### 3.2.2. Model application

As shown in Fig. 5(a–d), the calculation results of the fourcompartment model match fairly well with the experimental data, especially towards the end of the experiment. According to the model calculation, when the experiments were completed, the four compartments did not reach a steady state. The results of the fugacity model calculations under unsteady state conditions were in agreement with the data obtained from the experiments.

The mass balance under steady-state conditions is illustrated in Fig. 6. As shown in Fig. 6, 86.50% of all NP input was removed by advective outflow; the remainder was distributed to the 4 compartments as follows: 43.89% into the water phase, 3.13% into the biota phase, 61.99% into the sediment phase and  $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ % into the SM phase. This finding demonstrates that sediment plays a key role in the fate of NP, acting as a sink of this compound in the aquatic environment.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study, it was found that NP dispersed rapidly into the four compartments, namely water, SM, sediment and biota (zebra fish), after being delivered into the microcosm. NP could be concentrated onto SM to a concentration of  $0.405 \text{ mg} \text{l}^{-1}$  at 5 h. The concentrations of NP in water and sediment were  $0.0535 \text{ mg} \text{l}^{-1}$  and  $1.11 \text{ mg} \text{kg}^{-1}$ , respectively, at 408 h. The zebra fish accumulated NP rapidly, reaching a concentration of 23.7 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> at 5 h, then fluctuated in the first 300 h and continued to increase afterwards. At the end of the experiment (408 h), BCF reached 540 and was increasing. The concentration of NP was found to be the highest in the viscera (79.33 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), corresponding to a BCF of 1400. NP could be depurated from the zebra fish when the fish was put in fresh water, but the concentration of the residue NP was still high (1.50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), which exhibits an adverse effect on human beings through the food chain.

Results obtained from fugacity model (level III and level IV) calculations were in good agreement with those of the experiments (see Figs. 5(a–d) and 6). This demonstrates that sediment is the key sink of NP in the aquatic environment.

# Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (contract/grant number: 20277022). The helpful comments on the manuscript by Dr. Hayley Hung are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Ahel, M., Giger, W., 1993. Partitioning of alkylphenols and alkylphenol polyethoxylates between water and organic solvents. Chemosphere 26, 1471–1478.
- Ahel, M., Giger, W., Koch, M., 1994a. Behavior of alkylphenol polyethoxylate surfactants in the aquatic environment. I. Occurrence and transformation in sewage treatment. Water Res. 28, 1131–1142.
- Ahel, M., Giger, W., Schaffner, C., 1994b. Behavior of alkylphenol polyethoxylate surfactants in the aquatic environment. II. Occurrence and transformation in rivers. Water Res. 28, 1143–1152.
- Arukwe, A., Goksoyr, A., Thibaut, R., Cravedi, J.P., 2000a. Metabolism and organ distribution of nonylphenol in Atlantic salmon (salmo salar). Mar. Environ. Res. 50, 141–145.
- Arukwe, A., Thibaut, R., Ingebrigtsen, K., Celius, T., Goksoyr, A., Cravedi, J., 2000b. In vivo and in vitro metabolism and organ distribution of nonylphenol in Atlantic salmon (salmo salar). Aquat. Toxicol. 49, 289–304.
- Ashfield, L.A., Pottinger, T.G., Sumpter, J.P., 1998. Exposure of female juvenile rainbow trout to alkylphenolic compounds results in modification to growth and ovosomatic index. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 17, 679–686.
- Banat, F.A., Prechtl, S., Bischof, F., 2000. Aerobic thermophilic treatment of sewage sludge contaminated with 4-nonylphenol. Chemosphere 41, 297–302.
- Bennie, D.T., 1999. Review of the environmental occurrence of alkylphenols and alkylphenol ethoxylates. Water Qual. Res. J. Canada 34, 79–122.

