top 🔺

Current Issue	Acta Medica Iranica
📁 Browse Issues	2009;47(4) : 5-15
Search	Review Article
About this Journal	Assessment of Myocardial Viability: Selection of Patients for Viability Study and Revascularization
Instruction to Authors	Hakimeh Sadeghian, MD [*] , Jalil Majd-Ardakani, MD, Masoumeh Lotfi-Tokaldany, MD
) Online Submission	Tehran Heart Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
Subscription	Searcesponding Author:
🔄 Contact Us	Hakimeh Sadeghian, Assistant Professor of Cardiology, Echocardiography Department, Tehran Heart Center, North Kargar Street, Tehran, Iran. 1411713138. Tel: +98 21 88029257. Fax: +98 21 88029256. E-mail: sadeghianhakimeh@yahoo.com.
RSS Feed	Available online: March 18,2009
	Abstract:
	The aim of this article is to review the application of current imaging techniques used for the detection of viable myocardium. Each technique is discussed briefly, and the more commonly used techniques are compared. The imaging

myocardium. Each technique is discussed briefly, and the more commonly used techniques are compared. The imaging techniques reviewed herein are dobutamine stress echocardiography, single photon emission tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, positron emission tomography with F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose, and recently introduced tissue Doppler imaging. The estimation of the amount of viable myocardium that could predict a better outcome after revascularization being a challenging issue, the present article also reviews a variety of cut-off points suggested by different investigators as adequate viable myocardium for revascularization and presents a summary of clinical, angiographical, and echocardiographic findings that could assist in selecting patients for viability study.

Keywords:

Coronary artery disease . Myocardium . Dobutamine . Echocardiography, stress . Echocardiography, Doppler

TUMS ID: 12794

Full Text HTML 🥑 Full Text PDF 🙆 668 KB

Home - About - Contact Us

TUMS E. Journals 2004-2009 Central Library & Documents Center Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Best view with Internet Explorer 6 or Later at 1024*768 Resolutions