You have **Guest** acces ScienceDirect Find out



Dynamic risk assessment of oil spill scenario for Three Gorges Reservoir in China based on numerical simulation

Haipu Bia, c, Hu Sia, b, 📥 🛛 🔤

a College of Resource & Environment Science, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400030, PR China

- b The State Key Laboratory for Coal Mine Disaster Dynamics and Control, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400030, PR China
 c School of Environmental & Safety Engineering, Changzhou University, Jiangsu 213164, PR China
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2011.11.012, How to Cite or Link Using DOI

View full text

Purchase \$39.95

Abstract

A novel method for dynamic risk assessment of oil spill accidents based on numerical simulation was presented in this paper. The dynamic risk assessment model was developed consisting of a comprehensive list of caused consequences like environmental damage, asset loss, health impact and social effect as well as emergency actions preventing these losses. Contributing events in the Mater Logic Diagram (MLD) of the dynamic risk assessment model was obtained by coupling an oil spill model with hydrodynamic module in Jialing river of Three Gorges Reservoir in China after the impoundment of the reservoir to 175 m water level in 2010. Calculated result of dynamic risk as grade *IV* indicated that the assessed oil spill was not as catastrophic as we thought because of the slow transport of oil fractions on water surface and absence of dispersed oil in water body due to the quasi-static fluid, very gentle wind and effective emergency actions, as well as by the reason that rare agriculture or industrial crops exist in spill adjacent area.

Highlights

► Dynamic risk assessment of oil spill based on numerical simulation was presented. ► Model consists of environmental, asset, health and social consequences. ► Dynamic risk of assessed oil spill in Three Gorges Reservoir is grade *IV*. ► Oil fractions transport slow and dispersed oil absents duo to the quasi-static fluid.

Keywords

Risk assessment; Oil spill; Three Gorges Reservoir; Numerical simulation

Figures and tables from this article:

Assessed Bit wert Imperior Imperior	Figure options

	computational version (B).					
	Figure options					
معطر در						
27						
a						
Fig. 3. Floating oil fraction at the 2.5th day post spill.						
	Figure entione					
	Figure options					
Fig. 4. Sticking oil fraction at the 2.5th day post spill.						
	Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action	is. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action	Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value <i>U</i> .	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value <i>U</i> . View Within Article	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value <i>U</i> .	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value <i>U</i> . View Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event <i>U</i> .	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. View Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U ₁ .	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. View Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U ₁ . View Within Article	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. View Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U ₁ . View Within Article	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. View Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U, View Within Article Table 3. Matrix and weight of asset loss event U.	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. View Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U ₁ . View Within Article Table 3. Matrix and weight of asset loss event U ₂ .	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. View Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U ₁ . View Within Article Table 3. Matrix and weight of asset loss event U ₂ .	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. View Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U ₁ . View Within Article Table 3. Matrix and weight of asset loss event U ₂ . View Within Article	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. Uiew Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U ₁ . Uiew Within Article Table 3. Matrix and weight of asset loss event U ₂ . Uiew Within Article	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. View Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U ₁ . View Within Article Table 3. Matrix and weight of asset loss event U ₂ . View Within Article Table 4. Matrix and weight of health impact event U ₂ .	IS. Figure options					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. Uiew Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U ₁ . Uiew Within Article Table 3. Matrix and weight of asset loss event U ₂ . Uiew Within Article Table 4. Matrix and weight of health impact event U ₃ .	IS.					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. View Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U ₁ . View Within Article Table 3. Matrix and weight of asset loss event U ₂ . View Within Article Table 4. Matrix and weight of health impact event U ₃ . View Within Article	IS.					
Fig. 5. Floating oil fraction at the 5.5th day post spill without (A) and with (B) emergency action Table 1. Matrix and weight of dynamic risk value U. Uiew Within Article Table 2. Matrix and weight of environmental damage event U ₁ . Uiew Within Article Table 3. Matrix and weight of asset loss event U ₂ . Uiew Within Article Table 4. Matrix and weight of health impact event U ₃ . Uiew Within Article	IS.					

Table 6. Risk classes of shipping	accidents.		
View Within Article			

Corresponding author at: The State Key Laboratory for Coal Mine Disaster Dynamics and Control, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400030, PR China. Tel.: +86 23 65102421. Copyright©2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.