- Bolz, U., Hagenmaier, H., Körner, W., 2001. Phenolic xenoestrogens in surface water, sediments, and sewage sludge from Baden-Württemberg, south-west Germany. Environ. Pollut. 115, 291–301.
- Casajuana, N., Lacorte, S., 2004. New methodology for the determination of phthalate esters, bisphenol A, bisphenol A diglycidyl ether, and nonylphenol in commercial whole milk samples. J. Agric. Food Chem. 52, 3702–3707.
- Chen, C.J., 1998. Fate and Ecological Risk Assessment of Tributyltin in Estuarine Ecosystem. Ph.D. thesis. Nankai University, Tianjin, China.
- Chi, J., Huang, G.L., 2002. Modelling air-water exchange process of pentachlorophenol in the aquatic environment. Water Qual. Res. J. Canada 37, 445–458.
- Comber, M.H.I., Williams, T.D., Stewart, K.M., 1993. The effects of nonylphenol on Daphnia magna. Wat. Res. 27, 273–276.
- Ekelund, R., Bergman, A., Granmo, A., Berggren, M., 1990. Bioaccumulation of 4-nonylphenol in marine animals—a reevaluation. Environ. Pollut. 64, 107–120.
- Ekelund, R., Granmo, A., Magnusson, K., Berggren, M., Bergman, A., 1993. Biodegradation of 4-nonylphenol in seawater and sediment. Environ. Pollut. 79, 59–61.
- Ferguson, P.L., Iden, C.R., Brownwell, B.J., 2001. Distribution and fate of neutral alkylphenol ethoxylate metabolites in a sewage-impacted urban estuary. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 2428–2435.
- Gray, M.A., Metcalfe, C.D., 1997. Induction of testis-ova in Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) exposed to *p*-nonylphenol. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 16, 1082–1086.
- Guenther, K., Heinke, V., Thiele, B., Kleist, E., Prast, H., Raecker, T., 2002. Endocrine disrupting nonylphenols are ubiquitous in food. Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 1676–1680.
- Hardy, J.T., Apts, C.W., Crecelius, E.A., Bloom, N.S., 1985. Seasurface microlayer metals enrichments in an urban and rural bay. Est. Const. Shelf Sci. 20, 299–312.
- Harvey, G.W., Burzell, L.A., 1972. A simple microlayer method for small samples. Limnol. Oceanogr. 17, 156–157.
- Hemmer, M.J., Bowman, C.J., Hemmer, B.L., Friedman, S.D., Marcovich, D., Kroll, K.J., Denslow, N.D., 2002. Vitellogenin mRNA regulation and plasma clearance in male sheepshead minnows, (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) after cessation of exposure to 17 beta-estradiol and *p*-nonylphenol. Aquat. Toxicol. 58, 99–112.
- Höss, S., Traunspurger, W., Severin, G.F., Jüttner, I., Pfister, G., Schramm, K.W., 2004. Influence of 4-nonylphenol on the structure of nematode communities in freshwater microcosms. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 23, 1268–1275.
- Hou, S.G., Sun, H.W., Gao, Y., 2006. Sorption of small metabolites of nonylphenol polyethoxylates in single and complex system on aquatic suspended particulate matter. Chemosphere 63, 31–38.
- Huang, G.L., Hou, S.G., Sun, H.W., 2005. Distribution and fate of nonylphenol in an aquatic microcosm. The 25th International Symposium of Halogenated Environmental Organic Pollutants and POPs (Dioxin 2005), Fairmont Royal York Hotel, Toronto, Canada, August 21–26.
- Jobling, S., Sheahan, D., Osborne, J.A., Matthiessen, P., Sumpter, J.P., 1996. Inhibition of testicular growth in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) exposed to estrogenic alkylphenolic chemicals. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 15, 194–202.
- Jobling, S., Nolan, M., Tyler, C.R., Brighty, G., Sumpter, J.P., 1998. Widespread sexual disruption in wild fish. Environ. Sci. Technol. 32, 2498–2506.
- Khim, J.S., Villeneuve, D.L., Kannan, K., Lee, K.T., Snyder, S.A., Koh, C.H., Giesy, J.P., 1999. Alkylphenols, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and organochlorines in sediment from Lake Shihwa, Korea: instrumental and bioanalytical characterization. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 18, 2424–2432.

Lee, H.B., Peart, T.E., Bennie, D.T., Maguire, R.J., 1997. Determination of nonylphenol polyethoxylates and their carboxylic acid metabolites in sewage treatment plant sludge by supercritical carbon dioxide extraction. J. Chromatogr. A. 785, 385–394.

Lewis, S.K., Lech, J.J., 1996. Uptake, disposition and persistence of nonylphenol in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Xenobiotica 26, 813–819.

