

研究报告

## 美国黄松、班克松和油松的抗寒性比较

龚月桦<sup>1</sup>, 周永学<sup>2</sup>, 樊军锋<sup>2</sup>, 刘迎洲<sup>1</sup>, 庞珂佳<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>西北农林科技大学生命科学学院, 陕西杨凌 712100; <sup>2</sup>西北农林科技大学林学院, 陕西杨凌 712100

收稿日期 2005-7-29 修回日期 2006-6-5 网络版发布日期 接受日期

**摘要** 通过人工冰冻和电导率的测定, 对黄土丘陵沟壑区引种栽培的美国黄松、班克松和乡土树种油松的抗寒性进行了鉴定, 并探讨其抗寒机理. 结果表明, 班克松的抗寒性比油松强, 而美国黄松的抗寒性比油松稍弱. 班克松的束缚水/自由水比值高达7.0, 组织中ABA含量高达164.3  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  FW; 但可溶性糖和 $\text{K}^+$ 含量较低, 分别为12.0%和2 450  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  DW. 油松则是可溶性糖、 $\text{K}^+$ 和ABA含量都较高, 分别为18.68%、4 538  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  DW和95.8  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  FW; 束缚水/自由水比值较低, 为2.58. 美国黄松的可溶性糖含量较高, 18.05%; 但束缚水/自由水比值、 $\text{K}^+$ 和ABA含量都较低, 分别为2.18、2 275  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  DW和63.3  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  FW, 可能是其抗寒性较弱的内在原因. 班克松较低的叶绿素含量和较高的类胡萝卜素/叶绿素比值对其抗寒性也有贡献. 说明3种树种虽然都是抗寒树种, 但其内在机理仍有差异.

**关键词** [美国黄松](#) [班克松](#) [油松](#) [抗寒性](#) [ABA](#)

分类号

## Cold hardiness of *Pinus ponderosa*, *P. banksian* and *P. tabulaeformis*

GONG Yuehua<sup>1</sup>, ZHOU Yongxue<sup>2</sup>, FAN Junfeng<sup>2</sup>, LIU Yingzhou<sup>1</sup>, PANG Kejia<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Life Science, Northwest Sci-Tech University of Agriculture and Forestry, Yangling 712100, China; <sup>2</sup>College of Forestry, Northwest Sci-Tech University of Agriculture and Forestry, Yangling 712100, China

### Abstract

By the method of artificial freezing, this paper made a comparative study on the cold hardiness of *Pinus ponderosa*, *P. banksiana* and *P. tabulaeformis*, with their inherent mechanisms approached. The results showed that the cold hardiness of these three species was in the sequence of *P. banksiana* > *P. tabulaeformis* > *P. ponderosa*. *P. banksiana* had high bound water/free water ratio (7.0) and ABA content (164.3  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  FW) but low  $\text{K}^+$  (2 450  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  DW) and soluble sugar (12.0%), *P. tabulaeformis* had higher contents of ABA (95.8  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  FW),  $\text{K}^+$  (4 538  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  DW) and soluble sugar (18.68%) but low bound water/free water ratio (2.58), while *P. ponderosa* had high soluble sugar content (18.05%) but low bound water/free water ratio (2.18) and  $\text{K}^+$  (2 275  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  DW) and ABA (63.3  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  FW) contents. These differences might be the reasons resulting in the different cold hardiness of these three species. Low chlorophyll content and high carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio might also contribute to the cold hardiness of *P. banksiana*. Therefore, though the test species are all of cold hardiness, their inherent mechanisms may be different.

**Key words** [Pinus ponderosa](#) [P. banksiana](#) [P. tabulaeformis](#) [Cold hardiness](#) [ABA](#)

DOI:

### 扩展功能

#### 本文信息

- ▶ [Supporting info](#)
- ▶ [PDF\(444KB\)](#)
- ▶ [\[HTML全文\]\(0KB\)](#)
- ▶ [参考文献](#)

#### 服务与反馈

- ▶ [把本文推荐给朋友](#)
- ▶ [加入我的书架](#)
- ▶ [加入引用管理器](#)
- ▶ [复制索引](#)
- ▶ [Email Alert](#)
- ▶ [文章反馈](#)
- ▶ [浏览反馈信息](#)

#### 相关信息

- ▶ [本刊中 包含“美国黄松” 的相关文章](#)
- ▶ [本文作者相关文章](#)

- [龚月桦](#)
- [周永学](#)
- [樊军锋](#)
- [刘迎洲](#)
- [庞珂佳](#)

