

李雪丹,朱玉森,刘屹,任克,徐克.MSCT测量胃癌体积的层厚选取及可重复性[J].中国医学影像技术,2013,29(5):757-760

MSCT测量胃癌体积的层厚选取及可重复性

Reproducibility and thickness selection in measurement of gastric cancer volume using MSCT

投稿时间: 2012-10-14 最后修改时间: 2012-11-30

DOI:

中文关键词: 体层摄影术,X线计算机 胃肿瘤 体积 重复性

英文关键词: Tomography, X-ray computed Gastric neoplasms Volumetry Reproducibility

基金项目:辽宁省自然科学基金(20092121)。

作者	单位	E-mail
李雪丹	中国医科大学附属第一医院放射科, 辽宁 沈阳 110001	xuedan1225@sina.com
朱玉森	中国医科大学附属第一医院放射科, 辽宁 沈阳 110001	
刘屹	中国医科大学附属第一医院放射科, 辽宁 沈阳 110001	
任克	中国医科大学附属第一医院放射科, 辽宁 沈阳 110001	
徐克	中国医科大学附属第一医院放射科, 辽宁 沈阳 110001	

摘要点击次数: 429

全文下载次数: 252

中文摘要:

目的 探讨MSCT测量胃癌体积的层厚选取,评价MSCT测量胃癌体积的可重复性。方法 将33例胃癌的MSCT图像传输至工作站,分别用3 mm、5 mm和7 mm层厚进行胃癌体积测量,并由另1名医师以5 mm层厚对所有胃癌体积进行重复测量。计算观察者内或观察者间的差异。结果 3 mm、5 mm、7 mm层厚测得胃癌体积分别为 $(65.06 \pm 46.87)\text{cm}^3$ 、 $(64.74 \pm 47.13)\text{cm}^3$ 和 $(63.56 \pm 45.82)\text{cm}^3$ ($F=5.409, P<0.05$)。3 mm和5 mm层厚测量胃癌体积差异无统计学意义($P=0.298$);3 mm和7 mm层厚、5 mm和7 mm层厚测量结果差异有统计学意义(P 均 <0.05)。3 mm和5 mm、3 mm和7 mm、5 mm和7 mm层厚测量胃癌体积的组内相关系数分别为0.992、0.990、0.988。用3 mm、5 mm、7 mm层厚测量胃癌体积所需时间分别为 (30.6 ± 15.5) min、 (20.0 ± 11.8) min和 (12.3 ± 6.4) min。两名观察者用5 mm层厚测量的胃癌体积平均值分别是 $(64.74 \pm 47.13)\text{cm}^3$ 和 $(65.32 \pm 45.82)\text{cm}^3$,差异无统计学意义($F=1.386, P=0.248$),组内相关系数为0.998。结论 MSCT测量胃癌体积时选用3 mm和5 mm层厚较佳;选用5 mm层厚测量胃癌体积节省工作时间;MSCT胃癌体积测量具有较高的一致性和可重复性。

英文摘要:

Objective To investigate the slice thickness selection of measuring gastric cancer volume using MSCT, and to evaluate the reproducibility of the measurement of gastric cancer volume with MSCT. **Methods** MSCT image data of 33 patients with gastric cancer were transferred to the workstation. Gastric cancer volume was measured with the slice thickness of 3 mm, 5 mm and 7 mm, respectively, and was repeatedly measured with 5 mm slice thickness by another experienced radiologist. Intra-observer and inter-observer variability was calculated. **Results** Gastric cancer volume measured with slice thickness of 3 mm, 5 mm and 7 mm were $(65.06 \pm 46.87)\text{cm}^3$, $(64.74 \pm 47.13)\text{cm}^3$ and $(63.56 \pm 45.82)\text{cm}^3$ ($F=5.409, P<0.05$), respectively. Analysis of variance of the repeatedly measured results showed that the differences between 3 mm and 7 mm, 5 mm and 7 mm had statistical significance (all $P<0.05$), while the difference between 3 mm and 5 mm had no statistical significance ($P=0.298$). Interclass correlation coefficient of the slice thickness of 3 mm and 5 mm, 3 mm and 7 mm, 5 mm and 7 mm in measuring gastric cancer volume were 0.992, 0.990, 0.988, respectively. Time costed was (30.6 ± 15.5) min, (20.0 ± 11.8) min and (12.3 ± 6.4) min when measured with slice thickness of 3 mm, 5 mm and 7 mm, respectively. The gastric cancer volumes measured by two observers with 5 mm slice thickness were $(64.74 \pm 47.13)\text{cm}^3$ and $(65.32 \pm 45.82)\text{cm}^3$ ($F=1.386, P=0.248$), respectively. And the interclass correlation coefficient was 0.998. **Conclusion** It is better to select slice thickness of 3 mm and 5 mm to measure gastric cancer volume using MSCT. Selection of 5 mm slice thickness measuring gastric cancer volume can spare time. Measurement of gastric cancer volume with MSCT is of high consistency and reproducibility and has clinical value.

[查看全文](#) [查看/发表评论](#) [下载PDF阅读器](#)

您是第6245430位访问者

版权所有:《中国医学影像技术》期刊社

主管单位:中国科学院 主办单位:中国科学院声学研究所

地址:北京市海淀区北四环西路21号大猷楼502室 邮政编码:100190 电话:010-82547901/2/3 传真:010-82547903

京ICP备12000849号-1

本系统由北京勤云科技发展有限公司设计