- Li, D., Kim, M., Shim, W.J., Yim, U.H., Oh, J.R., Kwon, Y.J., 2004. Seasonal flux of nonylphenol in Han River, Korea. Chemosphere 56, 1–6.
- Mackay, D., 2001. Multimedia Environmental Models: The Fugacity Approach, Second ed. Lewis Publisher, Boca Raton, FL.
- Mackay, D., Diamond, M., 1989. Application of the QWASI (Quantitative Water Air Sediment Interaction) fugacity model to the dynamics of organic and inorganic chemicals in lakes. Chemosphere 18, 1343–1365.
- Maguire, R.J., 1999. Review of the persistence of nonylphenol and nonylphenol ethoxylates in aquatic environments. Water Qual. Res. J. Canada 34, 37–78.
- McLeese, D.W., Zitko, V., Sergeant, D.B., Burridge, L., Metcalfe, C.D., 1981. Lethality and accumulation of alkylphenols in aquatic fauna. Chemosphere 10, 723–730.
- Nanjing Institute of Soil Science of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1978. The Physical and Chemical Characters of Soil. Shanghai Science Publishing Company, pp. 448–508.
- Nielsen, E., Ostergaard, G., Thorup, I., Ladefoged, O., Jelnes, O., Jelnes, J.E., 2000. Toxicological evaluation and limit values for Nonylphenol, Nonylphenolethoxylates, tricresyl, phosphates and benzoic acid. The Institute of food Safety and Toxicology, Danish Veterinary and Food Administration. Danish Environmental Protection Agency. Environmental Project No. 512.
- Servos, M.R., 1999. Review of the aquatic toxicity, estrogenic responses and bioaccumulation of alkylphenols and alkylphenol polyethoxylates. Water Qual. Res. J. Canada 34, 123–177.
- Shang, D.Y., Ikonomou, M.G., Macdonald, R.W., 1999. Quantitative determination of nonylphenol polyethoxylates surfactants in marine sediment using normal-phase liquid chromatographyelectrospray mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A. 849, 467–482.

Snyder, S.A., Keith, T.L., Naylor, C.G., Staples, C.A., Giesy, J.P., 2001. Identification and quantitation method for nonylphenol and lower oligomer nonylphenol ethoxylates in fish tissues. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 20, 1870–1873.

- Southwood, J., Muir, D.C.G., Mackay, D., 1999. Modelling agrochemical dissipation in surface microlayers following aerial deposition. Chemosphere 38, 121–141.
- Staples, C.A., Williams, J.B., Blessing, R.L., Varineau, P.T., 1999. Measuring the biodegradability of nonylphenol ether carboxylates, octylphenol ether carboxylates and nonylphenol. Chemosphere 38, 2029–2039.
- Stephanou, E., Giger, W., 1982. Persistent organic chemicals in sewage effluents. 2. Quantitative determinations of nonylphenols and nonylphenol ethoxylates by glass capillary gas chromatography. Environ. Sci. Technol. 16, 800–805.
- Tanghe, T., Devriese, G., Verstraete, W., 1998. Nonylphenol degradation in lab scale activated sludge units is temperature dependent. Water Res. 32, 2889–2896.
- Topp, E., Starratt, A., 2000. Rapid mineralization of the endocrinedisrupting chemical 4-nonylphenol in soil. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 19, 313–318.
- Uguz, C., Iscan, M., Erguven, A., Isgor, B., Togan, I., 2003. The bioaccumulation of nonylphenol and its adverse effect on the liver of rainbow trout (*Onchorynchus mykiss*). Environ. Res. 92, 262–270.
- Warren, C.S., Mackay, D., Bahadur, N.P., Boocock, D.G.B., 2002. A suite of multi-segment fugacity model describing the fate of organic contaminants in aquatic systems: application to the Rihand Reservior, India. Water Res. 36, 4343–4355.
- Wilson, S.C., Meharg, A.A., 1999. Investigation of organic xenobiotic transfers, partitioning and processing in air-soil-plant systems using a microcosm apparatus. Part I. Microcosm development. Chemosphere 38, 2885–2896.
- Woodfine, D., Macleod, M., Mackay, D., 2002. A regionally segmented national scale multimedia contaminant fate model for Canada with GIS data input and display. Environ. Pollut. 119, 341–355.
- Yadetie, F., Male, R., 2002. Effects of 4-nonylphenol on gene expression of pituitary hormones in juvenile Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Aquat. Toxicol. 58, 113–129.
- Ying, G.G., Williams, B., Kookana, R., 2002. Environmental fate of alkylphenols and alkylphenol ethoxylates: a review. Environ. Int. 28, 215–226.
- Yuan, S.Y., Yu, C.H., Chang, B.V., 2004. Biodegradation of nonylphenol in river sediment. Environ. Pollut. 127, 425–430.