

#### **Agricultural Journals**

### AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

Zemědělská ekonomika

home page about us contact

#### us

Table of Contents

**IN PRESS AGRICECON** 2014 **AGRICECON** 2013 AGRICECON 2012 **AGRICECON** 2011 **AGRICECON** 2010 **AGRICECON** 2009 AGRICECON 2008 **AGRICECON** 2007 **AGRICECON** 

AGRICECON 2005 AGRICECON 2004 AGRICECON 2003 AGRICECON 2002 AGRICECON Home

### Editorial Board

### **For Authors**

- Authors
  Declaration
- Instruction to Authors
- Guide for Authors
- Copyright
  Statement
- Submission

For Reviewers Reviewers Reviewers Login

**Subscription** 

# Agric. Econ. – Czech

# Kučerová E.

## Active social policy as a chance for endogenous rural development

Agric. Econ. – Czech, 48 (2002): 554-558

Since 1990s, the discussion about the Czech social policy emphasizes more the necessity to change this policy from passive state social policy towards an active social policy. The latter includes the activities of people in the frames of formal (e.g. NGOs) and informal groups,

society is accentuated in this respect. Although this concept might be understood in different ways, its common characterization is a spontaneous nonpolitical self-expression of individuals and their groups (the activity that was suppressed before 1989). Through the self-expression, the individuals realize their particular interests. When thinking about active social policy in the frames of civic society, we might assume that the conditions for its implementation are better for the actors in small rural communities. These more favourable conditions are assumed due to the traits of rural communities – e.g. personal, non-anonymous relations, good knowledge and familiarity with particular social problems, etc. However, the actors who try to contribute to active social policy are constrained/controlled in their activities by other community members. The level of these constrains depends on the configuration of social and cultural capital (Bourdieu). The author of the text is for more than one year involved in empirical research in one Czech village. Using qualitative methods she investigates various social events and

actors who participate in active social policy (in relation to those who are supposed to participate in this policy as responsible agents). The author assumes that the participation in active social policy is one of the sources of integrated endogenous rural development, while passive social policy (institutionally backed by the state) is more related to exogenous rural development. However, there is a question how the very actors (active members of rural community) do approach this participation and how the other members of rural community evaluate their activities in the sphere of social policy.

[fulltext]

#### © 2011 Czech Academy of Agricultural Sciences

XHTML11 VALID CSS VALID