

2009年深冬辽宁雨转暴雪和大雪过程对比分析

赵雅轩;梁军;石小龙;朱晶;刘小初

大连市气象台, 辽宁 大连 116001

Comparison between rain to blizzard process and heavy snow process during late winter 2009 in Liaoning province

ZHAO Ya-xuan; LIANG Jun; SHI Xiao-long; ZHU Jing; LIU Xiao-chu

Dalian Meteorological Observatory, Dalian 116001, China

- [摘要](#)
- [参考文献](#)
- [相关文章](#)

Download: [PDF \(1782KB\)](#) | [HTML \(0KB\)](#) | [Export: BibTeX or EndNote \(RIS\)](#) | [Supporting Info](#)

摘要 针对辽宁2009年2月中旬初雨转暴雪过程和旬末大雪过程, 利用常规观测资料和NCEP 10×10 逐6 h分析资料, 从环流形势、影响系统、水汽和动力条件及热力结构等方面入手, 对这两次过程进行对比分析。结果表明: 这两次过程在许多方面显著不同。两次过程均发生在乌山阻高稳定的形势下, 均受中纬度东移的中尺度低值系统影响, 但雨转暴雪过程中高纬度为两脊一槽型, 中纬度短槽与南支低槽结合携强冷空气东移, 与低空急流在辽宁上空交汇。大雪过程为东低西高型, 中纬度气旋性波动东移, 切变线北抬过程中与西南暖湿气流作用影响辽宁。两次过程均发生在600 hPa以下相对湿度为80%以上的大气中, 均具有低层辐合高层辐散的特征和深厚的上升运动, 但雨转暴雪过程水汽含量更高, 辐合层更深厚、强度更强, 垂直速度较大雪过程大一个量级; 两次过程都有明显的风垂直切变特征, 但雨转暴雪过程发生在风垂直切变迅速增大的条件下, 大雪过程风垂直切变相对稳定; 雨转暴雪过程降水随湿位涡的发展而增强, 两者有较好的对应关系, 而大雪过程湿位涡表现微弱; 雨转暴雪过程槽前0℃层达到850 hPa, 槽后各层温度迅速下降至0℃以下, 而大雪过程整层温度始终在0℃以下。

关键词: [雨转暴雪过程](#) [大雪过程](#) [环流形势](#) [低空急流](#) [风垂直切变](#) [湿位涡](#) [对比分析](#)

Abstract: Based on the conventional observation data and reanalysis data (horizontal resolution 1° × 1°) from NCEP, two snow processes (rain to blizzard and heavy snow) in the middle ten days of February 2009 were analyzed. Circulation pattern, influencing system, water vapor and dynamic conditions together with thermal structure of two processes were compared. The results show that two processes are different in many aspects. Both occur under stable Ural blocking high and are influenced by eastward mesoscale low pressure system in middle latitude. However, weather situation of rain to blizzard process is two ridges and one trough in middle and high latitude. The short wave trough combines with the south low trough and moves eastward with cold air together, and they converge with low level jet over Liaoning province. Weather situation of the heavy snow is low in the east and high in the west, and cyclone fluctuates eastward in middle latitude. Shear line moves northward and influences Liaoning regions together with warm and moist air current from the southwest. Two processes happen in where air pressure is below 600 hPa and relative humidity above 80%, and both are of low level convergence and high level divergence as well as deep ascending motion. Compared with the heavy snow process, the rain to blizzard process is of high water vapor contents and deep and strong convergence as well as large vertical speed. There is the obvious wind shear in two processes. The rain to blizzard happens when vertical wind shear increases rapidly. However, wind shear is stable in the heavy snow process. For the rain to blizzard process, precipitation strengths with the development of moist potential vorticity, and there is a good corresponding relationship between them. However, for the heavy snow process, moist

Service

- [把本文推荐给朋友](#)
- [加入我的书架](#)
- [加入引用管理器](#)
- [Email Alert](#)
- [RSS](#)

作者相关文章

- [赵雅轩](#)
- [梁军](#)
- [石小龙](#)
- [朱晶](#)
- [刘小初](#)

potential vorticity is weak. Air pressure on 0 °C layer reaches 850 hPa in front of trough and temperature in each layer descends rapidly and below 0 °C behind trough in the rain to blizzard process, while temperature of the total layer always is below 0 °C in the heavy snow process.

Keywords:

收稿日期: 2010-08-03;

引用本文:

赵雅轩, 梁军, 石小龙等. 2009年深冬辽宁雨转暴雪和大雪过程对比分析[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2010,V26(5): 30-35

\$author.xingMing_EN, \$author.xingMing_EN, \$author.xingMing_EN etc. Comparison between rain to blizzard process and heavy snow process during late winter 2009 in Liaoning province[J]. Journal of Meteorology and Environment, 2010,V26(5): 30-35.

链接本文:

<http://www.jme1984.net.cn/CN/> 或 <http://www.jme1984.net.cn/CN/Y2010/V26/I5/30>

没有本文参考文献

- [1] 秦娟娟,王静,程建光.2008年青岛市一次典型大气外来源输送污染过程分析[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2010,26(6): 35-39
- [2] 曹丽娟,肖苏君.内蒙古自动站与人工观测数据差异对比分析[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2010,26(5): 64-68
- [3] 杨洪斌,邹旭东,张云海,汪宏宇,刘玉彻.城市空气污染二氧化硫数值预报中的背景值对比研究[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2010,26(5): 69-72
- [4] 陈传雷,阎琦,吴艳青,乔小湜,魏红,方斌.2009年7月辽宁3次局地短时暴雨过程对比分析[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2010,26(4): 12-16
- [5] 梁红,马福全,李大为,刘建国,魏红,方斌.“2009.2”沈阳暴雪天气诊断与预报误差分析[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2010,26(4): 22-27
- [6] 燕成玉,闵锦忠,崔粉娥,张宝贵.秦皇岛市两次极端最低气温天气过程的对比分析[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2010,26(3): 39-43
- [7] 于德华,王树雄.2007年8月大连地区一次暴雨过程特征分析[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2008,24(6): 19-23
- [8] 袁海豹,姜俊玲,张雪冬.一次区域大暴雨过程的雷达回波特征分析[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2008,24(4): 56-59
- [9] 金巍,曲岩,徐景文,马福安,王岩.营口和鞍山城市气候变化对比分析及原因探讨[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2008,24(1): 44-47
- [10] 曹士民,江和文,杨文艳,王昊.1961—2000年辽宁夏季高温气候变化特征[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2007,23(5): 32-37
- [11] 盛永,陈艳秋,廖国进,黄阁.0509号台风暴雨过程分析与暴雨灾害评估[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2006,22(6): 29-33
- [12] 黄振,宋煜,何玉科.2004年8月3日大连大暴雨天气过程分析[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2006,22(2): 38-41
- [13] 肇启锋,王天奎.1996~2002年桃仙机场夏季雷暴回波参数对比分析[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2005,21(4): 16-17
- [14] 吴春英,侯波,刘飞,肇振国.抚顺地区冰雹发生规律和环流形势特征初探[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2005,21(3): 11-12
- [15] 何晓东,杨晓波.一次连阴雨天气中不同降水过程的对比分析[J]. 气象与环境学报, 2005,21(3): 9-10