



Books Conferences News About Us Job: Home Journals Home > Journal > Earth & Environmental Sciences > OJF Open Special Issues Indexing View Papers Aims & Scope Editorial Board Guideline Article Processing Charges Published Special Issues OJF > Vol.2 No.3, July 2012 • Special Issues Guideline OPEN ACCESS OJF Subscription Peer Influence of Non-Industrial Private Forest Owners in the Western Upper Peninsula of Michigan Most popular papers in OJF PDF (Size: 85KB) PP. 150-158 DOI: 10.4236/ojf.2012.23018 About OJF News Author(s) Jillian R. Schubert, Audrey L. Mayer Frequently Asked Questions **ABSTRACT** Understanding how non-industrial private forest (NIPF) owners gain and share information regarding the Recommend to Peers management of their property is very important to policy makers, yet our knowledge regarding how and to what degree this information flows over privately owned landscapes is limited. The work described here Recommend to Library seeks to address this shortfall. Widely administered surveys with close-ended questions may not adequately capture this information flow within NIPF owner communities. This study used open-ended Contact Us questions in interviews of clusters of NIPF owners to determine whether and to what extent owners influence each other directly (through conversations or referrals to sources of advice) or indirectly (through observation of management). We obtained data from thirty-four telephone interviews with owners of NIPF Downloads: 15,287 properties in the Western Upper Peninsula of Michigan, and analyzed the data using open coding. Roughly half of the forest owners we interviewed were influenced either directly or indirectly by other members of Visits: 72,895 their NIPF communities. Reasons for owning forests (such as privacy, hunting and nature recreation, and economics) also influenced owners' management behaviors and goals. This peer-to-peer flow of Sponsors, Associates, ai information (whether direct or indirect) has significant implications for how to distribute management and programmatic information throughout NIPF owner communities, and how amenable these communities may Links >> be to cooperative or cross-boundary programs to achieve ecosystem and landscapescale goals. **KEYWORDS** Communication; Information; Management; Landowners; Opinion Leader; Policy

Upper Peninsula of Michigan. *Open Journal of Forestry, 2,* 150-158. doi: 10.4236/ojf.2012.23018. References

Cite this paper

- [1] Babbie, E. R. (2010). The practice of social research (12th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- [2] Best, C., & Wayburn, L. (2001). America' s private forests: Status and stewardship. Washington, DC: Island Press.

Schubert, J. & Mayer, A. (2012). Peer Influence of Non-Industrial Private Forest Owners in the Western

- [3] Bieling, C. (2004). Non-industrial private-forest owners: Possibilities for increasing adoption of close-to-nature forest management. European Journal of Forest Research, 123, 293-303. doi:10.1007/s10342-004-0042-6
- [4] Bliss, J. C., & Martin, A. J. (1989). Identifying NIPF management motivations with qualitative methods. Forest Science, 35, 601-622.
- [5] Blumberg, S., & Luke, J. (2010). Wireless substitution: Early release of estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, July-December 2009. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- [6] Brook, A., Zint, M., & DeYoung, R. (2003). Landowners' responses to an Endangered Species Act listing and implications for encouraging conservation. Conservation Biology, 17, 1638-1649. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2003.00258.x

- [7] Butler, B. J., Leatherberry, E. C., & Williams, M. S. (2005). Design, implementation, and analysis methods for the National Woodland Owner Survey. Amherst, MA: US Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Northern Research Station GT.
- [8] Butler, B. (2008). Family forest owners of the United States, 2006. Amherst, MA: US Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Northern Research Station GT.
- [9] Butler, B., & Ma, Z. (2011). Family forest owner trends in the Northern United States. Northern Journal of Applied Forestry, 28, 13-18.
- [10] Cialdini, R. B., Trost, M. R., Gilbert, D. T., Fiske, S. T., & Lindzey, G. (2008). Social influence: Social norms, conformity, and compliance. Handbook of Social Psychology, 2, 151-192.
- [11] Creighton, J. H., Baumgartner, D. M., & Blatner, K. A. (2002). Ecosystem management and nondindustrial private forest landowners in Washington State, USA. Small-Scale Forest Economics, Management and Policy, 1, 55-69.
- [12] DeWalt, K. M., & DeWalt, B. R. (2002). Participant observation: A guide for fieldworkers. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira.
- [13] Erickson, D. L., Ryan, R. L., & De Young, R. (2002). Woodlots in the rural landscape: Landowner motivations and management attitudes in a Michigan (USA) case study. Landscape and Urban Planning, 58, 101-112. doi:10.1016/S0169-2046(01)00213-4
- [14] Finley, A. O., Kittredge Jr., D. B., Stevens, T. H., Schweik, C. M., & Dennis, D. C. (2006). Interest in cross-boundary cooperation: Identification of distinct types of private forest owners. Forest Science, 52, 10-22.
- [15] Fischer, A. P., Bliss, J., Ingemarson, F., Lidestav, G., & L?nnstedt, L. (2010). From the small woodland problem to ecosocial systems: the evolution of social research on small-scale forestry in Sweden and the USA. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 25, 390-398. doi:10.1080/02827581.2010.498386
- [16] Fortney, J., Arano, K. G., & Jacobson, M. (2011). An Evaluation of West Virginia's Managed Timberland Tax Incentive Program. Forest Policy and Economics, 13, 69-78. doi:10.1016/j.forpol.2010.08.002
- [17] Froese, R., Hyslop, M., Miller, C., Garmon, B., McDiarmid Jr., H., Shaw, A., Leefers, L., Lorenzo, M., Brown, S., & Shy, M. (2007). Large-tract Forestland Ownership Change: Land Use, Conservation, and Prosperity in Michigan's Upper Peninsula. Ann Arbor, MI: National Wildlife Federation.
- [18] Gan, J., Kolison Jr., S. H., & Tackie, N. O, (2003). African-American Forestland Owners in Alabama's Black Belt. Journal of Forestry, 101, 38-43.
- [19] Gootee, R. S., Blatner, K. A., Baumgartner, D. M., Carroll, M. S., & Weber, E. P. (2010). Choosing what to believe about forests: Differences between professional and non-professional evaluative criteria. Small-scale Forestry, 9, 137-152. doi:10.1007/s11842-010-9113-3
- [20] G?tmark, F. (2009). Conflicts in conservation: Woodland key habitats, authorities and private forest owners in Sweden. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 24, 504-514. doi:10.1080/02827580903363545
- [21] Greene, J. L., Straka, T. J., & Dee, R. J. (2004). Nonindustrial private forest owner use of federal income tax provisions. Forest Products Journal, 54, 59-66.
- [22] Greene, J., Daniels, S., Jacobson, M., Kilgore, M., & Straka, T. (2005). Existing and potential incentives for practicing sustainable forestry on non-industrial private forest lands. Final Report to the National Commission on Science for Sustainable Forestry, NCSSF Research Project C2, 31 October 2005. URL (last checked 5 July 2011). http://www.srs.fs.usda.gov/econ/data/forestincentives/ncssf-c2-final-report.pdf
- [23] Gustafson, E. J., & Loehle, C. (2008). How will the changing industrial forest landscape affect forest sustainability? Journal of Forestry, 106, 380-387.
- [24] Haymond, J. L. (1988). NIPF opinion leaders: What do they want? Journal of Forestry, 86, 30-31, 34-35
- [25] Hujala, T., & Tikkanen, J. (2008). Boosters of and barriers to smooth communication in family forest owners' decision manking. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 23, 466-477. doi:10.1080/02827580802334209

