You have **Guest** acces



Integrating production, inventory and maintenance planning for a parallel system with dependent components

Mustapha Nourelfatha, 📥 🖾, Eric Châteleta, 🔤

a Interuniversity Research Center on Enterprise Networks, Logistics and Transportation (CIRRELT), Mechanical Engineering Department, Université Laval, Quebec, Canada G1K7P4

^b Charles Delaunay Institute (ICD-LM2S), Sciences and Technologies for Risk Management (STMR), Troyes University of Technology, CNRS, 12 rue Marie Curie, BP 2060, 10010 Troyes, France

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2012.02.001, How to Cite or Link Using DOI

View full text

Purchase \$41.95

Abstract

This paper deals with the problem of integrating preventive maintenance and tactical production planning, for a production system composed of a set of parallel components, in the presence of economic dependence and common cause failures. Economic dependence means that performing maintenance on several components jointly costs less money and time than on each component separately. Common cause failures correspond to events that lead to simultaneous failure of multiple components due to a common cause. We use the β -factor model to represent common cause failures. This means that we assume two possible causes for system failure: the independent failure of single components, and the simultaneous common cause failure of all components. The suggested preventive maintenance is a T-age group maintenance policy in which components are cyclically renewed all together. Furthermore, between the periodic group replacements, minimal repairs are performed on failed components. We are given a set of products that must be produced by this parallel system in lots during a specified finite planning horizon. The objective is to determine an integrated lot-sizing and preventive maintenance strategy of the system that will minimize the sum of preventive and corrective maintenance costs, setup costs, holding costs, backorder costs and production costs, while satisfying the demand for all products over the entire horizon. Numerical examples are used to illustrate the proposed approach.

Keywords

Common cause; Economic dependence; Parallel systems; Minimal repair; Preventive maintenance; Production planning; Integration

$\label{eq:results} \hline the system with two parallel components. \\ \hline Table 1. Example of a system with two parallel components. \\ \hline Table 2. Individual characteristics of the components. \\ \hline Table 2. Individual characteristics of the components. \\ \hline Table 2. Individual characteristics of the components. \\ \hline Table 2. Individual characteristics of the components. \\ \hline Table 2. Individual characteristics of the components. \\ \hline Table 3. Individual characteristics of the components. \\ \hline Table 3. Individual characteristics of the components. \\ \hline Table 3. Individual characteristics of the components. \\ \hline Table 3. Individual characteristics of the components. \\ \hline Table 3. Individual characteristics of the components. \\ \hline Table 3. Individual characteristics of the components. \\ \hline Table 3. \\ \hline Table$

Figures and tables from this article:

View Within Article
Table 3. Characteristics of the components in case of grouped maintenance.
Table 4. Demands of products.
Table 5. Cost data of products.
Table 6. Evaluation of costs for each PM alternative.
Table 7. Optimal production plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating production and PM. Image: Comparison of the plan when integrating p
Table 8. Individual characteristics of the components (Example 2).
Table 9. Characteristics of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristics of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristics of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristics of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristics of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristics of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristic of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristic of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristic of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristic of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristic of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristic of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristic of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristic of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristic of the components in case of grouped maintenance (Example 2). Image: Characteristic of the components in case of the
Table 10. Separate and integrated optimization solutions. Image: Constraint of the second s
Table 11. Optimal production plan when integrating production and PM (Example 2). Image: Comparison of the second secon

Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 418 6562131 (12355); fax: +1 418 6567415. Copyright © 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.