

## Masters Theses 1896 - February 2014

Off-campus UMass Amherst users: To download campus access theses, please use the following link to [log into our proxy server](#) with your UMass Amherst user name and password.

Non-UMass Amherst users: Please talk to your librarian about requesting this thesis through interlibrary loan.

Theses that have an embargo placed on them will not be available to anyone until the embargo expires.

### Bioretention: Evaluating their Effectiveness for Improving Water Quality in New England Urban Environments

[Download](#)

[Mary Dehais](#)

[Follow](#)

Document Type  
Open Access

Degree Program  
Landscape Architecture

Degree Type  
Master of Landscape Architecture (M.L.A.)

Year Degree Awarded  
2011

Month Degree Awarded  
May

Keywords  
Bioretention, Green Infrastructure, Low Impact Development, Stormwater Management, BMP Performance Monitoring, Pollutant Removal Performance, Monitoring Methods and Models

Advisor Name  
John (Jack)

Advisor Middle Initial  
F.

Advisor Last Name  
Ahern

Abstract  
Nonpoint source (NPS) pollution is one of the leading causes of water quality problems in the United States. Bioretention has become one of the more frequently used stormwater management practices for addressing NPS pollution in urbanized watersheds in New England. Yet despite

#### Included in

[Civil and Environmental Engineering Commons](#),  
[Environmental Design Commons](#),  
[Environmental Sciences Commons](#),  
[Forest Management Commons](#),  
[Hydrology Commons](#),  
[Landscape Architecture Commons](#),  
[Land Use Planning Commons](#),  
[Soil Science Commons](#),  
[Urban, Community and Regional Planning Commons](#)

[SHARE](#)

Enter search terms:

  

[Advanced Search](#)

[Notify me via email or RSS](#)

[Browse](#)

[Collections](#)

[Disciplines](#)

[Authors](#)

[Author Corner](#)

[Author FAQ](#)

[Links](#)

[University Libraries](#)

[UMass Amherst](#)

[Contact Us](#)

increased acceptance, bioretention is not widely practiced. This study explores and evaluates the efficacy of bioretention for protecting urban water quality.

This research found that numerous monitoring methods are used by researchers and industry experts to assess the effectiveness of stormwater best management practices (BMPs) and low impact development (LID) practices that include bioretention. The two most common methods for analyzing and evaluating water quality data are pollutant removal efficiency and effluent quality. While effluent quality data is useful for characterizing classes of BMP treatment performance on a statistical basis, pollutant removal efficiency is more representative of the actual pollutant load being reduced by the stormwater treatment practice over time, and is used in Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) assessments. However, despite this difference, monitoring is still arguably the best method for determining the effectiveness of stormwater treatment practices.

Monitoring of bioretention performance results is needed to inform improvements to design standards and guidance to aid state and local municipalities in the proper selection of bioretention/stormwater controls. This study advocates for instituting fine-scale, "safe-to-fail" design experiments as part of an adaptive management process that is used to advance bioretention design guidance and future applications of monitoring practice(s) that target reduction of pollutants in downstream receiving waterbodies. This innovative approach could result in increased use of bioretention in New England urban environments.

Advisor(s) or Committee Chair  
Ahern, John (Jack) F.

This page is sponsored by the [University Libraries](#).

© 2009 [University of Massachusetts Amherst](#) • [Site Policies](#)