- [26] Hujala, T., Tikkanen, J., H?nninen, H., & Virkkula, O. (2009). Family forest owners' perception of decision support. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 24, 448-460. doi:10.1080/02827580903140679
- [27] Irland, L. C. (1994). Getting from here to there: Implementing ecosystem management on the ground. Journal of Forestry, 92, 12-17.
- [28] Jacobson, M. G. (2002). Ecosystem management in the southeast United States: Interest of forest landowners in joint management across ownerships. Small-scale Forest Economics, Management and Policy, 1, 71-92.
- [29] Jones, S. B., Luloff, A. E., & Finley, J. C. (1995). Another look at NIPFs: facing our myths. Journal of Forestry, 93, 41-44.
- [30] Kilgore, M. A., Greene, J. L., Jacobson, M. G., Straka, T. J., & Daniels, S. E. (2007). The Influence of Financial Incentive Programs in Promoting Sustainable Forestry on the Nation's Family Forests. Journal of Forestry, 105, 184-191.
- [31] Knoot, T. G., & Rickenbach, M. (2011). Best management practices and timber harvesting: The role of social networks in shaping landowner decisions. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 26, 171182. doi:10.1080/02827581.2010.545827
- [32] Leatherberry, E. C., Kingsley, N. P., & Birch, T. W. (1998). Private timberland owners of Michigan, 1994. St. Paul, MN: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, NC Resource Bull.
- [33] Lieberherr, E. (2011). Acceptability of the Deschutes Groundwater Mitigation Program. Ecology Law Currents, 38, 25-35.
- [34] L?nnstedt, L. (1997). Non-industrial private forest owners' decision process: A qualitative study about goals time perspective, opportunities and alternatives. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 12, 302-310. doi:10.1080/02827589709355414
- [35] Ma, Z., Kittredge, D. B., & Catanzaro, P. (2012). Challenging the traditional forestry extension model: Insights from the Woods Forum Program in Massachusetts. Small-scale Forestry, 11, 87-100. doi:10.1007/s11842-011-9170-2
- [36] Mayer, A. L., & Tikka, P. M. (2006). Biodiversity conservation incen-tive programs for privately owned forests. Environmental Science and Policy, 9, 614-625. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2006.07.004
- [37] Mehmood, S., & Zhang, D. (2001). Forest parcelization in the United States: A study of contributing factors. Journal of Forestry, 99, 3034.
- [38] Michigan Department of Natural Resources (2011). Commercial Forest (CF) Information and Forms.

 URL (last checked 16 February 2011) http://www.michigan.gov/dnr/0,1607,7-153-30301_30505_34240-3401600.html
- [39] Nagubadi, V., McNamara, K. T., Hoover, W. L., & Mills Jr., W. L. (1996). Program participation behavior of non-industrial forest landowners: A probit analysis. Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics, 28, 323-336.
- [40] Nelson, C. M. (2001). Economic implications of land use patterns for natural resource recreation and tourism. Lansing, MI: Michigan Land Resource Project, Public Sector Consultants.
- [41] Newman, D. H., Aronow, M. E., Harris Jr., T. G., & Macheski, G. (1996). Changes in timberland ownership characteristics in Georgia. In M. J. Baughman (Ed.), Symposium on nonindustrial private forests: Learning from the past, prospects for the future. St. Paul, MN: University of Minnesota.
- [42] Patton, M. Q. (1992). Qualitative research and evaluation methods (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- [43] Potter-Witter, K. (2005). A cross-sectional analysis of Michigan non-industrial private forest landowners. Northern Journal of Applied Forestry, 22, 132-138.
- [44] Reed, A. S. (2001). Extension in Oregon—Educational leadership for sustainability. Journal of Forestry, 99, 18-21.
- [45] Rickenbach, M., & Reed, A. (2002). Cross-boundary cooperation in a watershed context: The sentiments of private forest landowners. Environmental Management, 30, 584-594. doi:10.1007/s00267-002-2688-5